# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Washington, D.C. 20549 **FORM 10-K**

## FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

(MARK ONE)

# [X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

OR

## [] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

### FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-28191

# ESPEED, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

DELAWARE
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

13-4063515 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

135 EAST 57TH, NEW YORK, NEW YORK (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

10022 (Zip Code)

(212) 938-5000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS
----None

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

CLASS A COMMON STOCK, \$. 01 PAR VALUE

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [X] No []

The aggregate market value of voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the closing price of the Class A common stock on June 28, 2002 as reported on the Nasdaq National Market, was approximately \$288,986,197.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Outstanding at March 17, 2003
---CLASS A COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$.01 PER SHARE
CLASS B COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$.01 PER SHARE
29,855,446 SHARES
25,362,809 SHARES

# DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE. NONE.

## ESPEED, INC. 2002 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART	I	1
ITEM	1.	BUSINESS1
ITEM	2.	PROPERTIES23
ITEM	3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
ITEM	4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS
PART	II	26
ITEM	5.	MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS
ITEM	6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA
ITEM	7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS:
ITEM	7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK
ITEM	8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
ITEM	9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE
PART	III	63
ITEM	10.	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT
ITEM	11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
ITEM	12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT
ITEM	13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS
ITEM	14.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES
PART	IV	74
ITEM	15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

#### PART I

### **ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

The information in this report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements are based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. For example, words such as "may," "will," "should," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continue," "strategy," "believes," "anticipates," "plans," "expects," "intends" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Our actual results and the timing of certain events may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such a discrepancy include, but are not limited to, the effect of the September 11 Events (as defined below) on our operations, including in particular the loss of hundreds of eSpeed, Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and TradeSpark employees, our limited operating history, the possibility of future losses and negative cash flow from operations, the effect of market conditions, including volume and volatility, and the current global recession on our business, our ability to enter into marketing and strategic alliances, to hire new personnel, to expand the use of our electronic system, to induce clients to use our marketplaces and services and to effectively manage any growth we achieve, and other factors that are discussed under "Risk Factors" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following discussion is qualified in its entirety by, and should be read in conjunction with, the more detailed information set forth in our financial statements and the notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this filing.

### OVERVIEW OF OUR BUSINESS

We are a leader in developing and deploying electronic marketplaces and related trading technology that offers traders access to the most liquid, efficient and neutral financial markets in the world. We operate multiple buyer, multiple seller real-time electronic marketplaces for the global non-equity capital markets, including the world's largest government bond markets and other fixed income marketplaces. Our suite of marketplace tools provides end-to-end transaction solutions for the purchase and sale of financial and non-financial products over our global private network or via the Internet.

Our products enable market participants to transact business instantaneously, more effectively and at lower cost than in traditional voice-based brokerage markets. Our systems were built to support multiple buyers and sellers in interactive marketplaces, in a completely neutral, efficient and real-time environment. In 2002, we processed over 4.5 million electronic transactions, totaling more than \$35 trillion of transactional volume. Our clients include most of the largest fixed income and foreign exchange trading firms, major exchanges and leading natural gas and electricity trading firms in the world. We have offices in the U.S., U.K. and Asia that can transact trading 24 hours a day, around the world. We believe we offer one of the most robust, large-scale, instantaneous and reliable transaction processing systems in the world. Our global private network permits market participants to view information and execute transactions in a fraction of a second. Our proprietary software provides an end-to-end solution, including front-end applications, transaction processing engines, credit and risk management tools and back-office and clearance modules, enabling straight-through processing.

As a result of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, our offices in the World Trade Center were destroyed and we lost 180 of our employees, including many members of our senior management (the September 11 Events). The loss of these assets and employees and the need to relocate our surviving employees have negatively impacted our business. See "Risk Factors".

We commenced operations in March 1999 as a division of Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, a subsidiary of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. (Cantor). Our initial focus was the global government bond markets of the world, specifically, U.S., Europe, Canada and Japan. Our relationship with Cantor, a leading global inter-dealer broker in the fixed income markets and the former leader in the U.S. government bond voice-brokerage business, enabled us to become the leader in what today we consider our core electronic marketplaces, the government bond markets of the world. Our goal is to offer the full range of financial products currently traded in today's global non-equity capital markets, which includes wholesale fixed income, foreign exchange and futures and options.

In 2000, we entered the North American energy market with a group of leading energy industry partners. In 2001, we entered the Canadian fixed income market through our investment in and technology agreement with Freedom International Brokerage (Freedom), the leading Canadian inter-dealer broker of fixed income products and other capital products. During 2003, we plan to move beyond the world's government bond and energy markets by focusing our efforts on several other non-equity capital markets, including U.S. agencies, treasury spreads, foreign exchange and interest rate swaps. We also plan to leverage our electronic marketplace expertise and reputation to sell software products and services directly to participants in these marketplaces.

Our revenues consist primarily of transaction fees and software solutions fees, and we market our services to clients, partners and prospects. We do not risk our own capital in transactions or extend credit to market participants.

We have organized our business in four categories, across multiple liquid and commoditized industries in the financial services and energy markets. These four categories are core products, new product rollouts, product enhancement software and eSpeed Software Solutions(SM) sales. We offer our products and services to participants in the financial and energy markets.

Our objective is to be the leading provider of trading technology and interactive marketplaces for the non-equity capital markets, where we believe the opportunity for electronic trading to be substantial. Specifically, we believe we are well-positioned to take advantage of the large opportunities throughout the fixed income, foreign exchange, futures and energy markets of the world. We believe that the scalability and extendibility of our eSpeed(R) suite of products enable us to introduce new markets and distribute products and services more quickly, cost effectively and seamlessly than our competitors.

#### THE INDUSTRY

Historically, the trading of financial and nonfinancial products has been an inefficient process. Buying, selling or trading activity is traditionally effected through either (1) a central physical location, like a trading pit or auction house, where market participants have to access the market through this central location or its members, (2) a bilateral arrangement with a buyer or seller or (3) several layers of middlemen and salespersons who assist in handling orders. Each of these approaches is people and time intensive, which adds to the direct and indirect cost of the product bought or sold.

Additional inefficiencies with transaction execution include lack of real-time price information, small disparate groups of interested buyers and sellers, limited liquidity and problems associated with executing trades as market prices change. As more transactions occur and participants extend credit to each other, there are added risks to both buyers and sellers because of the lack of sophisticated risk management tools. Also, after a buy or sell order is executed, there are the additional tasks of recording, accounting, tracking, delivering and financially settling the transaction. Each of these tasks, if done manually, can add potential cost and error to the process as additional participants or systems enter the transaction cycle.

Electronic marketplaces have emerged as effective means of conducting transactions and creating markets. In an electronic marketplace, substantially all of the participants' actions are facilitated through an electronic medium, such as a private electronic network or over the Internet, which effectively eliminates the need for actual face-to-face or voice-to-voice participant interaction, reducing the inefficiencies inherent in a physical market. Additionally, as adoption of the Internet has become more widespread, businesses are recognizing online channels as an efficient means of distribution of their products to their customers.

Many financial exchanges worldwide, including certain exchanges in France, Germany, Japan, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom, are now partially or completely electronic. Various electronic marketplaces have been implemented to address the varied needs of the broad business-to-business initiatives, including marketplaces aimed at the procurement of finished goods or services, as well as neutral marketplaces for the trading of commodity or commodity-like goods. We believe the trading of commodity-like products will require capabilities found in the financial markets, including real-time pricing, futures and other hedging capabilities and robust interactive trading. Additionally, we believe companies will seek to outsource online solutions for the electronic distribution of their products to avoid the difficulty and cost of developing and maintaining their own online solutions.

### **OUR SOLUTION**

Our electronic marketplace end-to-end solution includes real-time and auction-based transaction processing, credit and risk management tools and back-end processing and billing systems, all accessible through our privately managed global high-speed data network and over the Internet. Because of the scale and adaptability of our system, our products have applications across a broad range of companies, industries and vertical marketplaces, including any global non-equity capital marketplace involving multiple buyers and multiple sellers. In addition, we license our software to provide a complete outsourced solution to our clients, enabling them to distribute their branded products to their customers through online offerings and auctions, including private and reverse auctions, and request-for-quote capabilities. Our products enable market participants to transact business instantaneously, more effectively and at lower cost.

### **OUR MARKET FOCUS**

### FINANCIAL MARKETS

WHOLESALE FIXED INCOME. The global fixed income market is the largest financial market in the world. The Bond Market Association estimates that, in the U.S. alone, as of 2002, there were over \$20.2 trillion of fixed income securities outstanding with over \$632 billion of volume traded daily. In the U.S. Treasury securities market, there is reported to be over \$366 billion a day in trading just among the primary dealers and their clients. According to the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, the global market for interest rate swaps, interest rate options and currency swaps had over \$82.7 trillion in notional value outstanding as of June 2002.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE. The trading of currencies in all monetary pairs represents the largest trading volume market in the world. The Bank for International Settlements has estimated the daily volume traded in the foreign exchange markets to have been \$1.2 trillion as of April 2001.

FUTURES AND OPTIONS. Futures and options trading is a leading financial activity throughout the world, with contracts traded on a wide variety of financial instruments, commodities and indexes. According to the Futures Industry Association, Inc., in 2002, over 5.99 billion futures and options contracts were traded in the world's futures and options markets. Currently, a significant volume of futures trading is still being done on open outcry exchanges, but there has been a significant movement towards the conversion of these markets to electronic trading. To date, we believe the most successful initiatives have been made in Europe. We believe that there is significant opportunity in the continued conversion of these markets to electronic networks, such as our own.

Limitations of the traditional financial market

While the traditional financial market facilitates trading, it has the following significant shortcomings:

- o limited direct access and, therefore, inefficient pricing;
- o high transaction costs and slow execution due to the number of people involved in a voice transaction;
- o difficulty in implementing program trading, especially programs designed to automatically and simultaneously execute multiple trades in different, but related products;
- o significant expense incurred in processing, confirming and clearing manual processes; and
- o compliance and regulatory risk associated with voice transactions and non-automated audit trails.

### **Our Financial Markets Solution**

Our products in the financial markets include U.S. Treasury and agency securities, European, Japanese, Canadian and emerging market sovereign bonds, U.S. and global corporate bonds, mortgage-backed securities, municipal bonds, interest rate swaps and options, futures, options, foreign exchange, repos and basis trades. Cantor has been a major facilitator and, in some cases, provider of liquidity in numerous financial products through its offices in the U.S., Canada, Europe and Asia. Our eSpeed(R) system provides the only way to electronically access Cantor's marketplaces. Through our alliance with Freedom, Cantor and six leading Canadian financial institutions, eSpeed also powers the electronic platform of Freedom International Brokerage, the leading inter-dealer broker of Canadian fixed income and other capital markets products.

Our private electronic network for wholesale financial markets is connected to most of the largest financial institutions worldwide. We have installed in the offices of our existing client base the technology infrastructure necessary to provide price information and trade execution on an instantaneous basis in a broad range of securities and financial instruments. We believe our eSpeed(R) portfolio of products enables us to introduce and distribute a broad mix of financial products and services quickly, efficiently and at lower cost.

In our electronic marketplaces, participants may either electronically execute trades themselves or call brokers, who then input trade orders into the market for them. In our fully electronic trades, all stages of the trade occur electronically. The participant inputs its buy or sell order instructions directly into our electronic trading system using our software, a web-browser or electronically through an application programming interface or other software. Our system provides to the participant, normally within 300 milliseconds, an on-screen confirmation that the participant's order has been accepted. Simultaneously, an electronic confirmation can be sent to the participant's back office and risk system, enabling risk management capabilities and straight-through processing for the participant. A broker-assisted trade is executed in substantially the same manner as an electronic trade, except that the participant telephones a broker, who then inputs the participant's order into our electronic marketplace system. Our U.S. Government Securities marketplace is now a fully electronic marketplace.

We also see opportunities to expand our business by licensing our technology to other voice brokers in addition to Cantor.

#### **ENERGY MARKETS**

In September 2000, we, together with Coral Energy Holding (an affiliate of Shell), Dominion Energy, Dynegy, Koch Energy Trading, TXU Energy Trading, Williams Energy Marketing & Trading and Cantor, announced the formation of TradeSpark, a new comprehensive energy marketplace. TradeSpark was created as a wholesale marketplace for energy-related products and services in North America with both electronic trading systems and voice brokers. As part of our arrangement with TradeSpark, we have implemented electronic marketplaces for natural gas, electricity, coal, weather derivatives and emission allowances. TradeSpark combines our technology platform, accessed over both a private global network and the Internet, and our partners' in-depth energy market knowledge and liquidity to bring speed, neutrality, efficiency and technological leadership to the energy trading market.

The traditional voice-brokered energy marketplace has been fraught with inefficiencies, including the lack of real-time price information, small pools of liquidity, high transaction costs and problems associated with executing trades in a fast moving market. More recently, credit has become a major issue to the market participants because of significant price fluctuations caused by various states' approaches to deregulation, the lack of a liquid hedging market, limited risk management tools and the bankruptcy of certain major industry participants. While there have been a handful of electronic systems and single dealer platforms initiated over the past three years, we believe that none have unbiased information about prices and enough products or liquidity to give companies exchange-like execution in the energy marketplace.

Powered by our full trading platform encompassed in eSpeed, TradeSpark offers an end-to-end marketplace and trading solution that includes real-time and auction-based transaction processing, risk management tools and back-end processing systems, as well as access to a fully registered futures exchange, allowing for the creation of futures and options products for this marketplace.

TradeSpark offers three possible points of access to one pool of liquidity: over the Internet, through our private network and through TradeSpark voice brokers. TradeSpark began the year with strong results, however the exit of major energy companies from the wholesale energy trading markets along with significant credit deterioration and liquidity issues in the marketplace led to a severe downturn in the energy market overall as well as in the performance of TradeSpark, and caused a negative impact on its net revenues recorded, which caused us to write down our investment in TradeSpark to its net realizable value in the fourth quarter of 2002.

### ESPEED(R) PRODUCTS

Our products are organized in the following four categories:

### **CORE PRODUCTS**

Currently, most of our revenues are derived from transactions in our core products. These include various United States, European, Canadian and Japanese government securities. Our full-service eSpeed(R) system, combining all of our proprietary software and our global high-speed private network, currently operates in some of the largest and most complex government bond marketplaces in the world. It is designed to be extendible to any multiple-buyer, multiple-seller marketplace and can support massive liquidity and fluctuation in many markets. Our customers in these core products are the largest financial institutions in the world. These customers access our eSpeed(R) system primarily through our global high-speed private network. In addition, the system for these products is also available over the Internet. Our core products enable us to operate what we believe is the only integrated network engaged in electronic trading in multiple products and marketplaces on a global basis. We believe that the time and expense required to develop and install electronic marketplaces will serve as significant barriers to entry for our competitors.

## NEW PRODUCT ROLLOUTS

We have identified major opportunities to leverage our position in our core global government bond markets into a variety of key non-equity capital markets. For example, in 2003 we will roll out products in U.S. agencies, off-the-run U.S. Treasury securities, spreads, and basis trading of U.S. Treasuries, municipal bonds, interest rate swaps and foreign exchange.

In December 2002, we entered into an agreement with the Chicago Board of Trade (CBOT) to distribute futures products through the eSpeed (R) system, providing customers the ability to trade both cash and futures in one neutral, fully-electronic marketplace. By routing CBOT futures trades over the existing eSpeed(R) network and providing front-end integration to our clients, our cash traders and the CBOT's futures traders will have direct, instantaneous access to both markets. This product will be available through the eSpeed(R) SuperQuads software.

Also in December 2002, we acquired the technology to route equities order flow directly to listed exchanges and ECNs, either as directed by the client or via automatic order routing, through the acquisition of the business and technology of TSI Holdings, Inc., known as TradeAnywhere. In 2002, we became a recognized service bureau for the New York Stock Exchange and American Stock Exchange. We intend to capitalize on this recognized service bureau status by leasing telecommunications capacity to other firms which will use it to transmit orders to the exchanges.

#### PRODUCT ENHANCEMENT SOFTWARE

We recently introduced the following three significant software enhancements -- SuperQuads, Price Improvement and Direct Dealing -- that will enable our clients to engage in the electronic trading of our core products and future product rollouts.

o SuperQuads is a new screen configuration that allows for the introduction of additional markets and products on the eSpeed(R) system. For example, through our agreement with the Chicago Board of Trade, SuperQuads will enable us to route CBOT's treasury futures traders over its existing network and will offer front end integration to clients. Customers will have the ability to trade both cash and futures in one neutral, fully-electronic marketplace. It will allow for network distribution and seamless front office integration, as well as positioning ourselves in this new market segment. We expect to release this product in the second quarter of 2003.

o Price Improvement is an enhancement for trading products on our eSpeed(R) system that gives users the opportunity to trade past a bid or offer, from bid/ask state, and advance their position by slightly improving on the quoted market. The enhancement was designed to make trading more efficient, bringing buyers and sellers closer to the desired trading state, and positions us to share in the revenues generated by the improved trades.

o Direct Dealing allows users to put in a request for a quote for specific products, providing the trader with the ability to reach market participants with an electronic request for interest in specific securities for which the trader has defined sizes. Direct Dealing maintains the trader's anonymity, allows the trader to determine a set amount of time for the request to be filled and treats all participants equally. Direct Dealing is especially useful for trading in large blocks, helping to eliminate unwanted market movement and bringing with it electronic efficiency to less liquid markets.

### ESPEED SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS(SM)

eSpeed Software Solutions(SM) leverages our global infrastructure, portfolio of intellectual property and electronic trading expertise to allow customers to build electronic marketplaces and exchanges, enable real-time auctions, enhance debt issuance and customize trading interfaces. eSpeed Software Solutions(SM) takes advantage of the scalability, flexibility and functionality of our eSpeed(R) system to enable our clients to distribute their branded products to their customers through online offerings and auctions, including private and reverse auctions, via our trading platform and global network. Using eSpeed Software Solutions(SM), customers are able to develop a marketplace, trade with its customers, issue debt, trade odd lots, access program trading interfaces, and access our network and our intellectual property.

We have signed software solution agreements with Refco Securities and the Federal Home Loan Bank. Refco Securities operates a global securities futures brokerage business. eSpeed Software Solutions(SM) has developed a front end trading system for Refco Securities that enables it to communicate its prices for securities products to its customers. The Federal Home Loan Bank is a U.S. Government sponsored enterprise and one of the largest issuers in the global short-term securities market. Our electronic auction-based technology began powering the Federal Home Loan Bank's primary discount note auctions in August 2002.

We have also entered into long-term licensing agreements with respect to our patents and other intellectual property, including a license agreement with InterContinentalExchange Inc. and as well as licenses to the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc. and the Board of Trade of the City of Chicago.

Additionally, we have an agreement whereby the New York Board of Trade, through its subsidiaries, will provide clearing and regulatory services and we will provide electronic execution and related services for the U.S. futures exchange, currently known as the Cantor Exchange (SM), the first fully electronic futures exchange in the U.S. Currently, the Cantor Exchange(SM) has obtained regulatory authority to operate in the United Kingdom, Denmark, Finland, France, Hong Kong, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Norway, Portugal and in eight German states. This business was suspended after the September 11 Events. While we are in the process of evaluating our business plan with respect to our operation of the Cantor Exchange(SM), we are confident that our eSpeed(R) system will continue to provide us with major opportunities for the electronic trading of a broad range of futures contracts globally, including opportunities like our futures agreement with CBOT.

#### **OUR TECHNOLOGY**

Our eSpeed(R) system is accessible to our clients through (1) our proprietary front-end trading software, (2) our application programming interface (API), which is a dedicated software application linking our clients' networks to our system, (3) the Web, via a browser interface or Java application, and (4) software developed in alliances with independent software vendors. Our system runs on large-scale hardware located in data centers in the U.S. and the U.K. and is distributed either over our multiple path global network or via the Internet through links to multiple global Internet service providers.

Our electronic marketplaces operate on a technology platform and network that emphasize scalability, performance, adaptability and reliability. Our technology platform consists of:

o our proprietary, internally developed real-time installed global network distribution system;

o our proprietary transaction processing software, which includes interactive matching auction engines, fully integrated credit and risk management systems, pricing engines and associated middle and back-office operations systems;

o client interfaces ranging from Windows, Java, UNIX, our proprietary API and proprietary vendor access; and

o customized inventory distribution and auction protocols designed to be used by our clients and partners in their distribution and trading systems.

Together, these components enable our clients to effect transactions in real-time, with straight-through processing.

## Network distribution system

Our eSpeed(R) system contains a proprietary hub-and-spoke digital network. This network uses Cisco Systems' network architecture and is operated by Cisco-certified engineers. Our network's high-speed points of presence comprise the major business centers of the world, including New York, London, Tokyo, Milan, Chicago, Los Angeles and Toronto. Altogether, we manage 24 hubs linked by over 50,000 miles of cable, over 500 Cisco network devices and more than 700 high capacity Sun servers and Compaq Alpha servers located in data centers in London and Rochelle Park, New Jersey that are able to process over 150 transactions per second, per instrument or product. The redundant structure of our system provides multiple backup paths and re-routing of data transmission if one spoke of a hub fails. We believe we operate one of the largest and most robust interactive trading network distribution systems currently in operation.

Our distribution system accepts orders and postings instantaneously and distributes responses, generally in under 300 milliseconds. We estimate that our network is currently running at approximately 15% of capacity.

In addition to our own network system, we also receive and distribute secure trading information from clients using the services of multiple, major Internet service providers throughout the world. These connections enable us to offer our products and services via the Internet to our global clients.

### Transaction processing software

Most of our software applications have been developed internally and are central to the success of our eSpeed(R) system. Our auction and trading engines operate in real time, facilitating efficient interaction between buyers and sellers. Our credit and risk management systems monitor and regulate these buyers and sellers. Our pricing engines provide prices for illiquid financial products derived from multiple trades in other related financial instruments. These critical applications work together seamlessly and are supported by middle and back office software that verifies, confirms, reports, stores, tracks and, if applicable, enables the settlement of each transaction. Our transaction processing software includes verification mechanisms at various stages of the execution process, which result in significantly reduced manual intervention, decreased probability of erroneous trades and more accurate execution for clients.

### eSpeed(R) transaction engines

Our auction and transaction engines use Interactive Matching(SM), our proprietary rules-based method, to process in excess of 150 transactions per second per auction, instrument or product. These engines were developed to support trading in the largest capital markets in the world, such as government bonds and futures contracts, and the more diverse, fragmented and database intensive markets, such as U.S. municipal bonds (with over 1.7 million different issues), corporate bonds and Eurobonds. These transaction engines are designed to be modular and flexible to allow modification in order to apply them to other markets and auction types. In Europe, for example, we have added a component that allows us to process transactions and auctions in multiple currencies

simultaneously. Our transaction engines have embedded security features and an added messaging layer to provide security from unauthorized use. In addition, we use encryption to protect our clients that transact business over the Internet.

We believe our marketplace expertise and rules-based systems provide incentives for clients to actively participate in our marketplaces. For example, Interactive Matching(SM) provides incentives to participate in our marketplaces by encouraging participants to expose their orders to the market. In standard auctions, the incentive is for participants to wait until the last moment to make a bid or offer. Our priority rules encourage trading activity by giving the last successful active participant a time-based right of first refusal on the next sale or purchase. In addition, in many markets we have structured our pricing policy to provide incentives. The party that provides auction products for the market or creates liquidity (by inputting a price to buy or sell) pays less commission (or no commission) than the participant that consummates the trade by acting on that price. With our pricing policies and proprietary priority rules, our system is designed to increase activity and to draw participants into the market. This proprietary rules-based system is adaptable and, as part of our business strategy, we intend to apply it across other non-financial markets for multiple products and services.

eSpeed Credit Master(SM) - credit and risk management systems

Our credit and risk management systems have been an important part of the operation of our electronic marketplaces and were withdrawn from the market as a result of the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001. We plan to reintroduce them throughout 2003. These systems (1) continuously monitor trades of our clients to help prevent them from exceeding their credit limits, (2) automatically prevent further trading once a client has reached a pre-determined credit limit and (3) evaluate transactions and calculate both individual positions and risk exposure across various products and credit limits. Once re-implemented, our proprietary credit and risk management systems will also be made available to our global clients to enable them to monitor the position of their traders and will be integrated with our software solution systems so our global clients can monitor the credit of their customers who transact directly with them online. These systems will store client data relevant to credit and risk management, such as financial statements, credit documents, contacts and internal analyses. These systems will also enable our clients to make our electronic marketplaces available to their customers while maintaining control of their customers' trading activity and risk.

eSpeed Name Give-Up Matrix(SM) - credit monitoring

Through the use of our name give-up matrix, we enable our market participants to create counterparty credit exposure limits to manage the counterparties with which they transact in non-central counterparty markets. In these markets, participants settle transactions directly with other participants. Using this module, the participants can pre-select the counterparties that they are willing to transact with in that market. The module displays all prices to market participants, and highlights and enables execution on prices that are from approved counterparties. Additionally, the module has features that permit each participant to manage the activities of its traders on a real-time basis.

eSpeed(R) pricing engines and analytics

We have developed a number of analytical software tools that permit us to price products that trade in less liquid markets and for which current pricing information is not readily available. For example, our MOLE(SM) system (Multiple Order Link Engine) is a computer application that enables us to link multiple markets, offer prices and create and enhance marketplaces for products that have limited liquidity. In our financial markets, MOLE(SM) currently uses data from existing cash and futures markets to calculate pricing for transactions where no market prices currently exist, thereby facilitating liquidity. These multi-variable trades are extremely difficult to execute in voice-based markets due to their complexity and the slow speed of manual execution.

eSpeed(R) middle and back-office applications

Our middle and back-office applications support clearance, settlement, tracking and reporting of trades and provide links to outside clearing entities. For example, in the financial markets, we outsource our fulfillment services to Cantor and Freedom (for Canadian markets), where both parties to a trade send either cash or securities to Cantor or Freedom and Cantor or Freedom settles the trade and sends each party the cash or securities due. Our reporting and accounting systems are designed to track and record all charges and commissions for a trade. Our eSpeed (R) system and products automate previously paper and telephone-based transaction processing, confirmation and other functions, substantially improving and reducing the cost of many of our clients' back offices, and enabling straight-through processing.

### **OUR GROWTH STRATEGY**

Our objective is to be the world's leading provider of interactive electronic marketplaces and related software solutions to a broad range of industries and marketplaces. We believe we can extend our expertise in the creation of instantaneous electronic marketplaces to a broad range of products and services. Our growth strategy to achieve this objective includes the following key elements:

# EXPAND SYSTEM FUNCTIONALITY AND DEVELOP NEW PRODUCTS, SOFTWARE AND SERVICES FOR OUR EXISTING FINANCIAL MARKETS

We plan to continue to expand the types of financial and other products traded in our marketplaces, both in the United States and abroad. In 2003 we will roll out products in U.S. agencies, off-the-run U.S. Treasury securities, spreads, and basis trading of U.S. Treasuries, municipal bonds, interest rate swaps and foreign exchange. Our goal is to include in our electronic marketplaces the full range of financial products that are currently traded in today's non-equity capital markets worldwide. In addition, we plan to develop software and services to add new methods to effect transactions in these products, including our SuperQuads, Price Improvement and Direct Dealing software enhancements. We expect that our traditional client base will begin to trade new products as we develop electronic marketplaces for them, and we intend to continue to convert our existing global clients to our fully electronic platform.

# LEVERAGE OUR ESPEED(R) SYSTEM FOR USE IN A WIDE RANGE OF ADDITIONAL NON-EQUITY CAPITAL MARKETS AND OTHER INDUSTRIES

Because of the scale of our system and infrastructure and its ease of adaptability, we believe our eSpeed(R) system has applications across a broad range of products, including Internet-based marketplaces for a wide array of goods and services, particularly those involving multiple buyers and sellers. We believe we are well positioned to leverage the significant costs and efforts that have been incurred developing our eSpeed(R) system to quickly create electronic markets in a wide range of products. We plan, over time, to serve additional marketplaces that can benefit from more efficient, centralized, electronic trading facilities. We plan to continue to expand our eSpeed(R) system across the financial markets and their products.

# LICENSE OUR SOFTWARE TO PROVIDE A BROAD RANGE OF MARKET PARTICIPANTS WITH AN OUTSOURCED SOLUTION FOR ONLINE DISTRIBUTION OF THEIR PRODUCTS

We provide a complete outsourced solution to our clients to enable them to distribute their branded products to their customers through online offerings, auctions, including private and reverse auctions, and direct dealing capabilities. We are rebuilding our dedicated sales force that will focus on licensing our software solutions to existing and new clients.

## PURSUE STRATEGIC ALLIANCES AND ACQUISITIONS

We are continually exploring opportunities to maximize our growth, including acquisitions, strategic alliances, joint ventures, private placements, recapitalizations or any combination of the foregoing, to expand our vertical markets and generate future growth. We are seeking to enter into joint ventures and other strategic alliances to create liquidity in new and existing product markets, to utilize our patents in such ventures and strategic alliances and to attract new participants to trade products in those markets. We have employed this strategy in our alliance with Freedom and in our other ventures.

### **OUR CLIENTS**

Our clients in our financial markets include banks, dealers, brokers and other wholesale market participants, over 700 of which currently participate in our electronic marketplaces, including most of the largest bond trading firms in the world, as identified by Euromoney Magazine. Our clients in our energy markets include energy trading companies, utilities and other wholesale market participants.

We are providing wholesale and retail investors access to the electronic marketplaces and brokerage-related services supported by our eSpeed (R) system. We expect that a significant portion of our clients who use brokers will migrate to fully electronic access over the coming years. We also expect to add clients for eSpeed Software Solutions(SM) from a wide variety of industries. In addition, due to the loss of virtually all of Cantor's U.S. non-equity voice brokerage business in connection with the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, we intend to build relationships with new clients, including traditional competitors of Cantor. We further intend to provide third parties with the infrastructure, including systems administration, internal network support and operations and disaster recovery services, that is critical to providing fully electronic marketplaces in a wide variety of products. Other than Cantor, no client of ours accounts for more than 10% of our revenues.

### SALES, MARKETING AND CORPORATE DEVELOPMENT

We promote our electronic marketplaces and services to our existing and prospective clients through a combination of sales, marketing and comarketing campaigns. We leverage our client relationships through a variety of direct marketing and sales initiatives and build and enhance our brand image through marketing and communications campaigns targeted at a diverse audience, including traders, potential partners and the investor and press communities. We may market to our existing and prospective retail clients through a

variety of co-marketing/co-branding initiatives with our online partners. We have designed our sales and marketing efforts to promote brand awareness and educate our audience regarding the nature of our electronic marketplaces, products and services and the advantages associated with the automation of trading activities, as well as our association with Cantor.

Additionally, our senior management staff actively works to establish strategic relationships, develop new markets for our technology and structure and execute investments and acquisitions. Our staff promotes eSpeed at conferences, conventions, events and speaking engagements that advance both our technology and our brand name. In many cases, these engagements are focused within specific vertical markets that we intend to develop in the future. All of these efforts are intended to enhance our image, awareness and profitability.

### SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT

We devote substantial efforts to the development and improvement of our electronic marketplaces and licensed software products. We work with our clients to identify their specific requirements and make modifications to our software, network distribution systems and technologies that are responsive to those needs. Our research and development efforts focus on internal development, strategic partnering, acquisitions and licensing. Although we lost many technology professionals and software developers on September 11, 2001, we continue to employ over 266 technology professionals. Our technology team's objective is to develop new products and services in order to provide superior electronic marketplace solutions to our clients. We also focus our efforts on enhancing our Internet interfaces to facilitate real-time markets and comply with the standard Internet security protocol and future security protocols in order to capitalize on the development of new commercial marketplaces. We are continuing to develop new marketplaces and products using our internally developed application software. In addition, we have forged strategic alliances with third-party independent software vendors through which we will work to develop sophisticated, industry specific, front-end applications and products.

### COMPETITION

The development and operation of electronic marketplaces are evolving. As a result, competition in these marketplaces is currently fragmented. We expect to face competition from a number of different sources varying in size, business objectives and strategy, some of which competitors are larger than we are and have greater financial resources.

Our current and prospective competitors are numerous and include inter-dealer brokerage firms, market data and information vendors, securities and futures exchanges, electronic communications networks, crossing systems, consortia, business-to-business marketplace infrastructure and software companies and niche energy market and other commodity business-to-business Internet-based trading systems. In January 2003, ICAP and BrokerTec Global, two of our largest competitors, entered into an agreement by which ICAP would acquire certain businesses of BrokerTec Global involving electronic trading of government securities. The acquisition is conditional upon regulatory approval in the United States and, if approved, could have a significant impact on our competitive position.

The electronic marketplace solutions we provide to our clients enable them to expand the range of services they provide to their ultimate customers, which are also potential participants in our electronic marketplaces. We intend to structure our relationships with our clients and conduct our operations to mitigate the potential for this competition. We do not intend to use the access to the customer base of our clients that we obtain in providing our electronic marketplace solutions to compete with these clients in other product transactions.

We believe our electronic marketplaces compete primarily on the basis of speed, functionality, efficiency, price, system stability and ability to provide market participants with access to liquidity.

## **OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY**

We have adopted a comprehensive intellectual property program to protect our proprietary technology. We currently have licenses covering four of Cantor's patents in the U.S. One patent relates to a data processing system and method for electronically trading select items such as fixed income instruments. Two patents relate to a fixed income portfolio index processor. One patent relates to a system for shared remote access of multiple application programs by one or more computers. Foreign counterpart applications for some of these U.S. patents have been filed. The licenses are exclusive, except in the event that we do not seek to or are unable to provide to Cantor any requested services covered by the patents and Cantor elects not to require us to do so.

In April 2001, we purchased the Wagner Patent, which addresses automated futures trading and provides for bids and offers to be placed and matched electronically. In August 2002, we entered into a Settlement Agreement with Electronic Trading Systems Corporation, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc. and the CBOT to resolve the litigation related to the Wagner Patent.

See "Item 3. Legal Proceedings". On March 29, 2002, we entered into a long term licensing agreement with IntercontinentalExchange, Inc. (ICE) granting use of our Wagner Patent to ICE. Under the terms of the agreement, ICE will pay an annual royalty of \$2 million per year. ICE will also pay to us \$0.10 for each contract that participants submit to the electronic futures exchange for trading, or \$0.20 for each contract contained in matched trades on the electronic futures exchange. To date, we have not received any per contract revenue from this arrangement. The agreement will remain in effect until February 7, 2007, or for the duration of the life of the patent, unless certain conditions are not met. In December 2002, we entered into an agreement with the Chicago Board of Trade to distribute futures products over our eSpeed(R) system.

In July 2001, we purchased a patent, the Lawrence Patent, which relates to the electronic trading of municipal bonds and electronic auctions of fixed income securities and interest rate products. Auction-based trading allows broker-dealers and their customers to send our "bid-wanted" forms listing the available securities, then to accept bids with a final auction time. The Lawrence Patent brings additional efficiencies to the auctioned markets by, among other things, enabling potential buyers to electronically place bids securely and anonymously.

We also have an agreement to license several pending U.S. patent applications relating to various other aspects of our electronic trading systems, including both functional and design aspects. We have filed a number of patent applications to further protect our proprietary technology and innovations.

We cannot at this time determine the significance of any of the foregoing patents, or future patents, if issued, to our business. We can give no assurance that any of the foregoing patents will be found by a court to be valid and enforceable, or that any of these patents would not be infringed by a third party competing or seeking to compete with our business. Our business strategy may include licensing such patents for royalties, joint venturing with other marketplaces or exchanges, or exclusively using the patents in our marketplaces.

### **EMPLOYEES**

As of December 31, 2002, we had 319 employees, five of whom are our executive officers. None of these employees is represented by a union. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

### WEBSITE ACCESS TO REPORTS

Our Internet website address is www.espeed.com. Through our Internet website, we make available, free of charge, the following reports as soon as reasonably practicable after electronically filing them with, or furnishing them to, the SEC: our annual report on Form 10-K; our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q; our current reports on Form 8-K; and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our Proxy Statements for our Annual Meetings are also available through our Internet website. Our Internet website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information in this Report, the following risk factors should be considered carefully in evaluating us and our business.

### RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

# THE EVENTS OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2001 HAVE HAD AND MAY CONTINUE TO HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR BUSINESS.

Our losses

Our previous headquarters were in the World Trade Center. As a result of the September 11 Events, our offices in the World Trade Center were destroyed and we lost approximately 180 of our employees, including many members of our senior management. The destruction of our assets, the loss of all those employees, including product development personnel, and the need to relocate the surviving employees has negatively impacted our business. In addition, although we still have redundancy of our system, we now have two data centers instead of the three that we had prior to the September 11 Events.

### Cantor's losses

Cantor and TradeSpark lost an aggregate of 478 employees and equipment and systems as a result of the September 11 Events. Cantor also lost its headquarters. Such losses have negatively impacted our revenues and may continue to adversely impact our revenues in the future since, among other things, Cantor is not currently trading many of the financial products its voice brokers

historically traded using our eSpeed(R) system. In addition, the loss of Cantor's assets and brokers will negatively affect our strategy to convert the products that those brokers were trading in voice-assisted transactions to products that are traded fully electronically over our eSpeed(R) system.

### WE MAY INCUR LOSSES IN THE FUTURE.

From our inception through December 31, 2002, we have sustained a cumulative net loss of approximately \$49.4 million. While we currently expect to generate operating profits in the year 2003, as we continue to develop our systems and infrastructure and expand our brand recognition and client base through increased marketing efforts, we may incur additional losses.

IF WE DO NOT EXPAND THE USE OF OUR ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, OR IF OUR CLIENTS DO NOT USE OUR MARKETPLACES OR SERVICES, OUR REVENUES AND PROFITABILITY WILL BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

The use of electronic marketplaces is relatively new. The success of our business plan depends, in part, on our ability to maintain and expand the network of trading firms, dealers, banks and other financial institutions that use our interactive electronic marketplaces. We cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to expand our marketplaces, or that we will be able to retain the current participants in our marketplaces. Although some of our agreements with market participants require certain minimum payments, none of our agreements with market participants require them to use our electronic marketplaces.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO ENTER INTO ADDITIONAL MARKETING AND STRATEGIC ALLIANCES OR OUR CURRENT OR FUTURE STRATEGIC ALLIANCES ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL, WE MAY NOT GENERATE INCREASED TRADING IN OUR ELECTRONIC MARKETPLACES.

We expect to continue to enter into strategic alliances with other market participants, such as retail brokers, exchanges, energy companies, market makers, consortia, clearinghouses, major market participants and technology companies, in order to increase client access to and use of our electronic marketplaces. We cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to enter into these strategic alliances on terms that are favorable to us, or at all. In addition, we cannot assure you that our current or future strategic alliances will be successful. The success of our current and future relationships will depend on the amount of increased trading in our electronic marketplaces and the liquidity generated therein. These arrangements may not generate the expected number of new clients or increased trading volume we are seeking.

As a result of the downturn of the energy market and the performance of TradeSpark, our investment in TradeSpark has become impaired and has been written down to its net realizable value.

## TO INCREASE AWARENESS OF OUR ELECTRONIC MARKETPLACES, WE MAY NEED TO INCUR SIGNIFICANT MARKETING EXPENSES.

To successfully execute our business plan, we must build awareness and understanding of our electronic marketplace services, software products, brand and the adaptability of our electronic marketplaces for non-financial vertical markets. In order to build this awareness, our marketing efforts must succeed and we must provide high quality services. These efforts may require us to incur significant expenses. We cannot assure you that our marketing efforts will be successful or that the allocation of funds to these marketing efforts will be the most effective use of those funds.

## IF WE EXPERIENCE COMPUTER SYSTEMS FAILURES OR CAPACITY CONSTRAINTS, OUR ABILITY TO CONDUCT OUR OPERATIONS COULD BE HARMED.

We internally support and maintain many of our computer systems and networks. Our failure to monitor or maintain these systems and networks or, if necessary, to find a replacement for this technology in a timely and cost-effective manner would have a material adverse effect on our ability to conduct our operations.

We also rely and expect to rely on third parties for various computer and communications systems, such as telephone companies, online service providers, data processors, clearance organizations and software and hardware vendors. Our systems, or those of our third-party providers, may fail or operate slowly, causing one or more of the following:

- o unanticipated disruptions in service to our clients;
- o slower response times;
- o delays in our clients' trade execution;
- o failed settlement of trades:
- o incomplete or inaccurate accounting, recording or processing of trades;

- o financial losses:
- o litigation or other client claims; and
- o regulatory sanctions.

We experienced systems and telecommunications failures in connection with the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. We cannot assure you that we will not experience additional systems failures in the future from power or telecommunications failure, acts of God or war, terrorist attacks, human error, natural disasters, fire, power loss, sabotage, hardware or software malfunctions or defects, computer viruses, intentional acts of vandalism and similar events. Any system failure that causes an interruption in service or decreases the responsiveness of our service, including failures caused by client error or misuse of our systems, could damage our reputation, business and brand name.

# IF WE DO NOT EFFECTIVELY MANAGE OUR GROWTH, OUR EXISTING PERSONNEL AND SYSTEMS MAY BE STRAINED AND OUR BUSINESS MAY NOT OPERATE EFFICIENTLY.

In order to execute our business plan, we must grow significantly. This growth will place significant strain on our personnel, management systems and resources. We expect that the number of our employees, including technical and management-level employees, will increase for the foreseeable future. We must continue to improve our operational and financial systems and managerial controls and procedures, and we will need to continue to expand, train and manage our technical workforce. We must also maintain close coordination among our technical, compliance, accounting, finance, marketing and sales organizations. We cannot assure you that we will manage our growth effectively, and failure to do so could result in our business operating inefficiently.

WE OPERATE IN A RAPIDLY EVOLVING BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT. IF WE ARE UNABLE TO ADAPT OUR BUSINESS EFFECTIVELY TO KEEP PACE WITH THESE CHANGES, OUR OPERATIONS WILL BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

The pace of change in our market is extremely rapid. Operating in such a rapidly-changing business environment involves a high degree of risk. Our success will depend on our ability to adapt effectively to these changing market conditions.

# IF WE ARE UNABLE TO KEEP UP WITH RAPID TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGES, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY.

To remain competitive, we must continue to enhance and improve the responsiveness, functionality, accessibility and features of our proprietary software, network distribution systems and technologies. The financial services and e-commerce industries are characterized by rapid technological changes, changes in use and client requirements and preferences, frequent product and service introductions embodying new technologies and the emergence of new industry standards and practices that could render our existing proprietary technology and systems obsolete. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to:

- o develop and license leading technologies useful in our business;
- o enhance our existing services;
- o develop new services and technologies that address the increasingly sophisticated and varied needs of our existing and prospective clients; and
- o respond to technological advances and emerging industry standards and practices on a cost-effective and timely basis.

The development of proprietary electronic trading technology entails significant technical, financial and business risks. Further, the adoption of new Internet, networking or telecommunications technologies may require us to devote substantial resources to modify and adapt our services. We cannot assure you that we will successfully implement new technologies or adapt our proprietary technology and transaction-processing systems to client requirements or emerging industry standards. We cannot assure you that we will be able to respond in a timely manner to changing market conditions or client requirements.

IF WE WERE TO LOSE THE SERVICES OF MEMBERS OF MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES WHO POSSESS SPECIALIZED MARKET KNOWLEDGE AND TECHNOLOGY SKILLS, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO MANAGE OUR OPERATIONS EFFECTIVELY OR DEVELOP NEW ELECTRONIC MARKETPLACES.

Our future success depends, in significant part, on the continued service of Howard Lutnick, our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, and our other executive officers and managers and sales and technical personnel who possess extensive knowledge and technology skills in our markets. We cannot assure you that we would be able to find an appropriate replacement

for Mr. Lutnick if the need should arise. Any loss or interruption of Mr. Lutnick's services could result in our inability to manage our operations effectively and/or develop new electronic marketplaces. We have not entered into employment agreements with any of our executive officers or other personnel. Although we have obtained \$15 million in "key person" life insurance on the life of Mr. Lutnick, we do not have "key person" life insurance policies on any of our other executive officers or personnel. All of the members of our senior management team are also officers, partners or key employees of Cantor. As a result, they dedicate only a portion of their professional efforts to our business and operations. We cannot assure you that the time these persons devote to our business and operations in the future will be adequate and that we will not experience an adverse effect on our operations due to the demands placed on our management team by their other professional obligations. We intend to strive to provide high quality services that will allow us to establish and maintain long-term relationships with our clients. Our ability to do so will depend, in large part, upon the individual employees who represent us in our dealings with clients. The market for qualified programmers, technicians and sales persons is extremely competitive and has grown more so in recent periods as electronic commerce has experienced growth. We cannot assure you that we will be successful in our efforts to recruit and retain the required personnel.

# IF CANTOR OR WE ARE UNABLE TO PROTECT THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS WE LICENSE FROM CANTOR OR OWN, OUR ABILITY TO OPERATE ELECTRONIC MARKETPLACES MAY BE MATERIALLY ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

Our business is dependent on proprietary technology and other intellectual property rights. We license some of our patented technology from Cantor. The license arrangement is exclusive, except in the event that (1) we are unwilling to provide to Cantor any requested services covered by the patents with respect to a marketplace and Cantor elects not to require us to do so, or we are unable to provide such services or (2) we do not exercise our right of first refusal to provide to Cantor electronic brokerage services with respect to a marketplace, in which case Cantor retains a limited right to use the patents and patent applications solely in connection with the operation of that marketplace. We cannot guarantee that the concepts which are the subject of the patents and patent applications covered by the license from Cantor or that we own are patentable or that issued patents are or will be valid and enforceable. Where patents are granted in the U.S., we can give no assurance that equivalent patents will be granted in Europe or elsewhere, as a result of differences in local laws affecting patentability and validity. Moreover, we cannot guarantee that Cantor's issued patents or our issued patents are valid and enforceable, or that third parties competing or intending to compete with us will not infringe any of these patents. Despite precautions we or Cantor has taken or may take to protect our intellectual property rights, it is possible that third parties may copy or otherwise obtain and use our proprietary technology without authorization. It is also possible that third parties may independently develop technologies similar to ours. It may be difficult for us to monitor unauthorized use of our proprietary technology and intellectual property rights. We cannot assure you that the steps we have taken will prevent misappropriation of our technology or intellectual property rights.

We use our eSpeed(R) registered service mark for the services described herein and have registered that service mark in a number of jurisdictions around the world. Although several existing third-party registrations and applications for trademarks and servicemarks consisting of designations similar to ours in certain countries have come to light, they are for goods and services that are of a different type from those being offered under our eSpeed(R) registered service mark. Although we are not presently aware of any third-party objections to our use or registration of our eSpeed(R) registered service mark in these countries, and believe we could defend against any third-party claims asserted in these countries, such registrations and applications could potentially affect the registration, and/or limit our use, of our eSpeed(R) registered service mark in these countries, thereby requiring us to adopt and use another service mark for our services in such countries.

# WE HAVE HAD TO RESORT TO COSTLY LITIGATION TO PROTECT AND DEFEND CERTAIN OF OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, AND MAY CONTINUE TO HAVE TO DO SO.

We have had to resort to costly litigation to enforce certain of our intellectual property rights. We may have to continue to resort to litigation to protect our trade secrets, determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others or defend ourselves from claims of infringement, invalidity or unenforceability. We may incur substantial costs and diversion of resources as a result of litigation, even if we win. In the event we do not win, we may have to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. We cannot assure you that an agreement would be available to us on reasonable terms, if at all.

# IF OUR SOFTWARE LICENSES FROM THIRD PARTIES ARE TERMINATED, OUR ABILITY TO OPERATE OUR BUSINESS MAY BE MATERIALLY ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

We license database and other software from third parties, much of which is integral to our systems and our business. The licenses are terminable if we breach our obligations under the license agreements. If any of these relationships were terminated or if any of these third parties were to cease doing business, we may be forced to spend significant time and money to replace the licensed software. However, we cannot assure you that the necessary replacements will be available on reasonable terms, if at all.

# IF THE STRENGTH OF OUR DOMAIN NAMES IS DILUTED, THE VALUE OF OUR PROPRIETARY RIGHTS MAY DECREASE.

We own many Internet domain names, including "www.espeed.com." The regulation of domain names in the U.S. and in foreign countries may change and the strength of our names could be diluted. We may not be able to prevent third parties from acquiring domain names that infringe or otherwise decrease the value of our trademarks and other proprietary rights.

# IF WE INFRINGE ON PATENT RIGHTS OR COPYRIGHTS OF OTHERS, WE COULD BECOME INVOLVED IN COSTLY LITIGATION.

Patents or copyrights of third parties may have an important bearing on our ability to offer certain of our products and services. We cannot assure you that we are or will be aware of all patents or copyrights containing claims that may pose a risk of infringement by our products and services. In addition, patent applications in the U.S. are generally confidential until a patent is issued. As a result, we cannot evaluate the extent to which our products and services may be covered or asserted to be covered by claims contained in pending patent applications. In general, if one or more of our products or services were to infringe patents held by others, we may be required to stop developing or marketing the products or services, to obtain licenses to develop and market the services from the holders of the patents or to redesign the products or services in such a way as to avoid infringing on the patent claims, which could limit the manner in which we conduct our operations.

### DUE TO INTENSE COMPETITION, OUR MARKET SHARE AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE COULD SUFFER.

The electronic trading and Internet-based financial and non-financial services markets are highly competitive and many of our competitors are more established and have greater financial resources than us. We expect that competition will intensify in the future. Many of our competitors also have greater market presence, engineering and marketing capabilities and technological and personnel resources than we do. As a result, as compared to us, our competitors may:

- o develop and expand their network infrastructures and service offerings more efficiently or more quickly;
- o adapt more swiftly to new or emerging technologies and changes in client requirements;
- o take advantage of acquisitions and other opportunities more effectively;
- o devote greater resources to the marketing and sale of their products and services; and
- o leverage existing relationships with clients and strategic partners more effectively or exploit more recognized brand names to market and sell their services.

Our current and prospective competitors are numerous and include interdealer brokerage firms, technology companies and market data and information vendors, securities and futures exchanges, electronic communications networks, crossing systems, software companies, consortia, business-to-business marketplace infrastructure companies and niche market energy and other commodity business-to-business Internet-based trading systems. In January 2003, ICAP and BrokerTec Global, two of our largest competitors, entered into an agreement by which ICAP would acquire certain businesses of BrokerTec Global involving electronic trading of government securities. The acquisition is conditional upon regulatory approval in the United States and, if approved, could have a significant impact on our competitive position.

We believe that we may also face competition from large computer software companies, media and technology companies and some securities brokerage firms that are currently our clients.

The number of businesses providing Internet-based financial and non-financial services is rapidly growing, and other companies, in addition to those named above, have entered into or are forming joint ventures or consortia to provide services similar to those provided by us. Others may acquire the capabilities necessary to compete with us through acquisitions.

In the event we extend the application of our Interactive Matching(SM) technology to conducting or facilitating auctions of consumer goods and services over the Internet, we expect to compete with both online and traditional sellers of these products and services. The market for selling products and services over the Internet is new, rapidly evolving and intensely competitive. Current and new competitors can launch new sites at a relatively low cost. We expect we will potentially compete with a variety of companies with respect to each product or service we offer. We may face competition from a number of other large Internet companies that have expertise in developing online commerce and in facilitating Internet traffic, which could choose to compete with us either directly or indirectly through affiliations with other e-commerce companies. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete effectively with such companies.

### IF WE EXPERIENCE LOW TRADING VOLUME IN PRODUCTS, OUR PROFITABILITY COULD SUFFER.

We have experienced significant fluctuations in the aggregate trading volume of products being traded in our marketplaces. We expect that fluctuations in the trading volume of products traded in our marketplaces will occur in the future from time to time and have a direct impact on our future operating results. This may cause significant fluctuations in our profitability when the trading volumes are low.

IF ADVERSE ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL CONDITIONS OCCUR, SUBSTANTIAL DECLINES IN THE U.S. AND GLOBAL FINANCIAL SERVICES MARKETS MAY RESULT AND OUR PROFITABILITY COULD SUFFER.

The global financial services business is, by its nature, risky and volatile and is directly affected by many national and international factors that are beyond our control. Any one of these factors may cause a substantial decline in the U.S. and global financial services markets, resulting in reduced trading volume and turnover. These events could have a material adverse effect on our profitability. These factors include:

- o economic and political conditions in the U.S. and elsewhere in the world;
- o terrorist attacks or war;
- o concerns over inflation and wavering institutional/consumer confidence levels;
- o the availability of cash for investment by mutual funds and other wholesale and retail investors;
- o fluctuating interest and exchange rates;
- o legislative and regulatory changes; and
- o currency values.

# IF THERE IS LESS U.S. TREASURY DEBT OUTSTANDING, OR IF OUR SHARE OF THE U.S. TREASURY MARKET DECLINES, OUR REVENUES MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

Our business is highly dependent upon the volume of bonds being traded through our eSpeed(R) system. We believe that we have historically led the U.S. Treasury benchmark market, and our revenues are increasingly concentrated in this business. If the U.S. reduces its outstanding Treasury debt, or if there is contraction in the U.S. Treasury market, there may be a decline in the volume of U.S. Treasury securities traded through our eSpeed(R) system. Similarly, if we were to lose market share in the U.S. Treasury market, our revenues would be adversely affected.

BECAUSE WE EXPECT TO CONTINUE TO EXPAND OUR OPERATIONS OUTSIDE NORTH AMERICA, WE MAY FACE SPECIAL ECONOMIC AND REGULATORY CHALLENGES THAT WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO MEET.

We operate electronic marketplaces throughout Europe and Asia and we plan to further expand our operations throughout these regions and other regions in the future. There are certain risks inherent in doing business in international markets, particularly in the regulated brokerage industry. These risks include:

- o less developed automation in exchanges, depositories and national clearing systems;
- o unexpected changes in regulatory requirements, tariffs and other trade barriers;
- o difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;
- o fluctuations in exchange rates;
- o reduced protection for intellectual property rights;
- o seasonal reductions in business activity during the summer months; and
- o potentially adverse tax consequences.

We are required to comply with the laws and regulations of foreign governmental and regulatory authorities of each country in which we conduct business. These may include laws, rules and regulations relating to any aspect of the securities business, including sales methods, capital structure, record-keeping, broker-dealer and employee registration requirements and the conduct of directors, officers and employees. Any failure to develop effective compliance and reporting systems could result in regulatory penalties in the applicable jurisdiction.

The growth of the Internet as a means of conducting international business has also raised many legal issues regarding, among other things, the circumstances in which countries or other jurisdictions have the right to regulate Internet services that may be available to their citizens from service providers located elsewhere. In many cases, there are no laws, regulations, judicial decisions or governmental interpretations that clearly resolve these issues. This uncertainty may adversely affect our ability to use the Internet to expand our international operations, and creates the risk that we could be subject to disciplinary sanctions or other penalties for failure to comply with applicable laws or regulations.

# AS WE ENTER NEW MARKETS, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY ADAPT OUR TECHNOLOGY AND MARKETING STRATEGY FOR USE IN THOSE MARKETS.

We are leveraging our eSpeed(R) system to enter new markets. WE cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully adapt our proprietary software, electronic distribution networks and technology for use in other markets. Even if we do adapt our software, networks and technology, we cannot assure you that we will be able to attract clients and compete successfully in any such new markets. We cannot assure you that our marketing efforts or our pursuit of any of these opportunities will be successful. If these efforts are not successful, we may realize less than expected earnings, which in turn could result in a decrease in the market value of our Class A common stock. Furthermore, these efforts may divert management attention or inefficiently utilize our resources. We intend to create electronic marketplaces for many vertical markets and extend into others, but there is no guarantee that we will be able to do so.

### IF WE ACQUIRE OTHER COMPANIES, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO INTEGRATE THEIR OPERATIONS EFFECTIVELY.

Our business strategy contemplates expansion through the acquisition of exchanges and other companies providing services or having technologies and operations that are complementary to ours. Acquisitions entail numerous risks, including:

- o difficulties in the assimilation of acquired operations and products;
- o diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;
- o assumption of unknown material liabilities of acquired companies;
- o amortization of acquired intangible assets, which would reduce future reported earnings; and
- o potential loss of clients or key employees of acquired companies.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to integrate successfully any operations, personnel, services or products that might be acquired in the future, and our failure to do so could adversely affect our profitability and the value of our Class A common stock.

# BECAUSE OUR BUSINESS IS SUBJECT TO EXTENSIVE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER REGULATION, WE MAY FACE RESTRICTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE WAY WE CONDUCT OUR OPERATIONS.

The Securities and Exchange Commission, NASD Regulation, Inc., Commodity Futures Trading Commission and other agencies extensively regulate the U.S. financial industry. Our international operations may become subject to similar regulations in specific jurisdictions. Certain of our U.S. subsidiaries are required to comply strictly with the rules and regulations of these agencies. As a matter of public policy, these regulatory bodies are responsible for safeguarding the integrity of the securities and other financial markets and protecting the interests of investors in those markets. Most aspects of our U.S. broker-dealer subsidiaries are highly regulated, including:

- o the way we deal with our clients;
- o our capital requirements;
- o our financial and Securities and Exchange Commission reporting practices;
- o required record keeping and record retention procedures;

o the licensing of our employees; and

o the conduct of our directors, officers, employees and affiliates.

If we fail to comply with any of these laws, rules or regulations, we may be subject to censure, fines, cease-and-desist orders, suspension of our business, suspensions of personnel or other sanctions, including revocation of registration as a broker-dealer. Changes in laws or regulations or in governmental policies could have a material adverse effect on the conduct of our business. These agencies have broad powers to investigate and enforce compliance and punish non-compliance with their rules and regulations. We cannot assure you that we and/or our directors, officers and employees will be able to fully comply with, and will not be subject to, claims or actions by these agencies.

The products and services we offer through our electronic marketplaces are likely to be regulated by federal, state and foreign governments. Our ability to provide such services will be affected by these regulations. In addition, as we expand our business to other vertical markets, it is likely that we will be subject to additional federal, state and foreign regulations. The implementation of unfavorable regulations or unfavorable interpretations of existing regulations by courts or regulatory bodies could require us to incur significant compliance costs or cause the development of affected markets to become impractical.

# BECAUSE WE ARE SUBJECT TO RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH NET CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO ENGAGE IN OPERATIONS THAT REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT CAPITAL.

The Securities and Exchange Commission, Commodity Futures Trading Commission and various other regulatory agencies have stringent rules and regulations with respect to the maintenance of specific levels of net capital by regulated companies. Net capital is the net worth of a broker or dealer, less deductions for certain types of assets. If a firm fails to maintain the required net capital, it may be subject to suspension or revocation of registration by the Securities and Exchange Commission or Commodity Futures Trading Commission, and suspension or expulsion by these regulators could ultimately lead to the firm's liquidation. If these net capital rules are changed or expanded, or if there is an unusually large charge against net capital, operations that require the intensive use of capital would be limited. Also, our ability to withdraw capital from broker-dealer subsidiaries could be restricted, which in turn could limit our ability to pay dividends, repay debt and redeem or purchase shares of our outstanding stock. A large operating loss or charge against net capital could adversely affect our ability to expand or even maintain our present levels of business, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, we may become subject to net capital requirements in foreign jurisdictions.

# BECAUSE WE OFFER ACCESS TO SOME OF OUR MARKETPLACES TO ONLINE RETAIL BROKERS AND OTHERS, WE ARE SUBJECT TO RISKS RELATING TO UNCERTAINTY IN THE REGULATION OF THE INTERNET.

There are currently few laws or regulations that specifically regulate communications or commerce on the Internet. However, laws and regulations may be adopted in the future that address issues such as user privacy, pricing, taxation and the characteristics and quality of products and services. For example, the Telecommunications Act sought to prohibit transmitting various types of information and content over the Internet. Several telecommunications companies have petitioned the Federal Communications Commission to regulate Internet service providers and other online service providers in a manner similar to long distance telephone carriers and to impose access fees on those companies. This could increase the cost of transmitting data over the Internet. Moreover, it may take years to determine the extent to which existing laws relating to issues such as property ownership, libel and personal privacy are applicable to the Internet. Any new laws or regulations relating to the Internet could adversely affect our business.

# BECAUSE BROKERAGE SERVICES INVOLVE SUBSTANTIAL RISKS OF LIABILITY, WE MAY BECOME SUBJECT TO RISKS OF LITIGATION.

Many aspects of our business, and the businesses of our clients, involve substantial risks of liability. Dissatisfied clients frequently make claims regarding quality of trade execution, improperly settled trades, mismanagement or even fraud against their service providers. We and our clients may become subject to these claims as the result of failures or malfunctions of systems and services provided by us and third parties may seek recourse against us. We could incur significant legal expenses defending claims, even those without merit. An adverse resolution of any lawsuits or claims against us could result in our obligation to pay substantial damages.

In addition, we are subject to legal proceedings and claims against Cantor and its affiliates as a result of the transactions surrounding our formation. Although Cantor has agreed to indemnify us against claims or liabilities arising from our assets or operations prior to the formation transactions, we cannot assure you that such claims or litigation will not harm our business.

### IF WE CANNOT DETER EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT, WE MAY BE HARMED.

There have been a number of highly publicized cases involving fraud or other misconduct by employees in the financial services industry in recent years, and we run the risk that employee misconduct could occur. Misconduct by employees could include hiding unauthorized or unsuccessful activities from us. In either case, this type of conduct could result in unknown and unmanaged risks or losses. Employee misconduct could also involve the improper use of confidential information, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious reputational harm. It is not always possible to deter employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in all cases.

# BECAUSE OUR BUSINESS IS DEVELOPING, WE CANNOT PREDICT OUR FUTURE CAPITAL NEEDS OR OUR ABILITY TO SECURE ADDITIONAL FINANCING.

We anticipate, based on management's experience and current industry trends, that our existing cash resources will be sufficient to meet our anticipated working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next 12 months. However, we believe that there are a significant number of capital intensive opportunities for us to maximize our growth and strategic position, including, among other things, acquisitions, joint ventures, strategic alliances or other investments. As a result, we may need to raise additional funds to:

- o increase the regulatory net capital necessary to support our operations;
- o support more rapid growth in our business;
- o develop new or enhanced services and products;
- o respond to competitive pressures;
- o acquire complementary technologies;
- o enter into strategic alliances;
- o acquire companies with marketplace or other specific domain expertise; and
- o respond to unanticipated requirements.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain additional financing when needed on terms that are acceptable, if at all.

# THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR CLASS A COMMON STOCK HAS FLUCTUATED AND MAY FLUCTUATE IN THE FUTURE, AND FUTURE SALES OF OUR SHARES COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR CLASS A COMMON STOCK.

The market price of our Class A common stock has fluctuated widely since our initial public offering and may continue to fluctuate widely, depending upon many factors, including our perceived prospects and the prospects of the financial and other business-to-business marketplaces in general, differences between our actual financial and operating results and those expected by investors and analysts, changes in analysts' recommendations or projections, seasonality, changes in general valuations for Internet and e-commerce-related companies, changes in general economic or market conditions and broad market fluctuations.

Future sales of our shares also could adversely affect the market price of our Class A common stock. If our existing stockholders sell a large number of shares, or if we issue a large number of shares of our common stock in connection with future acquisitions, strategic alliances or otherwise, the market price of our Class A common stock could decline significantly. Moreover, the perception in the public market that these stockholders might sell shares of Class A common stock could depress the market price of our Class A common stock.

We have registered under the Securities Act 10,630,000 shares of our Class A common stock, which are reserved for issuance upon exercise of options granted under our stock option plan. Since our stock option plan has been amended to increase the amount of shares available for issuance under our stock option plan, we will likely register additional shares. In addition, if we increase our total outstanding shares of common stock, we will register additional shares of Class A common stock so that the stock available for issuance under our stock option plan will be registered. Once registered, these shares can be sold in the public market upon issuance, subject to restrictions under the securities laws applicable to resales by affiliates. In addition, we have registered under the Securities Act 425,000 shares of our Class A common stock issuable under our stock purchase plan. We also will be issuing new shares of our Class A common stock in connection with our matching program for our 401(k) plan. The maximum number of new shares we will be issuing in connection with our 401(k) plan is \$3,000 worth per employee per year.

Since June 9, 2002, approximately 5.9 million shares of our Class A common stock that have been distributed to partners of Cantor as part of a deferred stock distribution by Cantor have been eligible for resale in the public market subject to Rule 144(k) under the Securities Act. The availability for sale of such number of shares may have an adverse effect on the market price of our Class A common stock.

In addition, we have issued shares of our Class A common stock, warrants and convertible preferred stock and have granted registration rights in connection with certain of our strategic alliances. See "Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions."

Our board has authorized the repurchase of up to \$40 million of our outstanding Class A common stock. As of December 31, 2002, we had repurchased 24,600 shares of our Class A common stock for a total of \$221,892 under the repurchase plan authorized by our board of directors. During the first quarter of 2003, we purchased an additional 161,799 shares for a total purchase price of \$1,872,112, bringing the total number of treasury shares owned to 186,399 at a book value of \$2,094,004. We anticipate making additional stock repurchases in 2003.

### RISKS RELATED TO OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH CANTOR

BECAUSE WE CONTINUE TO DEPEND ON CANTOR'S BUSINESS, EVENTS WHICH ADVERSELY AFFECT CANTOR'S BUSINESS, INCLUDING A SALE, DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION OR WINDING-UP OF CANTOR, MAY HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR REVENUES.

Since inception, we have recognized a significant portion of our revenues in connection with our relationship with Cantor. Consequently, our business was adversely affected by the effect of the September 11 Events on Cantor's business. See "-- The events of September 11, 2001 have had and may continue to have an adverse effect on our business." In addition, any other future events which adversely affect Cantor's business or operating results, including a sale, dissolution, liquidation or winding-up of all or a material portion of Cantor's business, could have a material adverse effect on our most significant source of revenues. We also are a general creditor of Cantor to the extent that there are transaction revenues and software solutions fees owing to us from Cantor. Events that adversely affect Cantor's financial position and its ability to remit to us our share of transaction revenues and software solutions fees could have a material adverse effect on our revenues.

### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND COMPETITION WITH CANTOR MAY ARISE.

Various conflicts of interest between us and Cantor may arise in the future in a number of areas relating to our past and ongoing relationships, including competitive business activities, potential acquisitions of businesses or properties, the election of new directors, payment of dividends, incurrence of indebtedness, tax matters, financial commitments, marketing functions, indemnity arrangements, service arrangements, issuances of our capital stock, sales or distributions by Cantor of its shares of our common stock and the exercise by Cantor of control over our management and affairs. Our Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, as currently in effect (the Joint Services Agreement), with Cantor provides that, in some circumstances, Cantor can unilaterally determine the commissions that will be charged to clients for effecting trades in marketplaces in which we collaborate with Cantor. The determination of the nature of commissions charged to clients does not affect the allocation of revenues that Cantor and we share with respect to those transactions. However, in circumstances in which Cantor determines to charge clients lower commissions, the amount that we receive in respect of our share of the commissions will be correspondingly decreased. Pursuant to our Administrative Services Agreement with Cantor, Cantor is required to obtain for us, among other things, property and casualty insurance of not less than \$40 million and business interruption insurance of \$25 million. Cantor has procured property insurance coverage for us covering our fixed assets and business interruption insurance in the amount of \$25 million. However, in the case of business interruption insurance, we are listed on this insurance policy as one of several insured parties, together with Cantor and several of its affiliates. This insurance policy is for aggregate amounts in excess of the amounts set forth above. The Administrative Services Agreement does not provide for the allocation of the proceeds among the named insureds. Because Cantor controls us and the allocation of the proceeds received from insurance, Cantor may allocate the proceeds among the insured parties in a manner with which we disagree and that may have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

Four of our directors and our executive officers are either partners and/or officers of Cantor. Simultaneous service as an eSpeed director or officer and service as an officer, or status as a partner, of Cantor could create, or appear to create, potential conflicts of interest when such directors, officers and/or partners are faced with decisions that could have different implications for us and for Cantor. Mr. Lutnick, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, is the sole stockholder of the managing general partner of Cantor. As a result, Mr. Lutnick controls Cantor. As of March 1, 2003, Mr. Lutnick controlled approximately 89.8% of the combined voting power of all classes of our voting stock. Mr. Lutnick's simultaneous service as our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and his control of Cantor could create or appear to create potential conflicts of interest when Mr. Lutnick is faced with decisions that could have different implications for us and for Cantor.

BECAUSE OUR JOINT SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH CANTOR HAS A PERPETUAL TERM AND CONTAINS NON-COMPETITION PROVISIONS AND RESTRICTIONS ON OUR ABILITY TO PURSUE STRATEGIC TRANSACTIONS, THIS AGREEMENT MAY BECOME BURDENSOME TO OUR BUSINESS.

Although Cantor has agreed, subject to certain conditions, not to compete with us in providing electronic brokerage services, Cantor is currently engaged in securities transaction and other financial instruments execution and processing operations and other activities that are related to the electronic trading services we provide. Our Joint Services Agreement obligates us to perform technology support and other services for Cantor at cost, whether or not related to our electronic brokerage services, sets forth the ongoing revenue sharing arrangements between Cantor and us and subjects us and Cantor to non-competition obligations. The Joint Services Agreement precludes us from entering into lines of business in which Cantor now or in the future may engage, or providing, or assisting any third party in providing, voice-assisted brokerage services, clearance, settlement and fulfillment services and related services, except under limited circumstances. Although we believe Cantor has no plans to form, acquire or commence any other operations similar to ours, the Joint Services Agreement permits Cantor to perform, in limited circumstances, electronic brokerage operations. In addition, the Joint Services Agreement imposes limitations on our ability to pursue strategic alliances, joint ventures, partnerships, business combinations, acquisitions and similar transactions. Because the Joint Services Agreement has a perpetual term, even in the event of a breach by one of the parties, and does not provide for modification under its terms, this agreement may become burdensome for us, may distract us from focusing on our internal operations, may deter or discourage a takeover of our company and may limit our ability to expand our operations.

BECAUSE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN US AND CANTOR ARE NOT THE RESULT OF ARM'S-LENGTH NEGOTIATIONS, WE MAY RECEIVE LOWER SERVICE FEES FROM, AND PAY HIGHER SERVICE FEES TO, CANTOR THAN WE WOULD WITH RESPECT TO THIRD PARTY SERVICE PROVIDERS.

In connection with the formation transactions, we entered into Assignment and Assumption Agreements, an Administrative Services Agreement, a Joint Services Agreement and several other agreements with Cantor relating to the provision of services to each other and third parties. These agreements are not the result of arm's-length negotiations because Cantor owns and controls us. As a result, the prices charged to us or by us for services provided under the agreements may be higher or lower than prices that may be charged by third parties and the terms of these agreements may be generally less favorable to us than those that we could have negotiated with third parties.

# BECAUSE WE DEPEND ON SERVICES AND ACCESS TO OPERATING ASSETS PROVIDED BY THIRD PARTIES TO CANTOR, WE MAY NOT HAVE RECOURSE AGAINST THOSE THIRD PARTIES.

Many of the assets and services provided by Cantor under the terms of the Administrative Services Agreement are leased or provided to Cantor by third-party vendors. As a result, in the event of a dispute between Cantor and a third-party vendor, we could lose access to, or the right to use, as applicable, office space, personnel, corporate services and operating assets. In such a case, we would have no recourse with respect to the third-party vendor. Our inability to use these services and operating assets for any reason, including any termination of the Administrative Services Agreement between us and Cantor or the agreements between Cantor and third-party vendors, could result in serious interruptions of our operations.

# OUR REPUTATION MAY BE AFFECTED BY ACTIONS TAKEN BY CANTOR AND ENTITIES THAT ARE RELATED TO CANTOR.

Cantor currently is our most significant client. Cantor holds direct and indirect ownership and management interests in numerous other entities that engage in a broad range of financial services and securities-related activities. Actions taken by, and events involving, Cantor or these related companies which are perceived negatively by the securities markets, or the public generally, could have a material adverse effect on us and could affect the price of our Class A common stock. In addition, events which negatively affect the financial condition of Cantor may negatively affect us. These events could cause Cantor to lose clients that may trade in our marketplaces, could impair Cantor's ability to perform its obligations under the Joint Services Agreement, the Administrative Services Agreement and other agreements Cantor enters into with us and could cause Cantor to liquidate investments, including by selling or otherwise transferring shares of our common stock.

### IF WE BECOME SUBJECT TO LITIGATION AND OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS, WE MAY BE HARMED.

From time to time, we and Cantor may become involved in litigation and other legal proceedings relating to claims arising from our and their operations in the normal course of business. Cantor is currently subject to a number of legal proceedings that could affect us. We cannot assure you that these or other litigation or legal proceedings will not materially affect our ability to conduct our business in the manner that we expect or otherwise adversely affect us. See "Item 3. Legal Proceedings".

### RISKS RELATED TO E-COMMERCE AND THE INTERNET

# IF ELECTRONIC MARKETPLACES DO NOT CONTINUE TO GROW, WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE OUR BUSINESS OBJECTIVES.

The success of our business plan depends on our ability to create interactive electronic marketplaces for a wide range of products. Historically, securities and commodities markets operated through open outcry formats which have recently begun to be supplanted by new systems that match buyers and sellers electronically. The energy markets in which we participate through TradeSpark operate through phone-based and bulletin-board formats and have recently begun to transact electronically. The utilization of our products and services depends on the continued acceptance, adoption and growth of electronic markets. We cannot assure you that the growth and acceptance of the use of electronic markets will continue.

# IF E-COMMERCE AND INTERNET USAGE DOES NOT CONTINUE TO GROW, WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE OUR BUSINESS OBJECTIVES.

Our strategic and financial objectives would be adversely impacted if e-commerce adoption and usage does not continue to grow. Business-to-business use of the Internet as a medium of commerce is a recent phenomenon and is subject to a high level of uncertainty. Internet usage may be inhibited for a number of reasons, including:

o access c	osts	•
------------	------	---

- o inadequate network infrastructure;
- o security concerns;
- o uncertainty of legal, regulatory and tax issues concerning the use of the Internet;
- o concerns regarding ease of use, accessibility and reliability;
- o inconsistent quality of service; and
- o lack of availability of cost-effective, high-speed service.

If Internet usage grows, the Internet infrastructure may not be able to support the demands placed on it, or the Internet's performance and reliability may decline. Similarly, Web sites have experienced interruptions in their service as a result of outages and other delays occurring throughout the Internet network infrastructure. If these outages or delays occur frequently, use of the Internet as a commercial or business medium could grow more slowly or decline. Even if Internet usage continues to grow, online trading in the wholesale securities markets, and in particular the fixed income securities and futures markets, may not be accepted by our clients. This could negatively affect the growth of our business.

OUR NETWORKS AND THOSE OF OUR THIRD-PARTY SERVICE PROVIDERS MAY BE VULNERABLE TO SECURITY RISKS, WHICH COULD MAKE OUR CLIENTS HESITANT TO USE OUR ELECTRONIC MARKETPLACES.

We expect the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks to be a critical element of our operations. Our networks and those of our third-party service providers, including Cantor and associated clearing corporations, and our clients may be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer viruses and other security problems. Persons who circumvent security measures could wrongfully use our information or cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations, which could make our clients hesitant to use our electronic marketplaces. We may be required to expend significant resources to protect against the threat of security breaches or to alleviate problems, including reputational harm and litigation, caused by any breaches. Although we intend to continue to implement industry-standard security measures, we cannot assure you that those measures will be sufficient.

### RISKS RELATED TO OUR CAPITAL STRUCTURE

BECAUSE THE VOTING CONTROL OF OUR COMMON STOCK IS CONCENTRATED AMONG THE HOLDERS OF OUR CLASS B COMMON STOCK, THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR CLASS A COMMON STOCK MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY DISPARATE VOTING RIGHTS.

As of March 1, 2003, Cantor beneficially owned approximately 89.8% of the combined voting power of all classes of our voting stock. As long as Cantor beneficially owns a majority of the combined voting power of our common stock, it will have the ability, without the consent of the public stockholders, to elect all of the members of our board of directors and to control our management and affairs. In addition, it will be able to determine the outcome of matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders for approval and will be able to cause or prevent a change in control of our company. In certain circumstances, the shares of our Class B common stock issued to Cantor upon consummation of the formation transactions may be transferred without conversion to our Class A common stock.

The holders of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock have substantially identical rights, except that holders of our Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share, while holders of our Class B common stock are entitled to 10 votes per share on all matters to be voted on by stockholders in general. This differential in the voting rights and our ability to issue additional Class B common stock could adversely affect the market price of our Class A common stock.

# DELAWARE LAW AND OUR CHARTER MAY MAKE A TAKEOVER OF OUR COMPANY MORE DIFFICULT AND DILUTE YOUR PERCENTAGE OF OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK.

Provisions of Delaware law, such as its business combination statute, may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of our company. In addition, our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of preferred stock, which our board of directors can create and issue without prior stockholder approval and with rights senior to those of our common stock, as well as additional shares of our Class B common stock and warrants to purchase our common stock. Any such issuances would make a takeover of our company more difficult and may dilute your percentage ownership of our common stock. Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and our Second Amended and Restated By-Laws include provisions which restrict the ability of our stockholders to take action by written consent and provide for advance notice for stockholder proposals and director nominations. These provisions may have the effect of delaying or preventing changes of control or management of our company, even if such transactions would have significant benefits to our stockholders. As a result, these provisions could limit the price some investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our Class A common stock.

# DELAWARE LAW MAY PROTECT DECISIONS OF OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS THAT HAVE A DIFFERENT EFFECT ON HOLDERS OF OUR CLASS A AND CLASS B COMMON STOCK.

Stockholders may not be able to challenge decisions that have an adverse effect upon holders of our Class A common stock if our board of directors acts in a disinterested, informed manner with respect to these decisions, in good faith and in the belief that it is acting in the best interests of our stockholders. Delaware law generally provides that a board of directors owes an equal duty to all stockholders, regardless of class or series, and does not have separate or additional duties to either group of stockholders, subject to applicable provisions set forth in a company's charter.

#### **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

We have offices in the U.S., U.K. and Asia. Our principal executive offices are located at 135 East 57th Street, New York, New York. We currently occupy space subleased by Cantor. Our largest presence outside of the New York metropolitan area is in London, where we have the right to use approximately 15,000 square feet of Cantor's existing office space. Our right to use this space expires at the earlier of (1) the time that Cantor's lease expires in 2016 or (2) when Cantor ceases to be an affiliate of ours and Cantor asks us to vacate. We will pay Cantor approximately \$2.6 million annually for use of this space. Additionally, we occupy approximately 18,750 square feet of space in our concurrent computing center in Rochelle Park, New Jersey. We pay Cantor approximately \$717,000 annually for the use of the Rochelle Park space.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

By Statement of Claim dated October 8, 2002, Municipal Partners, LLC (MPLLC) commenced an arbitration before the NASD against Cantor Fitzgerald Partners and Howard Lutnick (the Arbitration). Although MPLLC did not name eSpeed as a respondent in the Arbitration, MPLLC seeks, among other things, (i) a declaration that the License and Service Agreement dated January 30, 2002, between MPLLC and eSpeed is null and void and (ii) an order directing eSpeed to reimburse MPLLC for certain costs. By Order to Show Cause signed on October 30, 2002, eSpeed, Cantor Fitzgerald Partners and Howard Lutnick moved for an order staying the Arbitration in its entirety or, alternatively, staying the Arbitration insofar as it seeks relief directly or indirectly against eSpeed. The motion has been fully briefed and is currently sub judice. The parties have agreed to participate in court sponsored non-binding mediation.

By Summons and Complaint dated October 30, 2002, eSpeed commenced an action against MPLLC seeking, among other things, payment for services rendered pursuant to the License and Service Agreement and payment for eSpeed's share of certain electronic revenues of MPLLC. In the interim, the parties have agreed to submit to non-binding mediation.

eSpeed patent related legal proceedings

On August 26, 2002, we entered into a Settlement Agreement (the Agreement) with Electronic Trading Systems Corporation (ETS), the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc. (CME) and CBOT to resolve the litigation related to the Wagner Patent (United States Patent No. 4,903,201). The Wagner Patent deals with automated futures trading systems in which transactions are completed by a computerized matching of bids and offers of futures contracts on an electronic platform.

Under the terms of the Agreement, CME and CBOT will each pay \$15 million to us as a fully paid up license. Each \$15 million payment will include \$5 million, which was received in the three months ended September 30, 2002, and \$2 million per year until 2007. We will recognize these payments, over the remaining life of the Wagner Patent, under the caption "Software Solutions and licensing fees from unrelated parties" in our consolidated statements of operations. The Wagner Patent expires in February 2007. As part of the Agreement, all parties will be released from the legal claims brought against each other without admitting liability on the part of any party. We may be paying ETS up to \$5,750,000 over time out of the amounts we receive under the Agreement in connection with the settlement of the litigation relating to the Wagner Patent. For the year ended December 31, 2002, \$2,750,000 was paid to ETS. The net settlement proceeds of \$24,250,000 is to be recognized as revenue ratably over the remaining useful life of the patent. For the year ended December 31, 2002, we have recorded revenue of \$1,796,074 related to the Settlement Agreement.

After we acquired the Wagner Patent in April 2001, we joined ETS, the prior patent owner, as a plaintiff in litigation pending in the Southern District of New York against the New York Mercantile Exchange. The plaintiffs allege that the defendants in each case infringed the Wagner Patent. The complaints seek injunctive relief, a reasonable royalty, treble damages pursuant to 37 U.S.C. ss.284, attorneys' fees, interest and costs. The defendants have asserted counterclaims by which they contend they are entitled to the their attorneys' fees should they prevail. On June 26, 2002, the Judge in the New York case entered an order following a Markman hearing construing the claims of the patent. We believe that both of those Markman rulings were generally consistent with out interpretation of the scope of the patent.

Expert discovery is ongoing in the New York case, and a limited amount of fact discovery remains, with any trial likely in 2003.

Although the ultimate outcome of these actions cannot be ascertained at this time (although the parties from time to time are actively pursuing settlement discussions) and the results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, it is the opinion of management that the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

### Cantor related legal proceedings

In February 1998, Market Data Corporation (MDC) contracted to provide the technology for an electronic trading system to compete with Cantor's United States Treasury brokerage business. MDC is controlled by Iris Cantor and Rodney Fisher, her nephew-in-law. Iris Cantor, a company under the control of Iris Cantor referred to herein as Cantor Fitzgerald Incorporated (CFI) and Rodney Fisher (collectively, the Iris Cantor Parties) are limited partners of Cantor. In April 1998, Cantor filed a complaint in the Delaware Court of Chancery against MDC and the Iris Cantor Parties with respect to these matters. Cantor believes MDC's technology for electronic trading systems would be of substantial assistance to competitors in the wholesale market if provided to them. On March 13, 2000, the Delaware Court of Chancery ruled in favor of Cantor, finding that the Iris Cantor Parties had breached the Partnership Agreement of Cantor, aided and abetted by MDC. The court awarded Cantor declaratory judgment relief and court costs and attorneys' fees.

On November 5, 2001, the Court of Chancery entered an Order of Declaratory Judgement, which provides that if any of the Iris Cantor Parties, through MDC or otherwise, wish to compete with Cantor or its affiliates in a manner that could reasonably be expected to harm a core business of Cantor, they must obtain the written consent of Cantor's Managing General Partner. On December 4, 2001, the defendants filed notices of appeal. The Delaware Supreme Court dismissed the appeals as interlocutory. On June 21, 2002 (and revised July 8, 2002), the Court rendered an opinion denying defendants' further reargument as to the damages award and stated that the case is now ripe for appeal. The parties were asked to submit a proposed form of order regarding the amount of damages. The Court has yet to enter a final order. In a related proceeding, MDC alleged that Cantor has violated the declaratory judgment order by withholding its consent for MDC to engage in certain business transactions. MDC has withdrawn its petition seeking relief in this related proceeding.

On May 16, 2000, CFI filed an action in Delaware Superior Court, New Castle County, against Cantor and CF Group Management, Inc. (CFGM) seeking payment of \$40 million allegedly due pursuant to a settlement agreement in an earlier litigation between the parties. The complaint alleges that CFI is entitled to a one-time \$40 million payment upon "an initial public offering of Cantor or of a successor to a material portion of the assets and business of Cantor..." CFI alleges that our initial public offering on December 10, 1999 triggered the payment obligation under the settlement agreement. On September 26, 2000, CFLP and CFGM filed an answer denying liability. Following the events of September 11, 2001, the action was stayed. The stay was lifted on September 1, 2002 and the parties have resumed discovery.

Although we do not expect to incur any losses with respect to the pending lawsuits or supplemental allegations relating to Cantor and Cantor's partnership agreement, Cantor has agreed to indemnify us with respect to any liabilities we incur as a result of any breach by Cantor of any covenant or obligation contained in Cantor's partnership agreement and for any liabilities that are incurred with respect to the litigation involving MDC, Iris Cantor, CFI and Rodney Fisher.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

- (a) The Company held its 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) on October 23, 2002.
- (b) The following directors were elected at the Annual Meeting: Howard W. Lutnick, Lee M. Amaitis, Joseph C. Noviello, Stephen M. Merkel, Larry R. Carter, John H. Dalton, Frank R. Lautenberg, William J. Moran and Albert M. Weis.
- (c) Set forth below is a description of the matters voted upon at the Annual Meeting, including the number of votes cast for, as well as the number of votes withheld and broker non-votes, as to each nominee for election as a director.

1. Election of seven directors, each to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until his successor is duly elected and qualified.

Name of		WITHHOLD	BROKER
Candidate	FOR	AUTHORITY	NON-VOTES
Howard W. Lutnick	280,765,510	6,150,441	0
Lee M. Amaitis	280,765,510	6,150,441	0
Joseph C. Noviello	280,765,510	6,150,441	0
Stephen M. Merkel	280,765,510	6,150,441	0
Larry R. Carter	286,756,055	159,896	0
John H. Dalton	286,722,840	193,111	0
Frank R. Lautenberg	286,792,605	123,346	0
William J. Moran	286,792,605	123,346	0
Albert M. Weis	286,792,605	123,346	0

Mr. Lautenberg resigned as director as of December 31, 2002 because he was elected as a United States Senator for the State of New Jersey.

#### PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER

#### **MATTERS**

### PRICE RANGE OF CLASS A COMMON STOCK

Our Class A common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol "ESPD". For each quarter of the prior two years and through March 17, 2003, the high and low sales prices for our Class A common stock, as reported by Nasdaq, were as follows:

	High	Low
2001		
First Quarter	\$34.75	\$13.62
Second Quarter	\$28.23	\$16.25
Third Quarter	\$19.94	\$ 7.44
Fourth Quarter	\$ 9.00	\$ 4.60
2002		
First Quarter	\$12.21	\$ 7.91
Second Quarter	\$14.10	\$ 8.27
Third Quarter	\$11.07	\$ 7.42
Fourth Quarter	\$19.90	\$ 9.63
2003		
First Quarter (through March 17, 2003)	\$19.20	\$ 9.15

On March 17, 2003, the last reported closing price of our Class A common stock on the Nasdaq National Market was \$11.25 and there were 682 holders of record of our Class A common stock and two holders of record of our Class B common stock.

### DIVIDEND POLICY

We intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to help finance the growth and development of our business. We have never paid a cash dividend on our common stock and we do not expect to pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

In the event we decide to declare dividends on our common stock in the future, such declaration will be subject to the discretion of our board of directors. Our board of directors may take into account such matters as general business conditions, our financial results, capital requirements, contractual, legal and regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends by us to our stockholders or by our subsidiaries to us and any such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant.

### USE OF PROCEEDS OF INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING

The effective date of our registration statement (Registration No. 333-87475) filed on Form S-1 relating to our initial public offering of Class A common stock was December 9, 1999. In our initial public offering, we sold 7,000,000 shares of Class A common stock at a price of \$22.00 per share and Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, the selling stockholder, sold 3,350,000 shares of Class A common stock at a price of \$22.00 per share. Our initial public offering was managed on behalf of the underwriters by Warburg Dillon Read LLC, Hambrecht & Quist, Thomas Weisel Partners LLC and Cantor Fitzgerald & Co. The offering commenced on December 10, 1999 and closed on December 15, 1999. Proceeds to us from our initial public offering, after deduction of the underwriting discounts and commissions of approximately \$10.0 million and offering costs of \$4.4 million, totaled approximately \$139.6 million. Of the \$139.6 million raised, approximately \$8.9 million has been used to fund investments in various entities, approximately \$62.4 million has been used to acquire fixed assets and to pay for the development of capitalized software, approximately \$22.7 million has been used to purchase intangible assets and pay for the defense of patents, and approximately \$8.2 million has been used for other working capital purposes. The remaining \$37.4 million has been invested in reverse repurchase agreements which are fully collateralized by U.S. Government Securities held in a custodial account at a third-party bank.

Of the amount of proceeds spent through December 31, 2002, approximately \$27.1 million has been paid to Cantor under the Administrative Services Agreement between Cantor and us.

## STOCK REPURCHASE

In February 2003, in accordance with the stock repurchase plan authorized by our board of directors on September 10, 2001 and reaffirmed in October 2001, we repurchased an aggregate of 161,799 shares of our Class A common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,872,112. The reacquired shares have been designated treasury shares and will be used for general corporate purposes.

### ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

In the table below, we provide you with our selected historical financial data. We have prepared this statement of operations and statement of financial condition data using our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, 2000 and for the period from March 10, 1999 (date of commencement of operations) to December 31, 1999. The consolidated financial statements for these periods were audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent auditors. The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" beginning on page 29 of this Report and with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto beginning on page 39 of this Report.

		Years Ended December 31,		
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA	2002	2001	2000	operations) to December 31, 1999
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA):				
Total revenues	\$139,238 	\$124,969 	\$91,027 	\$34,661 
Expenses:  Compensation and employee benefits  Occupancy and equipment  Professional and consulting fees  Communications and client networks  Marketing  Administrative fees paid to related parties  Non-cash business partner securities (1)  Options granted to Cantor employees (2)  Loss on unconsolidated investments (3)  Provision for September 11, 2001 events (4).	36,499 24,863 5,658 6,336 4,778 9,134 2,059 950 (1,201)	53,437 29,549 10,568 8,109 4,356 9,798 1,223 3,833 13,323	53,963 21,561 13,036 4,589 8,285 6,524 32,041	21,502 10,293 5,149 3,355 - 1,662 - 2,850
Other  Total expenses	7,717  96,793	8,569  142,765	9,684  149,683	2,649  47,460
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for income taxes	42,445	(17,796)	(58,656)	(12,799)
Income tax provision (benefit)	479 	531	406	(212)
Net income (loss)	\$ 41,966 ======	\$(18,327) ======	\$(59,062) ======	\$(12,587) ======
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$0.76 =====	\$(0.34) ======	\$(1.15) ======	\$(0.28) ======
Fully diluted net income (loss) per share	\$0.74 ======	\$(0.34) ======	\$(1.15) ======	\$(0.28) ======
Basic weighted average shares of common stock outstanding Fully diluted weighted average	54,991	54,297	51,483	44,495
shares of common stock outstanding	56,784 December 31, 2002	54,297 December 31, 2001	51,483 December 31, 2000	44,495 December 31, 1999
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL CONDITION DATA (IN THOUSANDS):	December 31, 2002	December 31, 2001	December 31, 2000	December 31, 1999
Cash and cash equivalents  Total assets  Total liabilities  Total stockholders' equity	\$187,999 252,711 34,256 218,455	\$159,899 210,741 37,559 173,182	\$122,164 157,034 24,776 132,258	\$134,846 188,672 53,160 135,512

<sup>(1)</sup> See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 7."

<sup>(2)</sup> Concurrent with the initial public offering, we issued 290,320 options to Cantor employees and a consultant. The estimated fair value of the options at the time of the offering resulted in a one-time non-cash charge to us of \$2,850.

<sup>(3)</sup> See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 7."

<sup>(4)</sup> See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 2."

### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS:

### **OVERVIEW**

We were incorporated on June 3, 1999 as a Delaware corporation. Prior to our initial public offering, we were a wholly-owned subsidiary of, and we conducted our operations as a division of, Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, which in turn is a 99.5%-owned subsidiary of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. We commenced operations as a division of Cantor on March 10, 1999, the date the first fully electronic transaction using our eSpeed(R) system was executed. Cantor has been developing systems to promote fully electronic marketplaces since the early 1990s. Since January 1996, Cantor has used our eSpeed(R) system internally to conduct electronic trading.

Concurrent with our initial public offering in December 1999, Cantor contributed to us, and we acquired from Cantor, certain of our assets. These assets primarily consisted of proprietary software, network distribution systems, technologies and other related contractual rights that comprise our eSpeed(R) system.

On September 11, 2001, our principal place of business at One World Trade Center was destroyed and, as a result, we lost 180 employees and Cantor and TradeSpark lost 478 employees. Through implementation of our business recovery plan, we immediately relocated our surviving employees to various locations in the New York metropolitan area. Our operating proprietary software was unharmed.

As of December 31, 2002, we had an accumulated deficit of \$49.4 million. This loss primarily resulted from expenditures on our technology and infrastructure incurred in building our revenue base and from non-cash charges incurred in connection with the issuance of business partner securities. In spite of the September 11 Events, for the year ended December 31, 2002, we recorded annual net income for the first time. We expect that we will continue to generate net income from operations. However, in light of the rapidly changing nature of our business and the impact of the September 11 Events, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results will not necessarily be meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of future performance.

We operate interactive electronic marketplaces and license customized real-time software solutions to our clients. In general, we receive transaction fees based on a percentage of the face value of products traded through our system. Products may be traded on a fully electronic basis, electronically through a voice broker, or via open outcry with prices displayed on data screens. We receive different fees for these different system utilizations. Additionally, we receive revenues from licensing software and providing technology support.

We have entered into an Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement with Cantor under which we and Cantor have agreed to collaborate to provide brokerage and related services to clients in multiple electronic markets for transactions in securities and other products. Under the Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, as currently in effect, we are responsible for providing electronic brokerage services, and Cantor provides voice-assisted brokerage services, fulfillment services, such as clearance and settlement, and related services, such as credit risk management services, oversight of client suitability and regulatory compliance, sales positioning of products and other services customary to marketplace intermediary operations. Under this agreement, we and Cantor share revenues derived from transactions effected in the marketplaces in which we collaborate and other specified markets. The portion of the transaction revenues that we and Cantor receive are based on several factors, including whether: (1) the marketplace is one in which we collaborate with Cantor; (2) the transaction is fully electronic or Cantor provides voice-assisted brokerage services; (3) the product traded is a financial or other product; and (4) the product is traded on the Cantor Exchange(SM). The percentage of the transaction revenues we receive ranges from 2.5% to 65%. However, in general, for fully electronic transactions, we receive 65% of the transaction revenues and Cantor receives 35% of the transaction revenues; and for voice-assisted brokerage transactions, Cantor receives 93% of the transaction revenues and we receive 7% of the transaction revenues. In addition, if the transactions relate to a gaming business, we receive 25% of the net trading revenues. We have agreed to provide to Cantor technology support services at cost.

We have also entered into services agreements with TradeSpark, Freedom, Municipal Partners LLC (MPLLC) and CO2e.com (CO2e) pursuant to which we provide the technology infrastructure for the transactional and technology related elements of the TradeSpark, Freedom, MPLLC and CO2e marketplaces, as well as certain other services, in exchange for specified percentages of transaction revenues from the marketplaces. In general, if a transaction is fully electronic, we receive 65% of the aggregate transaction revenues and TradeSpark or Freedom provides voice-assisted brokerage services with respect to a transaction, then we receive 35% of the revenues and TradeSpark or Freedom receives 65% of the revenues. We and MPLLC each receive 50% of the fully electronic revenues related to municipal bonds. Our agreement with CO2e provides that we receive 50%

of CO2e's fully electronic revenues and 15% of CO2e's voice-assisted and open outcry revenues until December 2003; thereafter we will receive 20% of voice-assisted and open outcry revenues.

We have pursued an aggressive strategy to convert most of Cantor's financial marketplace products to our eSpeed(R) system and, with the assistance of Cantor, to continue to create new markets and convert new clients to our eSpeed(R) system. The process of converting these marketplaces includes modifying existing trading systems to allow for transactions to be entered directly from a client location, signing an agreement with the client, installing the hardware and software at the client location and establishing communication lines between us and the client. Other than Cantor, no client of ours accounted for more than 10% of our transaction revenues from our date of inception through December 31, 2002. As a result of the September 11 Events and the resulting loss of voice brokers, Cantor's U.S. operations were reduced, including the trading by it of certain U.S. financial products. Cantor also sold the assets of its municipal bond business in the first quarter of 2002 after that business ceased operations on September 11, 2001, but acquired a 25% special interest in MPLLC, the newly formed entity that owns the assets. Cantor has not yet fully determined which financial product marketplaces it will re-enter. In addition, Cantor's business product development activity continues to be reduced due to the September 11 Events. If Cantor determines not to re-enter its affected businesses, exits additional businesses or does not continue to develop new products or enter into new businesses, we will likely be adversely affected.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The following discussion is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments which affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from our estimates as a result of the occurrence of future events or changes in conditions which affected our judgments or estimates.

We believe that the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

## **Provision for September 11 Events**

We recorded an expense of \$13.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2001 for the costs which we had incurred or expected to incur and for assets which were destroyed or impaired as a result of the September 11 Events. Due to the extent of the loss of life and the destruction of assets, the effect of the September 11 Events required us to make estimates and judgments in an uncertain environment. In our judgment, such costs were properly recorded in the same period as the September 11 Events, even if disbursement did not occur until future periods. During the year ended December 31, 2002, actual costs incurred were charged against the provision and the remaining unused amount was reversed in the fourth quarter of 2002.

## **Insurance Coverage**

We have insurance coverage for both property and casualty losses and for business interruption through our Administrative Services Agreement with Cantor.

During 2002, we received and recognized as income \$12,832,886 of the \$40,000,000 business interruption insurance recovery received by Cantor. This allocation was based on an analysis prepared by an independent consultant.

Under the property and casualty coverage of \$40 million, we expect to be reimbursed through Cantor for the greater of fair value or replacement costs for assets lost as a result of the September 11 Events. To the extent that the cost of assets replaced exceeds the carrying value of the assets destroyed, we will recognize a gain on replacement of assets resulting from potential additional recoveries under our property and casualty coverage. However, we cannot currently estimate the amount or timing of any such gain, if any, and accordingly, no gains on replacement of fixed assets have been recorded during the current year.

### **Related Party Transactions**

As described above, we share revenues with Cantor, TradeSpark, Freedom, MPLLC and CO2e. In addition, we provide technology support services to Cantor, TradeSpark, Freedom, MPLLC and CO2e, and Cantor provides administrative services to us.

Since Cantor holds a controlling interest in us, and holds a significant interest in TradeSpark and Freedom, such transactions among and between us and Cantor, TradeSpark and Freedom are on a basis which might not be replicated if such services or revenue sharing arrangements were between, or among, unrelated parties.

We recognize Software Solutions fees from related parties based on the allocated portion of our costs of providing services to our related parties. Such allocation of costs requires us to make judgments as to the equitable distribution of such costs. In addition, we receive administrative services from Cantor, for which we pay a fee based on Cantor's good faith determination of an equitable allocation of the costs of providing such services. There is no assurance that we could realize such revenues, or obtain services at such costs, if we had to replicate such arrangements with unrelated parties.

### **Patents**

We capitalized the costs associated with the purchase of two patents acquired in 2001. In order to perfect and defend our rights under the patents, we have incurred substantial legal costs. We have capitalized such legal costs, thereby increasing the carrying value of the patents. These capitalized costs, and the original purchase price of the patents, are amortized over the remaining life of the patent to which they relate, and are reflected net of accumulated amortization as an asset in our statements of financial condition. We believe the inherent value of the patents exceeds their carrying value. However, if the rights afforded us under the patents are not enforced or if the patents do not provide the competitive advantages which we anticipated at the time of purchase, we may have to write-down the patents, and such charges could be substantial.

### **Capitalized Software Costs**

We capitalize, in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the direct costs of employees who are engaged in creating software for internal use. This treatment requires us to estimate the portion of the employees' efforts which directly produce new software or provide additional functionality to existing software.

In our judgment, these employee-related costs serve to create or enhance valuable software. Our current policy is to capitalize these costs and amortize them over their estimated economic useful life of three years on a straight-line basis. However, if the costs incurred to produce the software are ultimately deemed to exceed the benefit which the software provides, we may have to write-down the capitalized software costs, and such charge could be substantial.

### **Business Partner Securities**

We enter into transactions with business partners in which we issue certain equity instruments whose value, in part, is dependent on the value of our publicly traded Class A common stock. Such business partner securities include options and warrants to purchase shares of our Class A common stock as well as convertible preferred shares. The preferred shares are convertible into either shares of our Class A common stock or warrants to purchase shares of our Class A common stock.

The value of these business partner securities issued establishes either the basis of assets acquired in exchange for the instruments, or an expense which is, or will be, recognized in conjunction with the issuance.

We utilize judgment in establishing the fair value of these business partner securities in the absence of a ready market for such instruments. Options and warrants are valued using an option pricing model which requires us to make assumptions as to future interest rates, price volatility of our Class A common stock, future dividends and the expected life of the option or warrant being valued. We believe that our assumptions used in the valuation of the instruments are reasonable. However, changes in the assumptions could result in differing valuations of the options, warrants or preferred shares which, in turn, would change the basis of assets acquired or expense recognized.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### **REVENUES**

	Year Ended December 31, 2002	Percentage of Total Revenues	Year Ended December 31, 2001	Percentage of Total Revenues	Year Ended December 31, 2000	Percentage of Total Revenues
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Transaction revenues with related parties						
Fully electronic transactions	\$88,039	63.3%	\$75,430	60.4%	\$52,693	57.9%
Voice-assisted brokerage transactions	17,552	12.6	22,553	18.1	15,144	16.6
Screen-assisted open outcry transactions	190	0.1	385	0.3	2,450	2.7
Total transaction revenues with related parties.	105,781	76.0	98,368	78.8	70,287	77.2
Software Solutions fees from related parties	13,207	9.5	16,283	13.0	12,333	13.5
Software Solutions and licensing fees from						
unrelated parties	4,512	3.2	1,962	1.6	66	0.1
Business interruption insurance proceeds	12,833	9.2	-	0.0	-	0.0
Gain on replacement of assets	-	0.0	2,680	2.1	-	0.0
Interest income from related parties	2,905	2.1	5,676	4.5	8,341	9.2
Total Revenues	\$139,238	100.0%	\$124,969	100.0%	\$91,027	100.0%
	=======	=====		=====	======	=====

### REVENUES - COMPARISON OF THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

### TRANSACTION REVENUES WITH RELATED PARTIES

For the year ended December 31, 2002, we earned \$105.8 million in transaction revenues with related parties, an 8% increase over transaction revenues with related parties of \$98.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. For the year ended December 31, 2002, 83% of our transaction revenues were generated from fully electronic transactions.

Our revenues are currently highly dependent on transaction volume in the fixed income markets globally. Accordingly, among other things, equity market volatility, economic and political conditions in the United States and elsewhere in the world, concerns over inflation, institutional and consumer confidence levels, the availability of cash for investment by mutual funds and other wholesale and retail investors, fluctuating interest and exchange rates and legislative and regulatory changes may have an impact on our volume of transactions. In addition, a significant amount of our revenues is currently received in connection with our relationship with Cantor. Consequently, our revenues have been negatively affected by the effect of the September 11 Events on Cantor and may continue to be negatively affected in the future if Cantor's business continues to suffer due to the September 11 Events or otherwise.

### SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS FEES FROM RELATED PARTIES

Software Solutions fees from related parties for the year ended December 31, 2002 were \$13.2 million as compared to Software Solutions fees from related parties for the year ended December 31, 2001 of \$16.3 million, a decrease of 19%. Software Solutions fees from related parties decreased primarily as a result of a decrease in support provided to Cantor and TradeSpark due to the loss of their voice brokers as a result of the September 11 Events. This decrease was offset in part by additional Software Solutions fees from MPLLC, which began utilizing our systems in 2002.

### SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS AND LICENSING FEES FROM UNRELATED PARTIES

Software Solutions and licensing fees from unrelated parties for the year ended December 31, 2002 were \$4.5 million as compared with \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, an increase of 125%, due primarily to licensing fees earned in 2002 from InterContinentalExchange for use of the Wagner Patent and licensing fees earned as part of the Wagner Patent Settlement Agreement, as more fully described in Note 4 of our consolidated financial statements. We anticipate that as we license our software and patents to additional market participants, our revenues from Software Solutions and licensing fees from unrelated parties will continue to grow.

### BUSINESS INTERRUPTION INSURANCE PROCEEDS

During the year ended December 31, 2002, we received and recognized as revenue \$12.8 million as our portion of the \$40 million business interruption insurance recovery received by Cantor. This allocation was based on an analysis prepared by an independent consultant.

### GAIN ON REPLACEMENT OF ASSETS

Our assets in the World Trade Center were destroyed as a result of the September 11 Events. Such assets were covered by insurance policies which provide for reimbursement for replacement cost if such destroyed assets are replaced. To the extent that replacement cost exceeds the carrying value of such replaced equipment, the excess results in a gain. For the year ended December 31, 2001, we recognized \$2.7 million of such gains. No additional proceeds were received in 2002 and, accordingly, no gains were recognized in 2002.

#### INTEREST INCOME FROM RELATED PARTIES

For the year ended December 31, 2002, we generated interest income from overnight reverse repurchase agreements with a related party of \$2.9 million as compared to interest income of \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The reduction in interest income was principally as a result of a reduction in weighted average interest rates on overnight reverse repurchase agreements from 3.9% in 2001 to 1.7% in 2002.

### REVENUES - COMPARISON OF THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND 2000

### TRANSACTION REVENUES WITH RELATED PARTIES

For the year ended December 31, 2001, we earned \$98.4 million in transaction revenues with related parties, a 40% increase over transaction revenues with related parties of \$70.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The growth in these revenues was attributable to the roll out of electronic marketplaces and an increase in the number of clients electronically trading through our eSpeed(R) system.

As a result of the September 11 Events, the United States government bond markets were closed on September 11th and September 12th. By the time the United States government bond markets reopened on September 13th, we had re-established global connectivity of our electronic trading system. Our transaction revenues for the three months after the September 11 Events represented 23% of our total transaction revenues for the 2001 calendar year. In addition, our transaction revenues from fully electronic transactions as a percent of total transaction revenues remained almost unchanged when comparing the three months after September 11th (77%) to the nine months ended September 30, 2001 (75%).

### SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS FEES FROM RELATED PARTIES

Software Solutions fees from related parties for the year ended December 31, 2001 were \$16.3 million as compared to Software Solutions fees from related parties for the year ended December 31, 2000 of \$12.3 million, an increase of 33%. Software Solutions fees from related parties increased primarily as a result of an increase in support provided to Cantor as well as support provided to TradeSpark and Freedom prior to the September 11 Events.

### SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS FEES FROM UNRELATED PARTIES

Software Solutions fees from unrelated parties for the year ended December 31, 2001 were \$2.0 million as compared with \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase resulted from the addition of new clients and a full year of revenue for clients added during 2000.

### GAIN ON REPLACEMENT OF ASSETS

For the year ended December 31, 2001, we recognized \$2.7 million of such gains. See further discussion in the 2002 to 2001 comparison.

### INTEREST INCOME FROM RELATED PARTIES

For the year ended December 31, 2001, we generated interest income from overnight reverse repurchase agreements with a related party of \$5.7 million, as compared to interest income of \$8.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The reduction in interest income was principally a result

of a reduction in weighted average interest rates on overnight reverse repurchase agreements from 6.3% in 2000 to 3.9% in 2001, offset in part by interest on the proceeds of our follow-on public offering in March 2001.

### **EXPENSES**

	Year Ended December	Percentage of Total	December	Percentage of Total	Year Ended December	Percentage of Total
	31, 2002	Expenses	31, 2001	Expenses	31, 2000	Expenses
	(in thousands		(in thousand	ls)	(in thousands	:)
Compensation and employee benefits	\$36,499	37.7%	\$53,437	37.4%	\$53,963	36.1%
Occupancy and equipment	24,863	25.7	29,549	20.7	21,561	14.4
Professional and consulting fees	5,658	5.9	10,568	7.4	13,036	8.7
Communications and client networks	6,336	6.6	8,109	5.7	4,589	3.1
Marketing	4,778	4.9	4,356	3.1	8,285	5.5
Administrative fees paid to related parties	9,134	9.4	9,798	6.9	6,524	4.4
Non-cash business partner securities	2,059	2.1	1,223	0.9	32,041	21.4
Loss on unconsolidated investments	950	0.9	3,834	2.7	0	0.0
Provision for September 11 Events	(1,201)	(1.2)	13,323	9.3	0	0.0
Other	7,717	8.0	8,569	5.9	9,684	6.4
Total Expenses	\$96,793	100.0%	\$142,766	100.0%	\$149,683	100.0%

### EXPENSES - COMPARISON OF THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

#### COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

At December 31, 2002, we had 319 employees, which was a slight increase from the 312 employees we had at December 31, 2001. However, prior to the September 11 Events, we had 492 employees. For the year ended December 31, 2002, our compensation costs were \$36.5 million as compared to compensation costs of \$53.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. This decrease in compensation costs and in the number of employees was principally due to the September 11 Events.

Substantially all of our employees are full-time employees located predominately in the New York metropolitan area and London. Compensation costs include salaries, bonuses, payroll taxes and costs of employer-provided benefits for our employees. We expect that our future compensation costs will increase depending, in part, upon a variety of factors, including our incremental revenue growth.

### OCCUPANCY AND EQUIPMENT

Occupancy and equipment costs were \$24.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, a decrease of 16% as compared to occupancy and equipment costs of \$29.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The decrease was primarily caused by our reduced need for office space as a result of the September 11 Events.

Occupancy expenditures primarily consist of the rent and facilities costs of our offices in the New York metropolitan area and our offices in London and Tokyo. We moved into our temporary corporate headquarters in New York City during the second quarter of 2002. The lease for our temporary headquarters will expire in February 2004, and at this time management is evaluating various location alternatives. We anticipate that our occupancy costs will remain substantially unchanged in 2003. Although we also believe that equipment costs will increase in the future as we replace lost equipment, we anticipate that such equipment costs will remain below those incurred prior to the September 11 Events. We expect a portion of our capital expenditures to be covered by insurance proceeds from our property and casualty insurance coverage.

### PROFESSIONAL AND CONSULTING FEES

Professional and consulting fees were \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to professional and consulting fees of \$10.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, a decrease of 46%, primarily related to a decrease in contract personnel costs. We expect that professional and consulting fees will remain at a level consistent with the current full year amount.

The costs of professionals and consultants utilized to temporarily replace employees lost as a result of the September 11 Events are included in Provision for September 11 Events and, as a result, do not affect this expense caption.

#### COMMUNICATIONS AND CLIENT NETWORKS

Communications costs were \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, a 22% decrease. The decrease was principally due to decreased data and telephone costs subsequent to the September 11 Events. Communication costs include the costs of local and wide area network infrastructure, the cost of establishing the client network linking clients to us, data and telephone lines, data and telephone usage, and other related costs. We anticipate expenditures for communications and client networks will increase in the near future as we continue to connect additional customers to our network.

### MARKETING

We incurred marketing expenses of \$4.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to marketing expenses during the year ended December 31, 2001 of \$4.4 million, an increase of 9%, resulting from the development of a 2002 advertising campaign. We expect our future marketing expenses to decrease as we reduce spending related to our advertising campaign.

### ADMINISTRATIVE FEES PAID TO RELATED PARTIES

Under an Administrative Services Agreement, Cantor provides various administrative services to us, including accounting, tax, legal and facilities management, for which we reimburse Cantor for the direct and indirect costs of providing such services. Administrative fees paid to related parties amounted to \$9.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, a 7% decrease over the \$9.8 million of such fees for the year ended December 31, 2001. Overall, administrative fees decreased in the months following the September 11 Events as compared to the months prior to the September 11 Events. We expect that the level of future administrative fees paid to related parties may increase in 2003.

Administrative fees paid to related parties are dependent upon both the costs incurred by Cantor and the portion of Cantor's administrative services that are utilized by us.

### NON-CASH BUSINESS PARTNER SECURITIES

We enter into strategic alliances with other industry participants in order to expand our business and to enter into new marketplaces. As part of these strategic alliances, we have issued warrants and convertible preferred stock. These securities do not require cash outlays and do not represent a use of our assets. The expense related to these issuances is based on the value of the securities being issued and the structure of the transaction. Generally, this expense is amortized over the term of the related agreement. Non-cash business partner securities charges were \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, an increase of 75% as compared to non-cash business partner securities charges of \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. This increase resulted from a full year of amortization on warrants that were issued under agreements with two business partners during 2001. Amortization on warrants issued under an agreement executed with a business partner in August of 2002 further contributed to this increase. We believe period to period comparisons are not meaningful as these transactions do not recur on a regular basis. Note 7 of our consolidated financial statements in this Report on Form 10-K contains further details regarding the issuance by us of non-cash business partner securities.

### LOSS ON UNCONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS

As discussed in Note 7 of our consolidated financial statements, we wrote down our investment in Tradespark by \$950,000 to its net realizable value in the fourth quarter of 2002 based on the results of an annual assessment required under GAAP.

### PROVISION FOR SEPTEMBER 11 EVENTS

As described in Note 2 of our consolidated financial statements, we recorded an expense of \$13.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2001 to reflect our estimate of the costs incurred or expected to be incurred and assets impaired as a direct result of the September 11 Events. For the year ended December 31, 2002, the remaining accrual related to the September 11 Events of \$1,200,507 was reversed.

### **OTHER**

Other expenses consist primarily of recruitment fees, travel, promotional and entertainment expenditures. For the year ended December 31, 2002, other expenses were \$7.7 million, a decrease of 10% as compared to other expenses of \$8.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. The decrease resulted primarily from the decrease in recruitment fees. We anticipate that other expenses will increase in the future, primarily due to an increase in business related insurance expenses.

### PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES

Income taxes through 2002 have been minimal due to the benefit of the NOL carry forward. We expect the remaining NOL carry forward will be fully utilized during 2003 and subsequent to that, we will begin to record income tax provisions at an anticipated effective tax rate of less than 40%.

### EXPENSES - COMPARISON OF THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 AND 2000

### COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

At December 31, 2001, we had 312 professionals. Immediately prior to the September 11 Events, we had 492 professionals, as compared to 493 at December 31, 2000. For the year ended December 31, 2001, our compensation costs were \$53.4 million as compared to compensation costs of \$54.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. Our quarterly compensation costs after the September 11 Events reflected a 50% reduction as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2001.

### OCCUPANCY AND EQUIPMENT

Occupancy and equipment costs were \$29.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, an increase of 37% as compared to occupancy and equipment costs of \$21.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The net increase in occupancy and equipment costs was due to the expansion of space needed to accommodate our additional operations and an increase in the number of our locations, including our new concurrent computing center in New Jersey, offset in part by reduced rent expense following the destruction of One World Trade Center.

### PROFESSIONAL AND CONSULTING FEES

Professional and consulting fees were \$10.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 as compared to professional and consulting fees of \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2000, a decrease of 18%, primarily related to a decrease in contract personnel costs prior to September 11th.

### COMMUNICATIONS AND CLIENT NETWORKS

Communications costs were \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 as compared to \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2000, a 76% increase. Communication costs include the costs of local and wide area network infrastructure, the cost of establishing the client network linking clients to us, data and telephone lines, data and telephone usage, and other related costs. The increase in costs was attributable to the expansion of our globally managed digital network.

### **MARKETING**

We incurred marketing expenses of \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, a 48% decrease as compared to marketing expenses of \$8.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. Marketing expenses were higher in 2000 due to the implementation of our marketing program in that year. In addition, marketing expenditures sharply declined in the quarter following the September 11 Events.

### ADMINISTRATIVE FEES PAID TO RELATED PARTIES

Administrative fees paid to related parties are dependent upon both the costs incurred by Cantor, and the portion of Cantor's administrative services which is utilized by us.

### NON-CASH BUSINESS PARTNER SECURITIES

We enter into strategic alliances with other industry participants in order to expand our business and to enter into new marketplaces. As part of these strategic alliances, we have issued warrants and convertible preferred stock. These securities do not require cash outlays and do not represent a use of our assets. The expense related to these issuances is based on the value of the securities being issued and the structure of the transaction. We believe period to period comparisons are not meaningful as these transactions do not recur on a regular basis. Note 7 of our consolidated financial statements in this Report on Form 10-K contains further details regarding the issuance by us of non-cash business partner securities.

### LOSS ON UNCONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS

In the third quarter of 2001, we wrote off our investments in QV Trading Systems and Visible Markets, each of which ceased operations during that period. We recognized a loss of \$3.8 million related to such write-offs.

### PROVISION FOR SEPTEMBER 11 EVENTS

As described in Note 2 of our consolidated financial statements, we recorded an expense of \$13.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2001 to reflect our estimate of the costs incurred as a direct result of the September 11 Events. Of these costs, \$6.2 million represented write-offs of software development costs and goodwill and, as such, did not require cash outlays.

### **OTHER**

Other expenses consist primarily of recruitment fees, travel, promotional and entertainment expenditures. For the year ended December 31, 2001, other expenses were \$8.6 million, a decrease of 11% as compared to other expenses of \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The decrease resulted primarily from the decrease in recruitment fees.

### QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth, by quarter, statement of operations data for the period from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2002. Results of any period are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

	2002 Quarter Ended						
		June 30	September 30	December 31			
Total revenues	\$30,033,175	\$43,449,094 23,643,686	\$32,978,651	\$32,777,091 23,895,587			
Income before provision for income taxes	5,970,118	19,805,408 114,000	7,787,889 122,065	8,881,504 128,502			
Net income	\$5,856,118 =======		\$7,665,824 ========				
Fully diluted net income per share	\$0.10		\$0.14				
		2001 Quart					
		June 30	September 30	December 31			
Total revenues	\$31,887,574 34,080,038	\$34.079.474	\$28,191,333 50,912,728	\$30,810,433 22,879,322			
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	(2,192,464) 99,999	(813,204)	(22,721,395) 129,000	7,931,111 144,000			
Net income (loss)	\$(2,292,463)		\$(22,850,395) =======				
Fully diluted net income (loss) per share							

#### SEASONALITY

The financial markets in which we operate are generally affected by seasonality. Traditionally, the financial markets around the world experience lower volume during the summer and at the end of the year due to a general slowdown in the business environment and, therefore, transaction volume levels may decrease during those periods. During the fourth quarter of 2002, the timing of the holidays contributed to a slowdown in transaction volume. However, the anticipated year-end slowdown did not occur as dramatically in 2001 because of the volatility in the global markets.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At December 31, 2002, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$188.0 million. We generated cash in 2002 of \$60.6 million from our operating activities, consisting almost entirely of net income after non-cash items of \$60.0 million. We also used net cash for investing activities in 2002 of \$33.7 million resulting from purchases of fixed assets, capitalization of software development costs and patent defense costs.

As of December 31, 2002, we had repurchased 24,600 shares of our Class A common stock for a total of \$221,892 under the repurchase plan authorized by our board of directors. Our board has authorized the repurchase of up to \$40 million of our outstanding Class A common stock. During the first quarter of 2003, we purchased an additional 161,799 shares for a total purchase price of \$1,872,112, bringing the total number of treasury shares owned to 186,399 at a book value of \$2,094,004. We anticipate making additional stock repurchases in 2003.

Our operating cash flows consist of transaction revenues from related parties and Software Solutions fees from related and unrelated parties, various fees paid to or costs reimbursed to Cantor, other costs paid directly by us and interest income from related parties. In its capacity as a fulfillment service provider, Cantor processes and settles transactions and, as such, collects and pays the funds necessary to clear transactions with the counterparty. In doing so, Cantor receives our portion of the transaction fee and, in accordance with the Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, remits the amount owed to us. In addition, we have entered into similar services agreements with TradeSpark, Freedom, MPLLC and CO2e. Under the Administrative Services Agreement, the Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement and the services agreements with TradeSpark, Freedom, MPLLC and CO2e any net receivable or payable is settled at the discretion of the parties.

We anticipate that we will experience an increase in our capital expenditures and lease commitments consistent with our anticipated growth in operations, infrastructure, personnel, and our anticipated move into a new headquarters. We currently anticipate that we will continue to experience growth in our operating expenses for the foreseeable future and that our operating expenses will be a material use of our cash resources. Our property and casualty insurance coverage may mitigate our capital expenditures for the near term.

Under the current operating structure, our cash flows from operations and our other cash resources should be sufficient to fund our current working capital and current capital expenditure requirements for at least the next 12 months. We do not currently have outside bank funding. However, we believe that there are a significant number of capital intensive opportunities for us to maximize our growth and strategic position, including, among other things, strategic alliances and joint ventures potentially involving all types and combinations of equity, debt, acquisition, recapitalization and reorganization alternatives. We are continually considering such options and their effect on our liquidity and capital resources.

### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

At December 31, 2002, we had invested \$186.7 million of our cash in securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements which are fully collateralized by U.S. Government securities held in a custodial account at JP Morgan Chase. These reverse repurchase agreements have an overnight maturity and, as such, are highly liquid. We generally do not use derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments or other market risk sensitive instruments, positions or transactions. Accordingly, we believe that we are not subject to any material risks arising from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices or other market changes that affect market risk sensitive instruments. Our policy is to invest our cash in a manner that provides us with the appropriate level of liquidity.

### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

# ESPEED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	40
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition	41
Consolidated Statements of Operations	42
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	43
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	45
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	47

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of eSpeed, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of eSpeed, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related statements of operations, cash flows and stockholders' equity for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

March 26, 2003 New York, New York

### ESPEED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2002 AND 2001

	DECEMBER 31, 2002	DECEMBER 31, 2001
ASSETS		
Cash		\$ 2,567,932 157,330,676
Total cash and cash equivalents.  Fixed assets, net.  Investments.  Intangible assets, net.  Receivable from related parties.  Other assets.	187,998,899 26,383,590 11,174,718 19,527,505 5,266,445	159,898,608 19,137,269 11,732,863 9,122,491 7,641,441 3,207,832
Total Assets		\$ 210,740,504
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Liabilities: Payable to related parties	15,398,757	\$ 14,463,604 23,095,092 37,558,696
Stockholders' Equity: Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 8,000,750 shares issued and outstanding	80,008	80,008
Class A common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 29,783,682 and 26,590,668 shares issued	297,837	265,906
authorized; 25,388,814 and 28,354,737 shares issued and outstanding  Additional paid-in capital	, ,	283,547 266,791,989 (2,691,900) (221,892) (91,325,850)
Total stockholders' equity	218,455,047	173,181,808
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity		\$210,740,504

### ESPEED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

	For the year ended December 31, 2002	For the year ended December 31, 2001		
Revenues:				
Transaction revenues with related parties				
Fully electronic transactions	\$ 88,039,301	\$ 75,429,874	\$ 52,692,703	
Voice-assisted brokerage transactions	17,551,873	22,553,037	15,144,343	
Screen-assisted open outcry transactions	190,321	· ·	2,450,333	
Total transaction revenues with related parties	105,781,495			
Software Solutions fees from related parties	13,206,987	16,283,305	12,333,222	
Software Solutions and licensing fees from unrelated parties	4,512,063	1,961,589	65,625	
Business interruption insurance proceeds from parent	12,832,886			
Gain on replacement of fixed assets				
Interest income from related parties	2,904,580	5,675,894		
Total revenues	139,238,011	124,968,814	91,027,041	
Emonage				
Expenses: Compensation and employee benefits	36,498,880	53,437,250	53,963,239	
Occupancy and equipment	24,862,758	29,549,068	21,560,535	
Professional and consulting fees	5,657,752	10,568,007	13,036,494	
Communications and client networks	6,335,606		4,588,626	
Marketing	4,778,092		8,285,385	
Administrative fees paid to related parties	9,134,016	9,797,996	6,524,341	
Non-cash business partner securities	2,059,099		32,040,505	
Loss on unconsolidated investments	950,000		32,040,303	
Provision for September 11 Events	(1,200,507			
Other	7,717,396		9,683,776	
Total expenses		142,764,766		
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	\$ 42,444,919	\$ (17,795,952)	\$ (58,655,860)	
Provision for income taxes	478,567	531,000	406,125	
Net income (loss)		\$ (18,326,952)		
Per share data:				
Basic net income (loss) per share		\$ (0.34)	•	
Fully diluted net income (loss) per share		\$ (0.34)	•	
Basic weighted average shares of common stock				
outstanding	54,991,400 =======	54,296,811	· · ·	
Fully diluted weighted average shares of common stock				
outstanding		54,296,811		

### ESPEED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

	December 31, 2002	For the year ended December 31, 2001	December 31, 2000
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 41,966,352	\$ (18,326,952)	\$ (59,061,985)
Depreciation and amortization	2,059,099	5,975,471 1,222,631 147,838	6,098,754 32,040,505 
Loss on unconsolidated investments	52,210	3,833,679 440,679 (2,680,000)	 
Provision for September 11 Events, net			
Other assets	2,374,996		42,433,200
Payable to related parties, net	4,393,467 (6,495,828)	1,181,653 3,762,327	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		3,626,452	
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of fixed assets	(8,705,840)	(7,358,226)	
Capitalization of patent defense costs	(13,741,691)	(6,283,142) 20,476,420	
Purchases of investments	 	(2,909,786) (170,781)	(5,833,679)  
Net cash used in investing activities	(33,664,316)	(11,797,346)	(25,903,726)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuances of securities  Purchase of issued securities from a related party			50,000,000 (25,000,000)
Treasury stock repurchase  Proceeds from issuance of securities under the ESPP  Proceeds from exercises of options		589,120	371,448
Payments for issuance related expenses			(1,604,028)
Net cash provided by financing activities		45,905,790	

### ESPEED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

	· ·	For the year ended December 31, 2001	December 31, 2000
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	28,100,291		(12,681,810)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		122,163,712	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period		\$ 159,898,608	
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activities: Issuance of Class A common stock in exchange for investment Issuance of Class A common stock in exchange for intangible asset Issuance of warrants in exchange for intangible asset Cancellation of subscription receivable		\$ 6,970,907 \$ 500,000 \$ 197,000 \$ 1,250,000	
Acquisition of TreasuryConnect: Fixed assets		\$ 1,000,000 3,184,773	
Total fair value of assets  Less issuance of Class A common stock		4,184,773 (4,013,992)	)
Cash paid		\$ 170,781	

# ESPEED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock Class A	Common Stock Class B	Addition Paid-ii Capita	n Subscription
Balance, December 31, 1999	\$	\$103,500	\$406,500	\$147,588,	726 \$
Conversions of Class B common stock to Class A common stock (5,129,520 shares)	80,000	51,295 567	(51,295)	2,155, 2,599,	
Sale of Class A common stock (Issuance and sale of 789,071 new shares and sale of 789,071 shares from treasury stock)		7,891		24,992, 29,805, (1,604,	305 028)
Net loss					
Balance, December 31, 2000	\$80,000	\$163,422	\$355,205	\$205,908,	\$(1,250,000)
Conversions of Class B common stock to Class A common stock (7,165,743 shares)		71,658	(71,658)	47 725	200
(2,500,000 shares)  Issuance of Class A common stock (534,046 shares)  Issuance of warrants  Issuance of preferred stock (750 shares)  Amortization of and charges for warrants	8	25,000 5,340		47,725, 11,696, 3,786, 110,5	504 000 917
Issuance of Class A Common Stock for Deferral Plan match (14,050 shares)		140		223,	502
Issuance of Class A common stock under the ESPP (44,168 shares)		442		588,	578
exercises of options (18,833 shares)		188		414,	110
(28,374 shares)  Treasury stock repurchase (24,600 shares), at cost  Costs of issuance of securities		(284)		(1,249,	
Net Loss					
Balance, December 31, 2001	\$80,008	\$265,906	\$283,547	\$266,791,	989 \$
	Unamortiz expense busines partner securiti	of ss		ulated icit	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 1999		\$		 586,913)	\$135,511,813
Conversions of Class B common stock to Class A common stock (5,129,520 shares)			(1,	350,000)	2,235,200
Purchase of Class A common stock from related party (789,071 shares)		(25,000	,000)		(25,000,000)
789,071 new shares and sale of 789,071 shares from treasury stock)		25,000	,000		50,000,000 29,805,305 (1,604,028)
Issuance of Class A common stock under the ESPP (16,863 shares)			(59,	061,985)	371,448 (59,061,985)
Balance, December 31, 2000	\$	\$	\$(72,	998,898)	\$132,257,753
Conversions of Class B common stock to Class A common stock (7,165,743 shares)					- 47,750,000
Issuance of Class A common stock (534,046 shares) Issuance of warrants	(3,589,0	100)			11,701,944 197,000
Issuance of preferred stock (750 shares)	897,1				197,000 110,925 1,111,706
Issuance of Class A Common Stock for Deferral Plan match (14,050 shares)	0,77,1	.00			223,642
Issuance of Class A common stock under the ESPP (44,168 shares)					589,120
Issuance of Class A common stock from exercises of options (18,833 shares)					414,298
Cancellation of subscription receivable					
(28,374 shares)  Treasury stock repurchase (24,600 shares), at cost			,892)		- (221,892)

Net Loss			(18,326,952)	(18,326,952)
Balance, December 31, 2001	\$(2,691,900)	\$(221,892)	\$(91,325,850)	\$173,181,808

# ESPEED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002, 2001 AND 2000

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock Cla A		Additional ock Paid- in Capital	Subscription receivable
Conversions of Class B common stock to Class A common stock (2,965,900 shares)		29,6	59 (29,659	862,694	
exercises of options (221,277, net of retirement of 2,733 shares)		2,2	14	1,193,369	
match (5,814 shares) Net Income			58	52,152	
Balance, December 31, 2002	1 ,	\$297,8	,	3 \$268,900,204	\$ -
	Unat exj bi pa	mortized pense of usiness artner	Treasury Stock	Accumulated	Total
Conversions of Class B common stock to Class A common stock (2,965,900 shares)		1,196,400		41,966,352	2,059,094 1,195,583 52,210 41,966,352
Balance, December 31, 2002		1,495,500)	, , , , ,	\$(49,359,498)	\$218,455,047

#### ESPEED, INC AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

BASIS OF PRESENTATION: eSpeed, Inc. (eSpeed or, together with its wholly owned subsidiaries, the Company) primarily engages in the business of operating interactive vertical electronic marketplaces designed to enable market participants to trade financial and non-financial products more efficiently and at a lower cost than traditional trading environments permit. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company is a majority-owned subsidiary of Cantor Fitzgerald Securities (CFS), which in turn is a 99.5% owned subsidiary of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. (CFLP or, together with its subsidiaries, Cantor). eSpeed commenced operations on March 10, 1999 as a division of CFS. eSpeed is a Delaware corporation that was incorporated on June 3, 1999. In December 1999, the Company completed its initial public offering.

USE OF ESTIMATES: The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of the assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements. Management believes that the estimates utilized in preparing the consolidated financial statements are reasonable and prudent. Estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and available information. As such, actual results could differ from the estimates included in these consolidated financial statements.

TRANSACTION REVENUES: Securities transactions and the related transaction revenues are recorded on a trade date basis.

SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS FEES: Pursuant to various services agreements, the Company recognizes fees from related parties in amounts generally equal to its actual direct and indirect costs, including overhead, of providing such services at the time when such services are performed. For specific technology support functions that are both utilized by the Company and provided to related parties, the Company allocates the actual costs of providing such support functions based on the relative usage of such support services by each party. In addition, certain clients of the Company provide online access to their customers through use of the Company's electronic trading platform. The Company receives up-front and/or periodic fees from unrelated parties for the use of its platform. Such fees are deferred and recognized as revenue ratably over the term of the licensing agreement. The Company also receives patent license fees from unrelated parties. Such fees are recognized as income ratably over the license period.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS: The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturity dates of 90 days or less at the date of acquisition to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist of securities purchased under agreements to resell (Reverse Repurchase Agreements). It is the policy of the Company to obtain possession of the collateral with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount deposited. Collateral is valued daily and the Company may require counter-parties to deposit additional collateral or return amounts deposited when appropriate.

FIXED ASSETS: Fixed assets, principally computer and communication equipment and software, are depreciated over their estimated economic useful lives (generally three to seven years) using the straight-line method. Internal and external direct costs of application development and of obtaining software for internal use are capitalized and amortized over their estimated economic useful life of three years on a straight-line basis. Leasehold improvements are amortized over their estimated economic useful lives, or the remaining lease term, whichever is shorter.

INVESTMENTS: The Company accounts for its investments in entities at historical cost when the Company does not have significant influence in the investee. Investments in which the Company does have significant influence are accounted for using the equity method.

INTANGIBLE ASSETS: Intangible assets consist primarily of purchased patents. The costs incurred in filing and defending patents are capitalized when management believes such costs serve to enhance the value of the patent. Capitalized costs related to issued patents are amortized over a period not to exceed 17 years or the remaining life of the patent, whichever is shorter, using the straight-line method.

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION: Awards to employees of options to purchase the common stock of the Company are accounted for under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and related interpretations. No expense is recognized for awards under non-compensatory plans. Options and

warrants granted to non-employees are accounted for under the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", where the options or warrants granted are recognized based on the fair value of the options or warrants at the time of the grant.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS: In July 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," (SFAS 146) which supersedes prior accounting guidance, Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit and Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." SFAS 146 prescribes new guidelines for recognition of costs associated with exit or disposal activities. The provisions of SFAS 146 are effective for disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46) "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - an interpretation of Accounts Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51." FIN 46 provides guidance on the consolidation of entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46 is effective immediately for entities created after January 31, 2003. The provisions of FIN 46 should be applied to entities created before February 1, 2003 no later than the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company will adopt FIN 46 as required in 2003 and is currently evaluating its impact on its consolidated financial statements.

On December 31, 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure" (SFAS 148). SFAS 148 permits three alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for employee based stock compensation. SFAS No. 148 continues to permit prospective application for companies that adopt it prior to the beginning of fiscal year 2004. SFAS 148 also allows for a modified prospective application, which requires the fair value of all unvested awards to be amortized over the remaining service period, as well as restatement of prior year's expense. The transition guidance and annual disclosure provisions of SFAS 148 are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, with earlier application permitted in certain circumstances. Management does not currently anticipate changing its method of accounting for stock compensation and, accordingly, SFAS 148 is not expected to have any effect on the Company's financial statements.

RECLASSIFICATIONS: Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year balances in order to conform to the current year presentation.

### 2. SEPTEMBER 11 EVENTS

On September 11, 2001, the Company's principal place of business at One World Trade Center was destroyed and, in connection therewith, the Company lost 180 employees and Cantor and TradeSpark lost an aggregate of 478 employees (the September 11 Events).

Through the implementation of its business recovery plan, the Company immediately relocated its surviving employees to various locations in the New York metropolitan area. The United States government bond markets were closed on September 11, 2001 and September 12, 2001. By the time the United States government bond markets reopened on September 13, 2001, the Company had re-established global connectivity of its eSpeed(R) system. The Company's operating proprietary software was unharmed.

As a result of the September 11 Events, fixed assets with a book value of \$17,796,420 were destroyed. The Company has recovered these losses through its \$40,000,000 of property insurance coverage and, as such, has not recorded a net loss related to the destruction of its fixed assets.

In addition, the Company is in the process of replacing assets that were destroyed in connection with the September 11 Events. The Company's property insurance covers full replacement cost of the assets actually replaced. To the extent that the cost of assets replaced exceeds the carrying value of the assets destroyed, the Company would record a gain on replacement of assets resulting from potential additional recoveries under the Company's property and casualty coverage. As of December 31, 2002, the Company had recovered \$20,476,420 of proceeds, which resulted in a gain on replacement of fixed assets of \$2,680,000 at December 31, 2001. However, the Company cannot

currently estimate the amount or timing of any additional recoveries under its insurance coverage, and accordingly, no gains on replacement of fixed assets have been recorded during the year ended December 31, 2002.

In 2001, the Company recognized a net provision of \$13,323,189 for non-property damage related to the September 11 Events. At December 31, 2001, the accrual balance for the September 11 Events was \$7,362,672 and, at December 31, 2002, no provision remains as the remaining accrual related to the September 11 Events of \$1,200,507 was reversed.

The following table summarizes the September 11 provision activity for the year ended December 31, 2002:

	Accrual for September 11 Events as of December 31, 2001	Accrual utilized during the period ended December 31, 2002	Accrual reversed during the period ended December 31, 2002
Professional and consulting fees	\$ 535,023	\$ 535,023	\$ -
Recruitment	2,961,563	2,195,005	766,558
Restructuring	2,315,778	2,315,778	· –
Other	1,550,308	1,116,359	433,949
Accrual for September 11 Events	\$7,362,672	\$6,162,165	\$1,200,507

During the year ended December 31, 2002, CFLP received \$40,000,000 of insurance proceeds pursuant to business interruption insurance coverage, of which \$12,832,886 was allocated to the Company. Such amount was received from CFLP and recognized as income as reflected in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. This allocation was based on an analysis prepared by an independent consultant.

CFLP intends to continue to distribute 25% of its profits, that would otherwise be distributable to its partners, for the benefit of the families of the Company's employees who were lost on September 11, 2001. From such distributions, CFLP will provide 10 years of healthcare benefits to the families. These costs will be borne by CFLP and not by the Company.

### 3. FIXED ASSETS

Fixed assets consist of the following:	December 31, 2002	December 31, 2001
Computer and communication equipment	\$20,050,177	\$10,021,648
Software, including software development costs	27,659,228	18,870,472
Leasehold improvements and other fixed assets	1,128,370	474,525
	48,837,775	29,366,645
Less accumulated depreciation & amortization	(22,454,185)	(10,229,376)
Fixed assets, net	\$26,383,590	\$19,137,269
	========	========

As a result of the September 11 Events, fixed assets with a net book value of \$17,796,420 were destroyed and \$2,299,913 of software development costs were written off.

### 4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

WAGNER PATENT: On April 3, 2001, the Company purchased the exclusive rights to United States Patent No. 4,903,201 (the Wagner Patent) dealing with the process and operation of electronic futures trading systems that include, but are not limited to, energy futures, interest rate futures, single stock futures and equity index futures. The Company purchased the Wagner Patent from Electronic Trading Systems Corporation (ETS) for an initial payment of \$1,750,000 in cash and 24,334 shares of the Company's Class A common stock valued at \$500,000. The patent expires in 2007. Additional payments are contingent upon the generation of patent-related revenues. Accordingly, the Company paid approximately \$234,000 to ETS during the year ended December 31, 2002 in connection with a long-

term license agreement with InterContinentalExchange (See Note 7). In order to perfect and defend the Company's rights under the patents, the Company has incurred substantial legal costs. The Company capitalized \$13,741,691 and \$6,283,142 of such legal costs for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The carrying value of the Wagner Patent, including capitalized patent defense costs, is presented net of accumulated amortization in the accompanying statement of financial condition and was \$18,550,050 and \$8,025,491 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

On August 6, 2002, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement (the Settlement Agreement) with ETS, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Inc. (CME) and the Board of Trade of the City of Chicago (CBOT) to resolve the litigation related to the Wagner Patent. As part of the Settlement Agreement, all parties will be released from the legal claims brought against each other without admitting liability on the part of any party. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, CME and CBOT will each pay \$15 million to eSpeed as a fully paid up license, for a total of \$30 million. Each \$15 million payment includes \$5 million, which was received in the three months ended September 30, 2002, and \$2 million per year until 2007. Of the \$30 million to be received by the Company, \$5,750,000 may be paid to ETS in its capacity as the former owner of the Wagner Patent, and \$24,250,000 is to be recognized as revenue ratably over the remaining useful life of the patent. For the year ended December 31, 2002, \$2,750,000 was paid to ETS and the Company has recorded revenue of \$1,796,074 related to the Settlement Agreement, which is included in Software Solutions and licensing fees from unrelated parties.

LAWRENCE PATENT: On August 7, 2001, the Company purchased the exclusive rights to United States Patent No. 5,915,209 (the Lawrence Patent) covering electronic auctions of fixed income securities. The patent expires in 2014. The Company purchased the Lawrence Patent for \$900,000 payable over three years, and 15,000 warrants to purchase the Company's Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$16.08, which were valued at \$197,000. The warrants expire on August 6, 2011. Additional payments are contingent upon the generation of patent-related revenues. The carrying value of the Lawrence patent net of accumulated amortization was \$977,455 and \$1,097,000 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

### 5. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes consisted of:

	Y	ear En	ided	Decemb	per 3	31,
	2	1002	2	2001	2	2000
Current:		(in	The	usands	s)	
U.S. federal U.S. state and local Non- U.S		 479 	\$	 531 	\$	 406 
Deferred		479		531		406
Provision for income taxes	\$	479	\$	531	\$	406

Differences between the Company's actual income tax expense (benefit) and the amount calculated utilizing the U.S. federal statutory rate are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2002 2001			
		in Thousands)		
			+ (00 500)	
Federal income tax expense (benefit) at 35% statutory rate	\$ 14,857	\$ (6,229)	\$ (20,529)	
State taxes, net of federal benefit	1,816	(765)	(2,522)	
State and City capital taxes	479	531	406	
Non-deductible business partner securities	150	114	782	
Other non-deductible/(taxable) items	(113)	564	430	
Exercise of employee stock options	(820)	-	-	
Decrease in valuation allowance for deferred items currently recognized	(15,890)	_	-	
Tax benefit of net operating loss not currently recognized	-	6,316	21,839	
	\$ 479	\$ 531	\$ 406	
	=======	=======	=======	

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when such differences are expected to reverse. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2002 and 2001 were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			er 31,
		2002		2001
	(in Thousands)			)
Deferred tax assets:				
Non-deductible warrant expense	\$	12,096		
Net operating loss carryfowards		6,489		19,209
Provision for September 11 Events		-		2,945
Basis difference of investments		1,192		359
Non-employee stock options		1,137		1,137
Other deferred and accrued expenses		1,317		1,613
Total deferred tax asset		22,231		37,185
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Software capitalization		3,396		2,725
Gain on replacement of assets		1,072		1,072
Depreciation of fixed assets		961		670
Other		76		102
Total deferred tax liability		5,505		4,569
Net deferred tax asset		16,726		32,616
Valuation allowance	(	16,726)		(32,616)
Net deferred income tax	\$ ====	-	\$ ===	-

At December 31, 2002 the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$16,222,000, which if not utilized, are scheduled to expire in 2021.

As reflected in the above table, the Company established a valuation allowance against the net deferred tax asset of approximately \$16,726,000 and \$32,616,000 at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The valuation allowance primarily relates to non-deductible warrant expenses and net operating loss carryfowards where there is significant uncertainty as to their ultimate realization. Additionally, the tax benefits associated with the employee exercises on incentive stock options would normally serve to reduce taxes currently payable. However, at December 31, 2002, the valuation allowance has been increased by the \$820,000 tax benefit of such, which will be recorded to additional paid-in-capital if and when realized.

### 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases: Under an administrative services agreement, the Company is obligated to Cantor for minimum rental payments under Cantor's various non-cancelable leases with third parties, principally for office space and computer equipment, expiring at various dates through 2016 as follows:

For	the year ended December 31:	
	2003	\$2,580,589
	2004	1,917,092
	2005	1,807,113
	2006	1,912,753
	2007	2,100,892
	Thereafter	17,635,233
	Total	\$27,953,672
		===========

Rental expense under the above and under all other operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 was \$5,929,205, \$10,988,614 and \$7,341,614, respectively.

Legal Matters: In the ordinary course of business, the Company and Cantor are defendants or co-defendants in various litigation matters. For certain pending litigation matters or supplemental allegations surrounding Cantor's limited partnership agreement, Cantor has agreed to indemnify the Company with respect to any liabilities the Company may incur as a result of such lawsuits or allegations. Although there can be no assurances, the Company believes, based on information currently available and consultation with counsel, that the ultimate resolution of these legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

Risk and Uncertainties: The majority of the Company's revenues consist of transaction fees earned from Cantor based on fixed percentages of certain commissions paid to Cantor. Consequently, any reductions in the amounts of such commissions paid to Cantor could have a material adverse effect on the Company's most significant source of revenues. In addition, the Company's and Cantor's revenues could vary based on the transaction volumes of financial markets around the world.

### 7. ACQUISITIONS, INVESTMENTS, AND BUSINESS PARTNER TRANSACTIONS

### WILLIAMS AND DYNEGY

In June 2000, the Company sold to The Williams Companies, Inc. (Williams) and Dynegy, Inc. (Dynegy) one Unit each consisting of (i) 789,071 shares (the Shares) of the Company's Class A common stock and (ii) warrants (the Warrants) exercisable for the purchase of up to 666,666 shares of Class A common stock, for an aggregate purchase price for each Unit of \$25,000,000. The Warrants have a per share exercise price of \$35.20, a ten year term and are exercisable during the last 4-1/2 years of the term, subject to acceleration under certain prescribed circumstances intended to provide incentives to Williams and Dynegy to invest in four Qualified Verticals as described below. The Shares were not transferable prior to the first anniversary of the Closing. The Company recorded a non-cash charge of \$29,805,305 at the time of the Closing to reflect the value of the Warrants.

Each of Williams and Dynegy agreed that, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, it would invest \$2,500,000 in at least four entities (the Qualified Verticals) formed by the Company and Cantor within 12 months of the Closing. In June 2001, the initial agreed upon period was extended by the parties to a period not to exceed two years. Investment by Williams and Dynegy was contingent upon the Company and Cantor offering seven qualified verticals for investment. If the Company and Cantor fail to provide seven qualified vertical markets for Williams and Dynegy to invest in, there will be no additional investment by Williams and Dynegy and they will continue to hold fully vested warrants. To the extent that either Williams or Dynegy does not invest in at least four Qualified Verticals, such entity will be required to make a \$2,500,000 payment to the Company for each investment not made. TradeSpark, the first Qualified Vertical, was established in September 2000. Williams and, subject to certain limitations, Dynegy, will be entitled to invest \$25,000,000 in shares of the Company's Class A common stock (the Additional Investment Right) if they invest in the Qualified Verticals. Such right provides for investment at a 10%

discount to the average trading price for the 10 trading days preceding the date of such party's investment in such new Qualified Vertical, or, under certain circumstances, the public announcement of the formation of such Qualified Vertical. The Additional Investment Right was approved by stockholders at the Company's 2000 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on October 26, 2000.

The Company entered into an agreement with Cantor providing that, if and when an Additional Investment Right is exercised by Williams or Dynegy, the Company will purchase from Cantor half the number of shares purchased by Williams or Dynegy. The purchase price to be paid to Cantor by the Company will be the same purchase price per share as is paid by Williams and Dynegy at the time. Accordingly, the purchase of any shares by the Company from Cantor will be simultaneous with the sale of an equal number of shares by the Company to Williams or Dynegy, and this part of the transaction will have no resulting effect on the Company's results of operations or total outstanding common stock.

### **TRADESPARK**

On September 25, 2000, the Company and Cantor, in conjunction with Williams and other participants in the energy market, formed TradeSpark to operate a wholesale electronic and telephonic marketplace in North America for natural gas, electricity, coal, emissions allowances, and weather financial products.

The Company invested \$2,000,000 for a 5% interest in TradeSpark and Cantor invested \$4,250,000 and contributed certain assets in exchange for a 28.33% interest. The remaining 66.67% interest was purchased by energy industry market participants (EIPs). The Company has also entered into a technology services agreement with TradeSpark pursuant to which the Company provides the technology infrastructure for the transactional and technology related elements of the TradeSpark marketplace as well as certain other services in exchange for specified percentages of transaction revenues from the marketplace.

In order to provide incentives to the EIPs to trade on the TradeSpark electronic marketplace, the Company issued 5,500,000 shares of Series A Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Series A Preferred Stock) and 2,500,000 shares of Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Series B Preferred Stock) to a limited liability company newly-formed by the EIPs. Upon the satisfaction of certain revenue thresholds and other conditions, principally related to the volume of transactions executed through the TradeSpark marketplace, the Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock are convertible into Series A and B Warrants, respectively, to collectively purchase up to 8,000,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$27.94 per share. To the extent that the conditions to full conversion are not satisfied, each share of unconverted Series A and B Preferred Stock may be redeemed at the Company's option, or may be converted into 1/100th of a share of the Company's Class A common stock. In 2000, the Company recognized a non-cash charge of \$2,235,200, equal to the fair value of the 80,000 shares of Class A common stock issuable upon conversion of the preferred stock, if none of the conditions are met. The Company will recognize additional non-cash charges related to the issuance of these shares of preferred stock if and when they are converted over the sixyear period from date of issuance, which non-cash charges could aggregate \$53,644,800 if all conditions (including but not limited to TradeSpark total transaction revenues of at least \$250,000,000) are met and all shares of preferred stock are converted. No such additional noncash charges were recognized during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. The fair value of the Preferred Stock was estimated based on the value of the warrants into which the Preferred Stock would be converted (assuming full conversion), discounted for liquidity, hedging, and dilution issues. The warrants were valued using a modified Black-Scholes pricing model and assumptions as to risk-free interest rate, expected life and range of expected volatility of 6.3%, 10 years, and 32% to 55%, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Company's share of TradeSpark's losses was \$240,893 and \$211,706, respectively.

In accordance with the annual assessment required by Statement of Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" (SFAS 142), at December 31, 2002 the carrying value of the Company's investment in TradeSpark was written down by \$950,000 to its net realizable value.

### **FREEDOM**

The Company and Cantor formed a limited partnership (the LP) to acquire an interest in Freedom International Brokerage (Freedom), a Canadian government securities broker-dealer and Nova Scotia unlimited liability company.

On April 4, 2001, the Company contributed 310,769 shares of its Class A common stock, valued at \$6,970,907, to the LP as a limited partner, which entitles the Company to 75% of the LP's capital interest in Freedom. The Company shares in 15% of the LP's cumulative profits but not in cumulative losses. Cantor contributed 103,588 shares of the Company's Class A common stock as the general partner. Cantor will be allocated all of the LP's cumulative losses or 85% of the cumulative profits. The LP exchanged the 414,357 shares for a 66.7% interest in Freedom. In addition, the Company issued fully vested, non-forfeitable warrants to purchase 400,000 shares of its Class A common stock at an exercise price per share of \$21.31 to provide incentives over the three year period ending April 2004 to the other Freedom owner participants to migrate to the Company's fully electronic platform. The warrants were valued using a modified Black-Scholes pricing model and assumptions as to risk-free interest rate, expected life and expected volatility of 6%, five years and 50%, respectively. The Company recorded additional paid-in capital and unamortized expense of business partner securities of \$3,589,000 in 2001, representing the value of the warrants. Non cash charges of \$1,196,400 and \$897,100 have been recognized for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The remaining unamortized balance of \$1,495,500 will be recognized as an expense ratably through April 2004. To the extent necessary to protect the Company from any allocation of losses, Cantor is required to provide future capital contributions to the LP up to an amount that would make Cantor's total contribution equal to the Company's initial investment in the LP. The Company receives 65% of all electronic transaction services revenues and Freedom receives 35% of such revenues. The Company also receives 35% of revenues derived from Freedom's voice-assisted transactions, other miscellaneous transactions and the sale of market data or other information.

The Company entered into this transaction principally to expand its business in Canadian fixed-income, foreign exchange and other capital markets products and to leverage its opportunities to transact business with the six leading Canadian financial institutions that are participants in Freedom. The Company believes that Freedom may experience significant short-term losses as the voice brokerage business of Freedom is converted to a fully electronic marketplace. Accordingly, the Company was willing to accept a reduced profits interest in order to avoid recognizing potentially significant short-term losses prior to the anticipated achievement by Freedom of profitability. The Company determined the appropriate number of shares and warrants to be issued in this transaction based on the anticipated benefits to be realized and the structure of the profit and loss arrangement.

The Company's share of the income of the LP for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$51,325 and \$63,868, respectively. The carrying value of the Company's investment in the LP at December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \$7,086,102 and \$7,034,777, respectively.

### TREASURYCONNECT

On May 25, 2001, the Company acquired all the interests in TreasuryConnect LLC, a company that operated an electronic trade communication and execution platform for OTC derivatives, in exchange for 188,009 shares of the Company's Class A common stock, valued at \$4,013,992 and cash of \$170,781. The net assets acquired consisted of \$1,000,000 of fixed assets, primarily related to software. The remaining portion of the purchase price was allocated to goodwill. As a result of the September 11 Events, the Company wrote off the goodwill of \$3,184,773 associated with the acquisition, which was included in the provision For September 11 Events in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

### OTHER UNCONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS

On September 7, 2001, the Company wrote off its investments in QV Trading Systems and Visible Markets, each of which ceased operations in the third quarter of 2001. The Company recognized a loss of \$3,833,679 related to the write-offs of these unconsolidated investments.

### DEUTSCHE BANK

On July 30, 2001, the Company entered into an agreement to form a business partner relationship with Deutsche Bank, AG (Deutsche Bank), whereby Deutsche Bank will channel its electronic market-making engines and liquidity for specified European fixed income products using the Company's electronic trading platform. In connection with the agreement, Deutsche Bank purchased 750 shares of Series C Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Series C Preferred) of the Company at its par value of \$0.01 per share. Each share of the Series C Preferred is convertible at the option of Deutsche Bank into 10 shares of the Company's Class A common stock at any time during the five years ending July 31, 2006. The Company has recognized a non-cash charge of \$110,925,

representing the fair value of such Class A common stock into which the Series C Preferred may be converted, if none of the future conditions are met. Such value in excess of the cash proceeds was given as an inducement to Deutsche Bank to enter into the agreement.

At the end of each year of the five year agreement in which Deutsche Bank fulfills its liquidity and market-making obligations for specified products, 150 shares of Series C Preferred will automatically convert into warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$14.79 per share. If all conditions are met and all of the shares of preferred stock are converted, the total non-cash charge could aggregate \$3,330,000 over the next five years. The Company will recognize the contingent non-cash charges on a straight-line basis over the five year period as conditions are satisfied. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Company has recognized non-cash charges of \$429,212 and \$214,606, respectively. Deutsche Bank is deemed to have fulfilled its obligations under the agreement for the 12 months ended July 30, 2002, and accordingly, is entitled to warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock.

At the end of the five year period, to the extent that Deutsche Bank does not fulfill its obligations under the agreement and Series C Preferred shares remain outstanding, the Company has the option to redeem each share of the Series C Preferred outstanding in exchange for 10 shares of the Company's Class A common stock.

### **EASYSCREEN PLC**

In October 2001 the Company purchased a secured convertible bond (the "Bond") in the principal amount of 2,000,000 British Pounds Sterling. issued by EasyScreen PLC. The Bond matures on October 29, 2006, subject to earlier conversion or repayment, accrues interest at a rate of 9% per year which accumulates and is payable to the Company pro-rata on the date of repayment or conversion. As of December 31, 2002 and 2001 the carrying value of the bond was \$3,509,000 and \$2,966,068 respectively, which is included in investments in the accompanying consolidated statement of financial condition.

### INTERCONTINENTALEXCHANGE

On March 29, 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with InterContinentalExchange ("ICE", or the "ICE Agreement") granting use of the Wagner Patent to ICE. Under the terms of the ICE Agreement, ICE pays the Company an annual royalty of \$2,000,000 per year. Such annual payment is recognized as income ratably throughout the year. The unearned portion of the annual royalty, amounting to \$500,000 at December 31, 2002, is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. ICE will also pay to the Company \$0.10 for each contract that participants submit to the electronic futures exchange for trading, or \$0.20 for each contract contained in matched trades on the electronic futures exchange. The ICE Agreement will remain in effect until February 7, 2007, unless certain contingencies are not met.

### UBS

On August 21, 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with UBS USA, Inc.

(UBS) and Cantor for UBS to execute trades electronically on the eSpeed(R)

system in U.S. Treasury Securities, Agency Securities, European Government Bonds, UK Gilts, Japanese Government Bonds and swaps of these various securities instruments. The agreement has an initial term of two and one-half years, commencing as of January 1, 2002. In addition to quarterly participation fees paid to Cantor, UBS pays transaction fees to Cantor for each executed transaction, which are shared with the Company pursuant to the Joint Services Agreement.

In addition, the Company issued to UBS a warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of its Class A common stock. The warrant has a term of 10 years and has an exercise price equal to \$8.75, the market value of the underlying Class A common stock on the date of issuance. The warrant is fully vested and nonforfeitable, and is exercisable nine years and six months after issuance, subject to acceleration upon the satisfaction by UBS of its yearly commitment condition. The Company recorded additional paid in capital and unamortized expense of business partner securities of \$2,189,910, representing the value of the warrants. This amount will be amortized over the term of the agreement. The Company agreed to issue an additional warrant to purchase 200,000 shares of its Class A common stock at an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying Class A common stock on the date of issuance if the agreement is renewed for another two and one-half years. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, the Company has recognized a non-cash charge of \$433,487 related to the amortization of the UBS warrants.

### TSI HOLDINGS,INC.

In December 2002 the Company purchased software from TSI Holdings, Inc. for \$825,000 which is included in fixed assets in the accompanying consolidated statement of financial condition. The software is being amortized using the straight line method over the estimated useful life of three years.

### 8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

All of the Company's Reverse Repurchase Agreements are transacted on an overnight basis with CFS. Under the terms of these agreements, the securities collateralizing the Reverse Repurchase Agreements are held under a custodial arrangement with a third party bank and are not permitted to be sold or repledged. The fair value of such collateral at December 31, 2002 and 2001 totaled \$189,588,910 and \$159,941,811, respectively.

Under the Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement between the Company and Cantor and services agreements between the Company and TradeSpark, Freedom, MPLLC and CO2e, the Company owns and operates the electronic trading system and is responsible for providing electronic brokerage services, and Cantor, TradeSpark, Freedom, MPLLC or CO2e provides voice-assisted brokerage services, fulfillment services, such as clearance and settlement, and related services, such as credit risk management services, oversight of client suitability and regulatory compliance, sales positioning of products and other services customary to marketplace intermediary operations. In general, if a transaction is fully electronic, the Company receives 65% of the aggregate transaction revenues and TradeSpark or Freedom provides voice-assisted brokerage services with respect to a transaction, then the Company receives 35% of the revenues and TradeSpark or Freedom receives 65% of the revenues. The Company and MPLLC each receive 50% of the fully electronic revenues related to municipal bonds. The Company's agreement with CO2e provides that it receives 50% of CO2e's fully electronic revenues and 15% of CO2e's voice-assisted and open outcry revenues until December 2003, and 20% of voice assisted and open outcry revenues thereafter. In addition, the Company receives 25% of the net revenues from Cantor's gaming businesses.

Under those services agreements, the Company has agreed to provide Cantor, TradeSpark, Freedom, MPLLC and CO2e technology support services, including systems administration, internal network support, support and procurement for desktops of end-user equipment, operations and disaster recovery services, voice and data communications, support and development of systems for clearance and settlement services, systems support for brokers, electronic applications systems and network support, and provision and/or implementation of existing electronic applications systems, including improvements and upgrades thereto, and use of the related intellectual property rights. In general, the Company charges Cantor, TradeSpark, Freedom and MPLLC the actual direct and indirect costs, including overhead, of providing such services and receives payment on a monthly basis. These services are provided to CO2e at no additional cost other than the revenue sharing arrangement set forth above. In exchange for a 25% share of the net revenues from Cantor's gaming businesses, the Company is obligated to spend and does not get reimbursed for the first \$750,000 each quarter of the costs of providing support and development services for such gaming businesses.

Under an Administrative Services Agreement, Cantor provides various administrative services to the Company, including accounting, tax, legal and facilities management. The Company is required to reimburse Cantor for the cost of providing such services. The costs represent the direct and indirect costs of providing such services and are determined based upon the time incurred by the individual performing such services. Management believes that this allocation methodology is reasonable. The Administrative Services Agreement has a three-year term which will renew automatically for successive one-year terms unless cancelled upon six months' prior notice by either the Company or Cantor. The Company incurred administrative fees for such services during the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 totaling \$9,134,016, \$9,797,996 and \$6,524,341, respectively.

The services provided under both the Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement and the Administrative Services Agreement are not the result of arm's-length negotiations because Cantor controls the Company. As a result, the amounts charged for services under these agreements may be higher or lower than amounts that would be charged by third parties if the Company did not obtain such services from Cantor.

### 9. CAPITALIZATION

The rights of holders of shares of Class A and Class B common stock are substantially identical, except that holders of Class B common stock are entitled to 10 votes per share, while holders of Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share. Additionally, each share of Class B common stock is convertible at any time, at the option of the holder, into one share of Class A common stock.

In June 2000, the Company sold 1,578,142 shares of Class A common stock to minority investors for consideration of \$50,000,000, as discussed in Note 7. In connection with this transaction, one half of the shares sold by the Company were purchased from Cantor for \$25,000,000.

In July 2000, in conjunction with the acquisition by Cantor of a municipal bond brokerage business, the Company issued 28,374 shares of Class A common stock to the shareholders of the acquired business. This stock issuance has been treated as an issuance on behalf of Cantor, and the value of the stock issued has been reflected as a direct charge to accumulated deficit in the Company's statement of financial condition. The Company also issued an additional 28,374 shares of Class A common stock to certain employees of the acquired business in exchange for promissory notes, which was recorded as a subscription receivable. The promissory notes and the related issued shares were terminated and cancelled effective December 1, 2001.

In September 2000, the Company issued 8,000,000 shares of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (the Preferred Stock) to business partners in conjunction with an investment in the TradeSpark Qualified Vertical. As more fully described in Note 7, if certain conditions are met, the Preferred Stock is convertible at the option of the holder into warrants to purchase the Company's Class A common stock. To the extent the conditions are not met, the Company may either redeem the Preferred Stock or convert the Preferred Stock into 1/100th of a share of the Company's Class A common stock.

During the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company sold 44,168 and 16,863 shares of Class A common stock, respectively, pursuant to the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan as discussed in Note 12. As a result of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan's suspension in October 2001, there was no activity in 2002.

During the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Company issued 224,010 and 18,833 shares, respectively, of Class A common stock related to the exercise of employee options which are more fully discussed in Note 11.

On March 13, 2001, the Company and selling stockholders, including CFS, completed a secondary offering of 7,135,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock to the public at \$20 per share. Of the Class A common stock offered, 2,500,000 shares were sold by the Company, and 4,635,000 shares were sold by the selling stockholders, principally CFS. Proceeds to the Company, net of underwriting discounts, but before offering expenses of \$1,940,127, totaled \$47,750,000. On April 11, 2001, CFS sold an additional 250,000 shares of Class A common stock in connection with the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option.

As more fully discussed in Note 7, in 2001 the Company issued shares of its Class A common stock in an acquisition and in business partner transactions. The Company issued 310,769 shares of its Class A common stock in the formation of a limited partnership and 188,009 shares of its Class A common stock in the acquisition of all of the interests in TreasuryConnect LLC. Additionally, the Company issued 750 shares of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock as part of an agreement with Deutsche Bank.

On August 7, 2001, the Company issued 24,334 shares as part of its acquisition of the Wagner Patent, which is more fully discussed in Note 4.

On September 10, 2001, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of \$40,000,000 of outstanding Class A common stock. As of December 31, 2002 and 2001, the Company has purchased 24,600 shares for a total of \$221,892 under this repurchase plan. During the first quarter of 2003, the Company purchased an additional 161,799 shares for a total purchase price of \$1,872,112, bringing the total number of treasury shares owned to 186,399 at a book value of \$2,094,004.

On August 21, 2002, the Company issued to UBS a warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of its Class A common stock as part of a business partner agreement, which is more fully discussed in Note 7.

### 10. LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

The Company has adopted the eSpeed, Inc. 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (the LT Plan), which provides for awards in the form of 1) either incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options; 2) stock appreciation rights; 3) restricted or deferred stock; 4) dividend equivalents;

- 5) bonus shares and awards in lieu of obligations to pay cash compensation; and
- 6) other awards, the value of which is based in whole or in part upon the value of the Company's Class A common stock.

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the LT Plan and is generally empowered to determine award recipients, and the terms and conditions of those awards. Awards may be granted to directors, officers, employees, consultants and service providers of the Company and its affiliates.

There were no shares issued under the LT Plan during 2002. During 2001, the Company issued 10,934 shares of restricted Class A common stock valued at \$220,247 to certain employees under the LT Plan. The Company recognized the entire value of \$220,247 of compensation expense in 2001 as the Company elected to fully vest the shares after the September 11 Events.

### 11. OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

### ISSUED IN CONNECTION WITH THE LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN

During the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, the Company issued 2,471,050, 3,653,816 and 3,770,312 options to employees pursuant to the LT Plan. The exercise prices for the options equaled the value of the Company's Class A common stock on the date of each award. The options generally vest ratably over four or five years from the grant date.

On October 19, 2000, the option terms were amended so that future vesting occurs ratably on a quarterly basis. This amendment had no financial impact as the market value of the Company's Class A common stock was below the exercise price of all outstanding options at that date.

As a result of the September 11 Events, the Company fully vested all options for deceased employees of the Company, Cantor and TradeSpark. In addition, the expiration date of options was extended to the fifth anniversary of the option grant date. The extension of the expiration date did not result in additional compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 as the original grant price of the options was higher than the Company's stock price on the date of modification.

Pursuant to guidelines contained in APB 25, the Company records no expense for options issued to employees. The weighted average grant date fair values of employee stock options granted were \$6.31, \$3.25 and \$12.42 for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The following table represents the effect had the Company accounted for the options in its stock-based compensation plan based on the fair value of awards at grant date in a manner consistent with the methodology of SFAS 123 and giving effect to the accelerated vesting of certain options as a result of the September 11 Events:

	Decrease in Net	Decrease in Net
	Income / Increase	Income / Increase in
Year	in Net Loss	Net Loss Per Share
2002	\$17,061,751	\$0.31
2001	\$37,021,370	\$0.68
2000	\$37,467,455	\$0.73

The fair value of the above options and warrants was estimated using a modified Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the stock options or warrants. The following table presents the assumptions that were used in the Black-Scholes model for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000:

	Risk Free		Expected	
Year	Interest Rate	Expected Life (Years)	Volatility	Dividends
2002	2.43%	3.07	69.00%	None
2001	3.26%	3.08	80.00%	None
2000	5.87%	2.84	80.00%	None

### ISSUED IN CONNECTION WITH ACQUISITIONS, INVESTMENTS, AND BUSINESS PARTNER SECURITIES

In June 2000, the Company issued 1,333,332 warrants to purchase Class A common stock to business partners as discussed in Note 7. The warrants expire in June 2010 and are generally exercisable beginning December 2005. The estimated fair value of the warrants at the time of issuance resulted in a one-time non-cash charge to the Company of \$29,805,305. The fair value of the warrants was estimated using a modified Black-Scholes pricing model and assumptions as to risk-free interest rate, expected life and expected volatility of 7.4%, 10 years and 48%, respectively.

As discussed in Note 4, on August 7, 2001, the Company issued 15,000 warrants to purchase the Company's Class A common stock as part of its purchase of a patent. The warrants expire on August 6, 2011 and the estimated fair value of the warrants issued was \$197,000.

As discussed in Note 7, the Company issued 400,000 warrants to purchase the Company's Class A common stock as part of the formation of the limited partnership to acquire Freedom.

As discussed in Note 7, on July 30, 2002, 150 shares of Series C Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock held by Deutsche Bank automatically converted into a right to 150,000 warrants to purchase the Company's Class A common stock as a result of Deutsche Bank being deemed to have fulfilled its obligations under the agreement between it and the Company for the year ended July 30, 2002.

As discussed in Note 7, on August 21, 2002, the Company issued to UBS warrants to purchase 300,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock.

### **OPTIONS AND WARRANTS**

The following table summarizes changes in all of the Company's stock options and warrants for the years ended December 31 2002, 2001, and 2000:

	eSpeed and Cantor employee options	Warrants and Other Options	Total	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Expiration Dates
Balance, December 31, 1999	6,492,865	135,000	6,627,865	\$22.00	12/2004 - 12/2009
Granted	3,770,312	1,333,332	5,103,644	\$25.76	2/2010 - 12/2010
Exercised	-		_	_	
Canceled	(292,460)		(292,460)	\$24.38	
Balance, December 31, 2000	9,970,717	1,468,332	11,439,049	\$23.62	12/2004 - 12/2010
Granted	3,653,816	415,000	4,068,816	\$6.45	1/2010 - 10/2011
Exercised	(18,833)	.,	(18,833)	\$22.00	
Canceled	(378,371)		(378,371)	\$18.25	
Balance, December 31, 2001	13,227,329	1,883,332	15,110,661	\$19.76	12/2004 - 10/2011
Granted	2,471,050	450,000	2,921,050	\$13.13	1/2012 - 12/2012
Exercised	(224,010)		(224,010)	\$5.19	
Canceled	(78,771)		(78,771)	\$8.81	
Balance, December 31, 2002	15,395,598	2,333,332	17,728,930	\$18.90	12/2004 - 12/2012
	=========	=========	=========		

The following table provides further details relating to all of the Company's stock options and warrants outstanding as of December 31, 2002.

Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
4F 10 F 411 02	2 041 046	 45 01		705 072	
\$5.10 to \$11.03	3,841,946	\$5.81	8.8	725,973	\$5.43
\$12.28 to \$18.26	4,314,149	\$15.25	7.8	1,605,827	\$15.94
\$18.99 to \$28.44	7,449,614	\$22.15	4.6	6,058,227	\$22.16
\$28.88 to \$43.06	1,746,842	\$33.37	6.6	351,598	\$35.52
\$43.38 to \$77.00	376,379	\$45.30	7.0	197,480	\$46.32
	17,728,930	\$18.90	6.5	8,939,105	\$20.30
	=========			=========	

### 12. STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

The Company has adopted a qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan to permit eligible employees to purchase shares of eSpeed common stock at a discount. At the end of each quarterly purchase period, as defined, accumulated payroll deductions are used to purchase stock at a price determined by a Stock Purchase Plan Administrative Committee, which will generally not be less than 85% of the lowest market price at various defined dates during the purchase period. The Company has reserved 425,000 shares of Class A common stock for issuance under the Stock Purchase Plan. During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company issued 44,168 shares to employees at an average price of \$13.33 per share. During the year ended December 31, 2000, the Company issued 16,863 shares to employees at an average price of \$21.99 per share. In October 2001, the Company suspended the ESPP. Accordingly, no shares were purchased under this plan in 2002.

### 13. DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

Employees of the Company are eligible to participate in the eSpeed Inc. Deferral Plan for Employees of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and its Affiliates (the Plan),

whereby eligible employees may elect to defer a portion of their salaries by directing the Company to contribute to the Plan.

The Plan is available to all employees of the Company meeting certain eligibility requirements and is subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Employee contributions are directed to one or more investment funds, one of which, beginning in 2000, invests in the Company's Class A common stock (the eSpeed Stock Fund). The Company will match contributions to the eSpeed Stock Fund annually with up to \$3,000 of the Company's Class A common stock per participant. In 2003, 2002 and 2001, the Company contributed 7,439, 5,814 and 14,050 shares of its Class A common stock relating to employee contributions to the eSpeed Stock Fund for the year ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The administration of the Plan is performed by CFLP. The Company pays its proportionate share of such administrative costs under the Administrative Services Agreement.

### 14. REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

Through its subsidiary, eSpeed Government Securities, Inc., the Company is subject to Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) broker-dealer regulation under Section 15C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which requires the maintenance of minimum liquid capital, as defined. At December 31, 2002, eSpeed Government Securities, Inc.'s liquid capital of \$109,148,671 was in excess of minimum requirements by \$109,123,671.

Additionally, the Company's subsidiary, eSpeed Securities, Inc., is subject to SEC broker-dealer regulation under Rule 17a-5 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which requires the maintenance of minimum net capital and requires that the ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital, both as defined, shall not exceed 15 to 1. At December 31, 2002, eSpeed Securities, Inc. had net capital of \$6,998,089, which was \$6,679,567 in excess of its required net capital, and eSpeed Securities, Inc.'s net capital ratio was 0.68 to 1.

### 15. SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC DATA TABLES

Segment Information: The Company currently operates its business in one segment, that of operating interactive electronic business-to-business vertical marketplaces for the trading of financial and non-financial products, licensing software, and providing technology support services to Cantor and other related and unrelated parties.

Product information: The Company currently markets its services through the following products: core products, including an integrated network engaged in electronic trading in government securities in multiple marketplaces over the eSpeed(R) system; new product rollouts, including introduction of products in non-equity capital markets; products enhancement software, which enables clients to engage in enhanced electronic trading of core products and future product rollouts and eSpeed Software Solutions(SM), which allows customers to use the Company's intellectual property and trading expertise to build electronic marketplaces and exchanges, develop customized trading interfaces and enable real-time auctions and debt issuance. Revenues from core products comprises the majority of the Company's revenues.

Geographic Information: The Company operates in the Americas, Europe and Asia. Revenue attribution for purposes of preparing geographic data is principally based upon the marketplace where the financial product is traded, which, as a result of regulatory jurisdiction constraints in most circumstances, is also representative of the location of the client generating the transaction resulting in commissionable revenue. The information that follows, in management's judgment, provides a reasonable representation of the activities of each region as of and for the periods indicated.

Transaction Revenues:	For the year ended December 31, 2002	For the year ended December 31, 2001	For the year ended December 31, 2000
Europe	\$24,317,324 2,580,390	\$20,657,534 2,653,378	
Total Non-Americas	26,897,714 78,883,781	23,310,912 75,057,114	14,611,822 55,675,557
Total	\$105,781,495	\$98,368,026	
Average Long Lived Assets	December 31, 2002	December 31, 2001	
Europe	\$5,576,717 387,632	\$3,737,700 434,809	
Total Non-Americas	5,964,349 17,536,681	4,172,509 19,031,755	
Total	\$23,501,030	\$23,204,264 ========	

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

#### **PART III**

### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following table provides information as of March 1, 2003 regarding our directors and executive officers.

Name	Age	Title
Howard W. Lutnick	41	Chairman of the Board, Chief
		Executive Officer and President
Lee M. Amaitis	53	Global Chief Operating Officer;
		Director
Joseph C. Noviello	37	Executive Vice President and Chief
		Information Officer; Director
Stephen M. Merkel	44	Executive Vice President, General
		Counsel and Secretary; Director
Jeffrey M. Chertoff	48	Senior Vice President and Chief
		Financial Officer
Larry R. Carter	59	Director (1)(2)
John H. Dalton	61	Director (1)(2)
William J. Moran	61	Director (1)(2)
Albert M. Weis	76	Director (1)(2)

- (1) Non-employee director
- (2) Member of the Audit and Compensation Committees

Each director shall serve until our next annual meeting of stockholders and each executive officer shall serve at the pleasure of our board of directors.

Howard W. Lutnick. Mr. Lutnick has been our Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer since June 1999 and has been our President since September 2001. Mr. Lutnick joined Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. in 1983 and has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Cantor since 1992. Mr. Lutnick's company, CF Group Management, Inc., is the managing general partner of Cantor. Mr. Lutnick is a member of the Board of Managers of Haverford College, the Board of Directors of the Zachary and Elizabeth M. Fisher Center for Alzheimer's Disease Research at Rockefeller University, the Executive Committee of the Intrepid Museum Foundation's Board of Trustees and the Board of Directors of the Solomon Guggenheim Museum Foundation.

Lee M. Amaitis. Mr. Amaitis has been our Global Chief Operating Officer and director since September 2001. Mr. Amaitis has been Executive Managing Director of eSpeed International Limited from December 1999. Mr. Amaitis has also been President and Chief Executive Officer of Cantor Fitzgerald International and Cantor Fitzgerald Europe since March 1995. Prior to joining Cantor, Mr. Amaitis was Managing Partner and Senior Managing Director of Cowen Government Brokers from April 1991 to February 1995 and was Manager MBS and Limited Partner of Cowen & Co. from February 1989 to April 1991.

Joseph C. Noviello. Mr. Noviello has been our Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer and director since September 2001. Mr. Noviello served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer from December 1999 to September 2001. From December 1995 to December 1999, Mr. Noviello served as Managing Director of Cantor. Mr. Noviello is a director of the Cantor Exchange(SM).

Stephen M. Merkel. Mr. Merkel has been our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since September 2001 and was our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from June 1999 to September 2001. Mr. Merkel has been our director since September 2001. Mr. Merkel has been Executive Managing Director, General Counsel and Secretary of Cantor since December 2000 and was Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Cantor from 1993 to December 2000. Mr. Merkel is responsible for Cantor's legal, compliance, tax, human resources, risk and credit departments. Mr. Merkel serves as a director and Secretary of the Cantor Exchange(SM). Prior to joining Cantor, Mr. Merkel was Vice President and Assistant General Counsel of Goldman Sachs & Co. from February 1990 to May 1993. From September 1985 to January 1990, Mr. Merkel was associated with the law firm of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison. Mr. Merkel is on the Board of Directors of Freedom International Brokerage Company and is on the Management Committee of TradeSpark, L.P.

Jeffrey M. Chertoff. Mr. Chertoff has been our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since May 2002. As Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Chertoff is responsible for managing our global financial and accounting operations. Mr. Chertoff served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Daiwa Securities America from August 1998 to May 2002. From May 1995 to August 1998, Mr. Chertoff was Controller, Director and Senior Operating Officer of Salomon Brothers, Inc. Mr. Chertoff also served as Assistant Controller and Managing Director at Bear Stearns, where he was employed from 1981 to 1995. He began his career with Coopers & Lybrand, now PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP.

Larry R. Carter. Mr. Carter has been our director since December 1999. Mr. Carter joined Cisco Systems, a computer technology company, in January 1995 as Vice President, Finance and Administration and as Chief Financial Officer and Secretary. In July 1997, he was promoted to Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary and served as a director of Cisco Systems since July 2000. From 1992 to January 1995, Mr. Carter was Vice President and Corporate Controller at Advanced Micro Devices. His career also includes four years with V.L.S.I. Technology Inc. as Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer and two years at S.G.S. Thompson Microelectronics Inc. as Vice President, Finance, Administration and Chief Financial Officer. He also spent 19 years at Motorola, Inc., where he held a variety of financial positions, the last being Vice President and Controller, M.O.S. Group. Mr. Carter is a member of the Board of Trustees at Loyola Marymount University. Mr. Carter is also on the Board of Directors of Transmeta Corp. and QLogic Corporation.

John H. Dalton. Mr. Dalton has been our director since February 2002. Mr. Dalton has been President of IPG Photonics Corp., a company that designs, develops and manufactures a range of advanced amplifiers and lasers for the telecom and industrial markets, since September 2000. Mr. Dalton serves as a director of the Cantor Exchange(SM). From May 1999 to June 2000, Mr. Dalton was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of EPCAD Systems, a company that researches and develops electroplasma technology for the metals industry. Mr. Dalton served as Secretary of the Navy from July 1993 to November 1998. Mr. Dalton serves on the Board of Directors of TransTechnology Corp. and Fresh Del Monte Produce Inc.

William J. Moran. Mr. Moran has been our director since December 1999. Mr. Moran is Executive Vice President and General Auditor of J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. Mr. Moran joined the Chase Manhattan Corporation and the Chase Manhattan Bank in 1975 as Internal Control Executive. After several promotions, Mr. Moran was named General Auditor in 1992, Executive Vice President in 1997 and a member of the Management Committee in 1999. Before joining Chase, Mr. Moran was with the accounting firm of Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co. for nine years.

Albert M. Weis Mr. Weis has been President of A.M. Weis & Co., Inc., a money management company, since 1976. Mr. Weis was Chairman of the New York Cotton Exchange from 1997 to 1998, 1981 to 1983 and 1977 to 1978. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Weis was Chairman of the New York Board of Trade. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Weis was a director and chairman of the audit committee of Synetic, Inc. and, from 1999 to 2001, he was a director and chairman of the audit committee of Medical Manager Corporation (successor to Synetic, Inc.).

### COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

Our board of directors has an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee. The members of our Audit Committee are Messrs. Carter, Dalton, Moran and Weis, all of whom are independent directors. Our Audit Committee selects the independent auditors, reviews such auditors' independent status, consults with such auditors and with management with regard to the adequacy of our internal accounting controls and considers any non-audit functions to be performed by the independent auditors.

The members of our Compensation Committee are Messrs. Carter, Dalton, Moran and Weis. The Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving all compensation arrangements for our executive officers and for overseeing our stock option and stock purchase plans.

### SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Under the securities laws of the United States, our directors, executive officers and any person holding more than 10% of our Class A common stock are required to file initial forms of ownership of our Class A common stock and reports of changes in that ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Specific due dates for these forms have been established, and we are required to disclose in this report any failure to file by these dates.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us with respect to fiscal 2002, or written representations from certain reporting persons, to the best of our knowledge, all reports were filed on a timely basis other than late filings on Form 4 by each of our executive officers in connection with option grants to them on December 9, 2002. The Forms 4 were filed on January 29, 2003.

### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table provides certain summary information concerning all compensation earned for the year ended December 31, 2002, the year ended December 31, 2001 and the year ended December 31, 2000 by our Chief Executive Officer and each of our other executive officers required to be included in the table (collectively, the Named Executive Officers).

### SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

		ANNUAL COMPENSATION		LONG-TERM COMPENSATION AWARDS	OTHER
NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	SALARY (\$)	BONUS (\$)	OPTIONS (#)	COMPENSATION (\$) (1)
Howard W. Lutnick		400,000 400,000 350,000	600,000	1,000,000 1,500,000 625,000	3,000 3,000 3,000
Lee M. Amaitis	2002	400,000	500,000	200,000	
	2001	107,418	300,000	375,000	
	2000	107,418	333,333	50,000	
Joseph C. Noviello	2002	300,000	450,000	75,000	3,000
Executive Vice President, Chief	2001	275,000	1,000,000(2)	200,000	3,000
Information Officer and President	2000	250,000	350,500	65,000	
Stephen M. Merkel  Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2002	250,000	450,000	100,000	3,000
	2001	150,000	400,000	200,000	3,000
	2000	150,000	300,000	100,000	3,000
Jeffrey M. Chertoff	2002	81,971(3)	125,000	75,000	
Senior Vice President and Chief	2001				
Financial Officer	2000				

<sup>(1)</sup> Consists of matching contributions by us under our Deferral Plan.

The following table sets forth the options granted during 2002 to, and the value of the options held on December 31, 2002 by, our Named Executive Officers:

### OPTION GRANTS IN LAST FISCAL YEAR Individual Grants

Number of Shares Underlying Options Granted	Percentage of Total Options Granted to Employees in 2002 (%)	Exercise or Base Price (\$/Share)	Expiration Date	Grant Date Present Value (\$)(3)
1,000,000(1)	41	14.39	12/9/2012	6,726,900
200,000(1)	8	14.39	12/9/2012	1,345,380
75,000(1)	3	14.39	12/9/2012	504,518
100,000(1)	4	14.39	12/9/2012	672,690
25,000(1)	1	14.39	12/9/2012	168,173
50,000(2)	2	10.72	3/26/2012	257,980
	Underlying Options Granted 1,000,000(1) 200,000(1) 75,000(1) 100,000(1)	Number of Shares Underlying Options Granted   1,000,000(1) 200,000(1) 75,000(1) 100,000(1) 41 25,000(1) 1	Number of Shares Underlying Options Granted  in 2002 (%)   1,000,000(1)  200,000(1)  75,000(1)  100,000(1)  25,000(1)  25,000(1)  11  14.39  25,000(1)  11  14.39	Number of Shares Underlying Options Granted to Employees in 2002 (%) Price (\$/Share)   1,000,000(1) 41 14.39 12/9/2012 200,000(1) 8 14.39 12/9/2012 75,000(1) 3 14.39 12/9/2012 100,000(1) 4 14.39 12/9/2012 25,000(1) 1 14.39 12/9/2012

<sup>(1)</sup> The options vest quarterly over a four year period from the date of grant, December 9, 2002.

<sup>(2)</sup> The after-tax portion of \$600,000 of such bonus was used to purchase units in Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P.

<sup>(3)</sup> Pro rata based upon Mr. Chertoff's hire date of May 6, 2002 and annual salary of \$125,000.

<sup>(2)</sup> These options vest quarterly over a four year period from the date of grant, March 26, 2002.

<sup>(3)</sup> The present value of the options was estimated using a modified Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 2.30% (3.97% for the \$10.72 grant), no expected dividends, expected stock price volatility of 69% and assumed to be exercised at 31% of their original life.

The following table provides information, with respect to the Named Executive Officers, concerning options and SARs held as of December 31, 2002.

# AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND FISCAL YEAR-END OPTION VALUES

	Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise	Number of Securi Unexercised Opt Year			rcised In-Money al Year End(\$)(1)
Name	(#)	(\$)	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
Howard W. Lutnick			2,325,000	3,300,000	4,456,875	15,896,875
Lee M. Amaitis	93,750	1,560,827	215,000	641,250	36,320	3,894,961
Joseph C. Noviello	25,000	392,940	121,250	278,750	355,045	2,026,495
Stephen M. Merkel	50,000	658,665	100,000	350,000	2,640	2,035,210
Jeffrey M. Chertoff			9,375	65,625	58,322	316,503

(1) Based on the last reported price of \$16.94 for our Class A common stock on December 31, 2002.

#### COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors who are also our employees do not receive additional compensation for serving as directors. On October 23, 2002, we granted the following: (i) options to purchase 30,000 shares of our Class A common stock to Albert M. Weis upon his initial election as a director and (ii) options to purchase 10,000 shares of Class A common stock to each of Larry R. Carter, John H. Dalton and William J. Moran as compensation for their service as a directors. All options were granted at an exercise price per share equal to \$12.28, which was the price of our Class A common stock on October 23, 2002. These options vest in three equal installments beginning on the first of three semi-anniversaries of the date of grant. Under our current policy, each of our non-employee directors is granted an option to purchase 30,000 shares of our Class A common stock in connection with his initial election to our board and an option to purchase 10,000 shares of our Class A common stock each year he serves as a director thereafter. In addition, non-employee directors receive annual compensation of \$25,000. They also receive cash compensation of \$2,000 for each meeting of our board of directors and \$1,000 for each meeting of a committee of our board of directors actually attended, whether in person, by telephone or otherwise. However, none of our non-employee directors will be paid more than \$3,000 in the aggregate for attendance at meetings held on the same date. Non-employee directors also are reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending meetings of our board of directors or committees of our board of directors.

# COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee of our board of directors consists of Messrs. Carter, Dalton, Moran and Weis. All of the members of our Compensation Committee are non-employee directors and are not former officers. During 2002, none of our executive officers served as a member of the board of directors or on the compensation committee of a corporation where any of its executive officers served on our Compensation Committee or on our board of directors.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

BY MANAGEMENT. The following table sets forth certain information, as of March 1, 2003, with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common equity by: (i) each director; (ii) each of the Named Executive Officers; and (iii) all executive officers and directors as a group. Each person listed below can be reached at our headquarters located at 135 East 57th Street, New York, NY 10022. Shares of Class B common stock are convertible into shares of Class A common stock at any time in the discretion of the holder on a one-for-one basis. Accordingly, a holder of Class B common stock is deemed to be the beneficial owner of an equal number of shares of Class A common stock for purposes of this table.

#### Beneficial Ownership(1)

	Class A commo	n stock	Class B common stock	
Name	Shares	%	Shares	%
Howard W. Lutnick	30,736,619(2)	52.6(3)	25,362,809(4)	100
Lee M. Amaitis	396,637(5)	1.3(6)		
Joseph C. Noviello	162,460(7)	*		
Stephen M. Merkel	180,178(8)	*		
Jeffrey M. Chertoff	14,062(9)	*		
Larry R. Carter	108,833(10)	*		
John H. Dalton	23,333(11)	*		
William J. Moran	69,333(12)	*		
Albert M. Weis	24,000(13)	*		
All executive officers and directors as a				
group (9 persons)	31,715,455	53.6(14)	25,362,809	100

#### \* Less than 1%

- (1) Based upon information supplied by officers and directors, and filings under Sections 13 and 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).
- (2) Consists of (1) 2,706,250 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003, (2) 500,000 shares of Class A common stock subject to currently exercisable options held by a grantor retained annuity trust and payable to Mr. Lutnick and of which Mr. Lutnick is the sole trustee,
- (3) 2,641,470 shares of Class B common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P., (4) 22,721,339 shares of Class B common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, (5) 643,288 shares of Class A common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, (6) 387,469 shares of Class A common stock held by CF Group Management, Inc., (7) 960,073 shares of Class A common stock held directly by Mr. Lutnick, (8) 1,141 shares of Class A common stock held in Mr. Lutnick's 401(k) account and (9) 175,589 shares of Class A common stock held by a trust for the benefit of descendants of Mr. Lutnick, of which Mr. Lutnick's wife is one of two trustees and Mr. Lutnick has limited powers to remove and replace such trustees. Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. is the managing partner of Cantor Fitzgerald Securities. CF Group Management, Inc. is the managing general partner of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and Mr. Lutnick is the President and sole stockholder of CF Group Management, Inc.
- (3) Percentage based on (1) 29,851,134 shares of Class A common stock outstanding on March 1, 2003, (2) 25,362,809 shares of Class B common stock outstanding on March 1, 2003 and (3) 3,206,250 shares of Class A common stock subject to options outstanding on March 1, 2003 currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003.
- (4) Consists of (1) 2,641,470 shares of Class B common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P., which shares are immediately convertible into shares of Class A common stock and (2) 22,721,339 shares of Class B common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald Securities. Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. is the managing partner of Cantor Fitzgerald Securities. CF Group Management, Inc. is the managing general partner of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and Mr. Lutnick is the President and sole stockholder of CF Group Management, Inc.
- (5) Consists of (1) 293,125 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003 and (2) 103,512 shares of Class A common stock held directly by Mr. Amaitis.
- (6) Percentage based on (1) 29,851,134 shares of Class A common stock outstanding on March 1, 2003 and (2) 293,125 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003.
- (7) Consists of (1) 160,311 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003, (2) 585 shares of Class A common stock held directly by Mr. Noviello, (3) 344 shares of Class A common stock held in Mr. Noviello's 401(k) account and (4) 1,220 shares of Class A common stock purchased by Mr. Noviello through our Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- (8) Consists of (1) 141,250 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003, (2) 35,537 shares of Class A common stock held directly by Mr. Merkel, (3) 1,141 shares of Class A

common stock held in Mr. Merkel's 401(k) account and (4) 2,250 shares of Class A common stock beneficially owned by Mr. Merkel's spouse.

- (9) Consists of 14,062 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003.
- (10) Consists of (1) 63,333 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003 and (2) 45,500 shares of Class A common stock owned by Cavallino Ventures LLC, of which Mr. Carter is the President.
- (11) Consists of 23,333 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003.
- (12) Consists of (1) 66,333 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003 and (2) 3,000 shares of Class A common stock held directly by Mr. Moran.
- (13) Consists of (1) 10,000 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003, (2) 7,000 shares of Class A common stock held directly by Mr. Weis and (3) 7,000 shares of Class A common stock, of which 1,000 shares are beneficially owned by Mr. Weis' spouse, 4,000 shares are held in trust for Mr. Weis' children and 2,000 shares are beneficially owned by Mr. Weis' children.
- (14) Percentage based on (1) 29,851,134 shares of Class A common stock outstanding on March 1, 2003, (2) 25,362,809 shares of Class B common stock outstanding on March 1, 2003 and (3) 3,974,997 shares of Class A common stock subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2003.
- BY OTHERS. The following table sets forth certain information, as of March 1, 2003, with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common equity by each person or entity known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of our common equity, other than our officers and directors. Unless indicated otherwise, the address of each entity listed is 135 East 57th Street, New York, NY 10022, and each entity listed has sole voting and investment power over the shares beneficially owned. Shares of Class B common stock are convertible into shares of Class A common stock at any time in the discretion of the holder on a one-for-one basis. Accordingly, a holder of Class B common stock is deemed to be the beneficial owner of an equal amount of number of shares of Class A common stock for purposes of this table.

		Beneficial C	wnership(1)	
	Class A common	stock	Class B common	stock
Name	Shares	%	Shares	%
Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P	26,006,097 (5)	42.3 (3) 47.1 (3)	22,721,339 25,362,809 (6)	89.6 (4) 100 (4)
CF Group Management, Inc	26,393,566 (7)	47.8 (3)	25,362,809 (6)	100 (4)

- (1) Based upon filings under Section 13 of the Exchange Act.
- (2) Consists of (1) 22,721,339 shares of Class B common stock and (2) 643,288 shares of Class A common stock.
- (3) Percentage based on 29,851,134 shares of Class A common stock outstanding on March 1, 2003 and 25,362,809 shares of Class B common stock outstanding on March 1, 2003.
- (4) Based on 25,362,809 shares of Class B common stock outstanding on March 1, 2003.
- (5) Consists of (1) 2,641,470 shares of Class B common stock owned by Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P., (2) 22,721,339 shares of Class B common stock owned by Cantor Fitzgerald Securities and (3) 643,288 shares of Class A common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald Securities. Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. is the managing partner of Cantor Fitzgerald Securities.
- (6) Consists of (1) 2,641,470 shares of Class B common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and (2) 22,721,339 shares of Class B common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald Securities. Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. is the managing partner of Cantor Fitzgerald Securities. CF Group Management, Inc. is the managing general partner of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P.

(7) Consists of (1) 387,469 shares of Class A common stock held by CF Group Management, Inc., (2) 22,721,339 shares of Class B common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, (3) 643,288 shares of Class A common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald Securities and (4) 2,641,470 shares of Class B common stock held by Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. CF Group Management, Inc. is the managing general partner of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P.

# **EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2002**

NUMBER OF SECURITIES

			REMAINING AVAILABLE FOR
	NUMBER OF SECURITIES TO	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	FUTURE ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY
	BE ISSUED UPON EXERCISE	EXERCISE PRICE OF	COMPENSATION PLANS
	OF OUTSTANDING OPTIONS,	OUTSTANDING OPTIONS,	(EXCLUDING SECURITIES
	WARRANTS AND RIGHTS	WARRANTS AND RIGHTS	REFLECTED IN COLUMN (A))
	(A)	(B)	(C)
1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (approved by			
security holders)	15,395,598	\$17.443	1,156,150
Equity compensation plans not approved by			
security holders	0	0	0
mar all	15 205 500	015 440	1 156 150
Total	15,395,598	\$17.443	1,156,150

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

#### THE FORMATION TRANSACTIONS

Concurrently with our initial public offering, Cantor contributed to us certain of our assets. These assets primarily consist of the proprietary software, network distribution systems, technologies and related contractual rights that comprise our eSpeed(R) system. In exchange for these assets, we issued to Cantor 43,999,900 shares of our Class B common stock, representing approximately 98% of the voting power of our capital stock outstanding at the time. Cantor converted 3,350,000 of these shares into the shares of our Class A common stock which it sold in our initial public offering in December 1999.

We entered into the agreements described below in connection with the formation transactions and to help define the terms of our relationship with Cantor in the future. In an effort to mitigate conflicts of interest between us and Cantor, we and Cantor have agreed that none of these agreements may be amended without the approval of a majority of our disinterested directors.

#### JOINT SERVICES AGREEMENT

Under our Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, as amended, with Cantor and services agreements with TradeSpark, Freedom, Municipal Partners, LLC and CO2e.com, LLC, we own and operate the electronic trading systems and are responsible for providing electronic brokerage services, and Cantor, TradeSpark, Freedom, Municipal Partners, LLC and CO2e.com, LLC provide voice-assisted brokerage services, clearance, settlement and other fulfillment and related services, such as credit and risk management services, oversight of client suitability and regulatory compliance, sales positioning of products and other services customary to brokerage operations. Our agreement with Cantor provides for a perpetual term.

# REVENUE SHARING ARRANGEMENTS

Under our Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, as amended, with Cantor and services agreements with TradeSpark, Freedom, Municipal Partners, LLC and CO2e.com, LLC, we own and operate the electronic trading system and are responsible for providing electronic brokerage services, and Cantor, TradeSpark, Freedom, Municipal Partners, LLC or CO2e.com, LLC provides voice-assisted brokerage services, fulfillment services, such as clearance and settlement, and related services, such as credit risk management services, oversight of client suitability and regulatory compliance, sales positioning of products and other services customary to marketplace intermediary operations. In general, for fully electronic transactions, we receive 65% of the transaction revenues and Cantor, TradeSpark or Freedom receives 35% of the transaction revenues. We and Municipal Partners, LLC each receive 50% of the fully electronic revenues related to municipal bonds and we and CO2e.com, L.L.C. each receive 50% of the fully electronic revenues, in the case of TradeSpark or Freedom transactions. In the case of CO2e.com, LLC, we receive 50% of CO2e.com, L.L.C. fully electronic revenues and 15% of the voice assisted and open outcry revenues until December 31, 2003, and 20% of voice-assisted and open outcry revenues thereafter. In addition, we receive 25% of the net revenues from Cantor's gaming business.

In February 2003, we agreed that with respect to (i) certain network access facilities services agreements and (ii) in other circumstances in which Cantor refers network access facility services business to us, 60% of net revenues from such business would be paid to Cantor and 40% of such revenues would be paid to us. This revenue sharing arrangement will be made after deduction of all sales commissions and direct third-party costs, including circuits and maintenance.

#### SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS SERVICES

We also provide to Cantor, TradeSpark, Freedom, Municipal Partners, LLC and CO2e.com, LLC software solutions services, including (1) systems administration;

(2) internal network support; (3) support and procurement for desktops of end-user equipment; (4) operations and disaster recovery services; (5) voice and data communications; (6) support and development of systems for clearance, settlement and other fulfillment services; (7) systems support for broker; (8) electronic applications systems and network support and development; and (9) provision and/or implementation

systems support for broker; (8) electronic applications systems and network support and development; and (9) provision and/or implementation of existing electronic applications systems, including improvements and upgrades thereto, and use of the related intellectual property rights. In general, we charge Cantor, TradeSpark and Freedom the actual direct and indirect costs, including overhead, that we incur in performing these services. We charge Municipal Partners, LLC an amount based on the actual direct and indirect costs, including overhead, of providing such services. These services are provided to CO2e.com, LLC at no additional cost other than the revenue sharing arrangement set forth above. In exchange for a 25% share of the net revenues from Cantor's gaming businesses, we are obligated to spend and do not get reimbursed for the first \$750,000 each quarter of costs of providing support and development services for such gaming businesses.

#### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Cantor has granted to us a license covering Cantor's patents and patent applications that relate to our eSpeed(R) system. The license is perpetual, irrevocable, worldwide and royalty free and is exclusive, except in the event that (1) we are unwilling to provide to Cantor any requested services covered by the patents with respect to a marketplace and Cantor elects not to require us to do so, or we are unable to provide such services or (2) we do not exercise our right of first refusal to provide to Cantor electronic brokerage services with respect to a marketplace, in which events Cantor will have a limited right to use the patents and patent applications solely in connection with the operation of that marketplace. Cantor will cooperate with us, at our expense, in any attempt by us to prevent any third party infringement of our patent rights under the license. Cantor has also granted to us a non- exclusive, perpetual, irrevocable worldwide, royalty-free right and license to use the servicemarks "Cantor Exchange(SM)," "Interactive Matching(SM)," "MOLE(SM)" and "CX(SM)".

#### NON-COMPETITION AND MARKET OPPORTUNITY PROVISIONS

The Joint Services Agreement imposes performance obligations on us and restricts our ability to compete with Cantor and Cantor's ability to compete with us in markets that we and Cantor traditionally operate. We and Cantor have agreed to exclude the TradeSpark and Freedom marketplaces from the provisions of the Joint Services Agreement in order to enable us to enter into separate agreements in connection with the new marketplaces.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES AGREEMENT

Under our Administrative Services Agreement with Cantor, Cantor provides certain administrative and management services to us. Cantor makes available to us some of its administrative and other staff, including its internal audit, treasury, legal, tax, insurance, human resources, facilities, corporate development and accounting staffs. Members of these staffs arrange for our insurance coverage and provide a wide array of services, including administration of our personnel and payroll operations, benefits administration, internal audits, facilities management, promotional sales and marketing, legal, risk management, accounting and tax preparation and other services. We reimburse Cantor for the actual costs incurred by Cantor, plus other reasonable costs, including reasonably allocated overhead and any applicable taxes. We have also entered into arrangements with Cantor under which we have the right to use certain assets, principally computer equipment, from Cantor. These assets are subject to operating leases with third party leasing companies. Under the Administrative Services Agreement, we provide sales, marketing and public relations services to Cantor. Cantor reimburses us for the actual costs incurred by us, plus other reasonable costs, including reasonably allocated overhead. The Administrative Services Agreement has a three-year term which will renew automatically for successive one-year terms unless canceled by either us or Cantor upon six months' prior notice; provided, however, that our right to use our London office space expires at the earlier of

(1) the time Cantor's lease expires in 2016 or (2) until Cantor ceases to be an affiliate of ours and Cantor asks us to vacate.

Pursuant to the Administrative Services Agreement, Cantor is required to obtain for us, among other things, property and casualty insurance of not less than \$40 million and business interruption insurance of \$25 million. Cantor has procured property insurance coverage for us covering our fixed assets and business interruption insurance in the amount of \$25 million. However, in the case of business interruption insurance, we are listed on this insurance policy as one of several insured parties, together with Cantor and several of its affiliates.

This insurance policy is for aggregate amounts in excess of the amounts set forth above. The Administrative Services Agreement does not provide for the allocation of the proceeds among the named insureds. Insurance proceeds paid to date have been paid to Cantor on behalf of all parties named on the policy, and Cantor has allocated these proceeds among the insured parties. Pursuant to this allocation, as of December 31, 2002, we had received approximately \$20.5 million of property insurance proceeds with respect to fixed assets of ours that were destroyed as a result of the September 11 Events and approximately \$12.8 million of business interruption insurance proceeds, representing payments for both lost revenues and increased expenses.

#### REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT

Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement entered into by Cantor and us, Cantor has received piggyback and demand registration rights.

The piggyback registration rights allow Cantor to register the shares of our Class A common stock issued or issuable to it in connection with the conversion of its shares of our Class B common stock whenever we propose to register any shares of our Class A common stock for our own or another's account under the Securities Act for a public offering, other than any shelf registration of shares of our Class A common stock to be used as consideration for acquisitions of additional businesses and registrations relating to employee benefit plans.

Cantor also has the right, on three occasions, to require that we register under the Securities Act any or all of the shares of our Class A common stock issued or issuable to it in connection with the conversion of its shares of our Class B common stock. The demand and piggyback registration rights apply to Cantor and to any transferee of shares held by Cantor who agrees to be bound by the terms of the Registration Rights Agreement.

We have agreed to pay all costs of one demand and all piggyback registrations, other than underwriting discounts and commissions. We have also agreed to indemnify Cantor and any transferee for certain liabilities they may incur in connection with the exercise of their registration rights. All of these registration rights are subject to conditions and limitations, including (1) the right of underwriters of an offering to limit the number of shares included in that registration; (2) our right not to effect any demand registration within six months of a public offering of our securities; and (3) that Cantor agrees to refrain from selling its shares during the period from 15 days prior to and 90 days after the effective date of any registration statement for the offering of our securities.

# POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND COMPETITION WITH CANTOR

Various conflicts of interest between us and Cantor may arise in the future in a number of areas relating to our past and ongoing relationships, including potential acquisitions of businesses or properties, the election of new directors, payment of dividends, incurrence of indebtedness, tax matters, financial commitments, marketing functions, indemnity arrangements, service arrangements, issuances of our capital stock, sales or distributions by Cantor of its shares of our common stock and the exercise by Cantor of control over our management and affairs. Four of our directors and a majority of our officers also serve as directors and/or officers of Cantor. Simultaneous service as an eSpeed director or officer and service as a director or officer, or status as a partner, of Cantor could create or appear to create potential conflicts of interest when such directors, officers and/or partners are faced with decisions that could have different implications for us and for Cantor. Mr. Lutnick, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, is the sole stockholder of the managing general partner of Cantor. As a result, Mr. Lutnick controls Cantor. Cantor owns shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock representing approximately 89.8% of the Total Voting Power of our capital stock. Mr. Lutnick's simultaneous service as our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and his control of Cantor could create or appear to create potential conflicts of interest when Mr. Lutnick is faced with decisions that could have different implications for us and for Cantor.

Our relationship with Cantor may result in agreements that are not the result of arm's-length negotiations. As a result, the prices charged to us or by us for services provided under agreements with Cantor may be higher or lower than prices that may be charged by third parties and the terms of these agreements may be more or less favorable to us than those that we could have negotiated with third parties. However, transactions between us and Cantor and/or its other affiliates are subject to the approval of a majority of our independent directors. In addition, Cantor can compete with us under certain circumstances.

# WILLIAMS AND DYNEGY

On June 5, 2000, each of Williams Energy Marketing & Trading and Dynegy purchased a unit consisting of (a) 789,071 shares of our Class A common stock and (b) warrants exercisable for the purchase of up to 666,666 shares of our Class A common stock, for an aggregate purchase price for the unit of \$25.0 million. The warrants have a per share exercise price of \$35.20, a 10-year term and are exercisable commencing on December 5, 2005, subject to acceleration under certain prescribed circumstances. Acceleration results from the investment by Williams and/or Dynegy, along with at least two additional participants, in four new electronic and telephonic verticals to be formed by us and Cantor, which we refer to as Qualified Verticals, by an agreed upon date. The initial agreed upon

date of June 2001 has been extended by the parties for a period not to exceed two years. We refer to such period as the Presentment Period. The Presentment Period operates in three month increments, and is subject to the right of Dynegy and Williams to refuse to grant an additional three month extension on not less than 30 days' prior notice to us. In connection with the four Qualified Verticals, Williams and, subject to certain limitations, Dynegy, will be entitled to invest \$25.0 million in shares of our Class A common stock at a 10% discount to the trading price of our Class A common stock determined at the time of the investment in the Qualified Vertical. If we present Qualified Vertical opportunities in accordance with the terms of the agreements, and either Williams or Dynegy does not invest in at least four Qualified Verticals, the non-investing entity will be required to make a \$2,500,000 payment to us for each investment not made, up to a maximum of \$10 million. Williams and Dynegy have already invested in the first Qualified Vertical presented to it, Tradespark.

At such time as Williams and Dynegy (or their permitted affiliate assignees) have made an aggregate equity investment in us of an amount equal to at least \$100.0 million, valued on a cost basis (and for so long as such parties maintain ownership of equity securities having such cost basis), Cantor will use its best efforts to cause one designee jointly selected by Williams and Dynegy to be nominated to our board of directors and to vote its shares of common equity in favor of such designee.

In connection with the Williams and Dynegy transactions, we purchased from Cantor 789,071 shares of our Class A common stock, representing half of the number of shares of our Class A common stock sold by us to Williams and Dynegy, for a purchase price of \$25.0 million. In addition, Cantor has agreed to sell half of the number of shares to be purchased by Williams and Dynegy, in the aggregate, each time an additional investment right is exercised in connection with a new Qualified Vertical for the same purchase price per share as is paid by Williams and Dynegy at the time.

#### TRADESPARK

On September 22, 2000, we made a cash investment in TradeSpark of \$2.0 million in exchange for a 5% interest in TradeSpark, and Cantor made a cash investment of \$4.25 million in TradeSpark and agreed to contribute to TradeSpark certain assets relating to its voice brokerage business in certain energy products in exchange for a 28.33% interest in TradeSpark. We and Cantor also executed an amendment to the Joint Services Agreement in order to enable each of us to engage in this business transaction. The remaining 66.67% interest in TradeSpark was purchased by energy industry market participants (EIPs). In connection with such investment, we entered into a perpetual technology services agreement with TradeSpark pursuant to which we provide the technology infrastructure for the transactional and technology related elements of the TradeSpark marketplace as well as certain other services to TradeSpark in exchange for specified percentages of transaction revenues from the marketplace. If a transaction is fully electronic, we receive 65% of the aggregate transaction revenues and TradeSpark receives 35% of the transaction revenues. In general, if TradeSpark provides voice-assisted brokerage services with respect to a transaction, then we receive 35% of the revenues and TradeSpark receives 65% of the revenues. Cantor also entered into an administrative services agreement with TradeSpark pursuant to which it provideS administrative services to TradeSpark at cost. We and Cantor each received representation rights on the management committee of TradeSpark in proportion to our ownership interests in TradeSpark.

In order to provide incentives to the EIPs to trade on the TradeSpark electronic marketplace, which will result in commissions to us under the TradeSpark technology services agreement, we issued 5,500,000 shares of our Series A preferred stock and 2,500,000 shares of our Series B preferred stock to a limited liability company newly-formed by the EIPs to hold their investments in TradeSpark and the Series A and B preferred stock. See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements.

# MUNICIPAL PARTNERS

In January 2002, Cantor sold the assets of the business known as Municipal Partners, Inc., a municipal bond broker, to a newly formed limited company, Municipal Partners, LLC, formed by Brian Kelly, a former employee of Cantor, in exchange for a 25% special interest in Municipal Partners LLC. Cantor had purchased substantially all of the assets of Municipal Partners, Inc. in July 2000. Cantor also loaned \$1,000,000 to Municipal Partners, LLC and is entitled to distributions equal to 5% of the gross revenues of the business less the amount of our revenue share for electronic transactions. Pending receipt of applicable licenses by Municipal Partners, LLC, Cantor provided Municipal Partners, LLC with interim services. In connection with the sale, we (1) granted Municipal Partners LLC a non-exclusive license to use our software and technology to operate a municipal bond brokerage business; (2) will maintain our municipal bond trading platform and provide the software capabilities that were in place in Cantor's municipal bond business (we are to be compensated for upgrading the trading platform at cost plus a reasonable profit or at prevailing rates, at our election); (3) will provide web-hosting, technical and customer support at cost plus a reasonable fee to Municipal Partners LLC; (4) will receive 50% of gross revenues of Municipal Partners LLC with respect to electronic transactions; and (5) terminated existing arrangements with former brokers in the business (some of whom are deceased) pursuant to which we had given them shares of our Class A common stock valued at \$1,250,000 in exchange for promissory notes in the same amount with the result that the notes were terminated and the shares were cancelled. This agreement is currently subject to litigation. See "Item 3. Legal Proceedings."

#### FREEDOM INTERNATIONAL BROKERAGE

On January 29, 2001, we and Cantor formed a limited partnership to acquire 66.7% of Freedom International Brokerage. On April 4, 2001, we contributed 310,769 shares of our Class A common stock to the limited partnership, which entitles us to 75% of the limited partnership's interest in Freedom. We share in 15% of the limited partnership's cumulative profits but not in its cumulative losses. Cantor contributed 103,588 shares of our Class A common stock as the general partner. Cantor will be allocated all of the limited partnership's cumulative losses and 85% of the cumulative profits. The limited partnership exchanged the 414,357 shares for its 66.7% interest in Freedom. In addition, we issued warrants to purchase 400,000 shares of our Class A common stock to provide incentives to the Freedom owner-participants other than us and Cantor to migrate to our fully electronic platform. To the extent necessary to protect us from any allocation of losses, Cantor is required to provide future capital contributions to the limited partnership up to an amount that would make Cantor's total contribution equal to our investment in the limited partnership.

Upon the closing of the transaction, we entered into a services agreement with Freedom to provide for electronic trading technology and services and infrastructure/back-offices services. Under this agreement, we are entitled to 65% of the electronic transaction services revenues and Freedom is entitled to 35% of the revenues. We also receive 35% of revenues derived from all voice-assisted transactions, other miscellaneous transactions and the sale of market data or other information that is not incidental to the above services.

#### CO2E.COM, LLC

On October 11, 2002, Mitsui & Co. (U.S.A.), Inc. and MB Emission Trading, Inc. (Mitsui) invested \$1,200,000 in CO2e, a Cantor subsidiary. CO2e's purpose is to form and operate one or more electronic trading markets for products related to the mitigation of greenhouse gasses and related activities and to provide brokerage information and consulting services relating to the emission or mitigation of greenhouse gasses and related issues. In connection therewith, we and CO2e entered into a Services Agreement whereby we will receive 50% of CO2e's fully electronic revenues and 15% of CO2e's voice-assisted and open outcry revenues until December 2003, and 20% thereafter. The Services Agreement supersedes the provisions of the Joint Services Agreement with respect to CO2e transactions. Mitsui received 4% of the equity of CO2e and we agreed to transfer certain intellectual property rights to CO2e.

#### **UBS**

On August 21, 2002, we entered into a Global Fixed Income Transaction Fee Agreement (the UBS Agreement) with UBS AG and certain named affiliates (collectively, UBS) and Cantor for UBS to execute trades electronically on our eSpeed(R) system in U.S. Securities, Agency Securities, European Government Bonds, UK Gilts, Japanese Government Bonds and swaps of these various securities instruments. The UBS Agreement has an initial term of two and one-half years, commencing as of January 1, 2002. In addition to quarterly participation fees to be paid to Cantor, UBS will pay transaction fees to Cantor for each executed transaction. These fees will then be shared with us in accordance with our Joint Services Agreement with Cantor.

In connection with the Agreement, we issued to UBS a warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of our Class A common stock. The warrant has a term of 10 years and has an exercise price equal to \$8.75, the market value of the underlying Class A common stock on the date of issuance. The warrant is fully vested and nonforfeitable, and is exercisable nine years and six months after issuance, subject to acceleration upon the satisfaction by UBS of its yearly commitment conditions provided for in the Agreement. We will be incurring a non-cash charge equal to the fair value of the warrant on the date of issuance, which will be amortized over the term of the Agreement. We have also agreed to issue an additional warrant to purchase 200,000 shares of our Class A common stock at an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying Class A common stock on the date of issuance if the UBS Agreement is renewed for another two and one-half year term.

In addition, we have provided UBS with piggyback registration rights for the Class A common stock underlying the warrants.

# INDEMNIFICATION BY CANTOR

Although we do not expect to incur any losses with respect to pending lawsuits or supplemental allegations relating to Cantor and Cantor's limited partnership agreement, Cantor has agreed to indemnify us with respect to any liabilities we incur as a result of such lawsuits or allegations.

## REVERSE REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS

We enter into overnight reverse repurchase agreements with Cantor. At December 31, 2002, the reverse repurchase agreements totaled \$186.7 million, including accrued interest. The securities collateralizing the reverse repurchase agreements are held under a custodial arrangement at J.P. Morgan Chase.

#### CANTOR FITZGERALD RELIEF FUND

On September 12, 2002, we donated \$500,000 to the Cantor Fitzgerald Relief Fund (the Relief Fund) in connection with a charity day. The Relief Fund is a tax-exempt organization established to aid the families of the victims who perished as a result of the September 11 Events. Mr. Lutnick, our Chief Executive Officer, is one of the directors of the Relief Fund. Edie Lutnick, Mr. Lutnick's sister, and Stuart Fraser, Cantor's Vice Chairman, are the other directors of the Relief Fund.

#### ITEM 14. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded, based on their evaluation as of a date within 90 days prior to the date of the filing of this Report, that our controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports filed by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of such evaluation.

#### PART IV

# ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a)(1) Financial Statements. See Index to Financial Statements on page 39.
- (a)(2) All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not required or the required information is in the financial statements or the notes thereto.
- (a)(3) The following Exhibits are filed as part of this Report as required by Regulation S-K. The Exhibits designated by an asterisk (\*) are management contracts and compensation plans and arrangements required to be filed as Exhibits to this Report. We have requested confidential treatment as to certain portions of the Exhibits designated by a cross (+), which portions have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated as of December 9, 1999, by and among Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P., Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, CFFE, LLC, Cantor Fitzgerald L.L.C., CFPH, LLC, Cantor Fitzgerald & Co. and eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference by Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
2.2	Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated as of, December 9, 1999 by and among Cantor Fitzgerald International, eSpeed Securities International Limited and Cantor Fitzgerald International Holdings, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-87475)).
3.2	Second Amended and Restated By-Laws of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000).
3.3	Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series A Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
3.4	Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).

Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of 3.5 Series C Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001). Specimen Class A Common Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-87475)). 10.1\* Long-Term Incentive Plan of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-87475)). 10.2\* Amended and Restated eSpeed, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000). 10.3 Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2001, by and among Canter Fitzgerald, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, on behalf of itself and its direct and indirect, current and future, subsidiaries, other than eSpeed, Inc. and its direct and indirect, current and future, subsidiaries, and eSpeed, Inc., a Delaware corporation, on behalf of itself and its direct and indirect, current and future, subsidiaries. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2001). 10.4 Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2002. 10.5 Administrative Services Agreement, dated as of December 15, 1999, by and among Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P., Cantor Fitzgerald International, Cantor Fitzgerald Gilts, Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., Cantor Fitzgerald Partners, eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed Securities, Inc., eSpeed Government Securities, Inc., eSpeed Securities International Limited and eSpeed Markets, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999). 10.6 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 9, 1999, by and among eSpeed and the Investors named therein. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999). 10.7 Sublease Agreement, dated as of December 15, 1999, between Cantor Fitzgerald Securities and eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999). Warrants issued to Martin J. Wygod and a related trust. 10.8 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999). 10.9 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 5, 2000 among eSpeed, Inc., Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company and Dynegy, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000). 10.10 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated April 26, 2000, between eSpeed, Inc. and Cantor Fitzgerald Securities (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000). 10.11 Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated June 2, 2000, among eSpeed, Inc., Cantor Fitzgerald Securities and Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000). 10.12 Warrant issued to Dynegy, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for

the quarter ended June 30, 2000).

10.13	Warrant issue	d to Will	iams Ene	ergy Mark	teting &	Tradin	ıg
	Company (Inco	rporated :	by refer	rence to	Exhibit	10.13	to the
	Registrant's 30, 2000).	Quarterly	Report	for the	quarter	ended	June

10.14+ Subscription Agreement, dated April 26, 2000, among Dynegy, Inc., eSpeed, Inc. and Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000).

10.15+	Subscription Agreement, dated April 26, 2000, among The Williams Companies, Inc., eSpeed, Inc. and Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000).
10.16	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 22, 2000 among eSpeed, Inc., EIP Holdings, LLC, Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company and Coral Energy Holding, LP, Koch Energy Trading, Inc. TXU Energy Trading Company and Dominion Energy Exchange, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
10.17	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2001, among eSpeed, Inc. and the Investors named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2001).
10.18	Warrant Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2001, among eSpeed, Inc. and the Freedom participants named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2001).
10.19	Warrant Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2002, between eSpeed, Inc. and UBS USA, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
10.20	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2002, by and between eSpeed, Inc. and UBS USA Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
10.21	Services Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2002, between eSpeed and CO2e.com LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
10.22	Amendment to the Joint Services Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2002, by and among eSpeed, Inc., Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and certain of their respective affiliates. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
10.23	Intellectual Property Rights Further Assurances Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2002, between eSpeed, Inc. and CO2e.com LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
10.24	Warrant Agreement, dated as of September 13, 2001, between eSpeed, Inc. and Exchange Brokerage Systems Corp. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
21	List of subsidiaries of eSpeed, Inc.
23	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent auditors.
24	Powers of Attorney (included on signature page).
99	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Relating to a Periodic Report Containing Financial Statements.

# (b) Reports on Form 8-K.

None.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 31st day of March, 2003.

#### eSPEED, INC.

By: /s/ Howard W. Lutnick

-----

Name: Howard W. Lutnick
Title: Chairman of the Board,
Chief Executive Officer
and President

# **POWERS OF ATTORNEY**

Each person whose signature appears below hereby authorizes and constitutes Howard W. Lutnick and Stephen M. Merkel, and each of them singly, his true and lawful attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign and file any and all amendments to this Annual Report, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and he hereby ratifies and confirms all that said attorney-in-fact or either of them, or his or their substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Annual Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant, eSpeed, Inc., in the capacities and on the date or dates indicated.

SIGNATURE	CAPACITY IN WHICH SIGNED	DATE 		
/s/ Howard W. Lutnick	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer	March 31, 2003		
Howard W. Lutnick	and President (Principal Executive Officer)			
/s/Jeffrey M. Chertoff	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting	March 31, 2003		
Jeffrey M. Chertoff	Officer)			
/s/ Lee Amaitis	Director	March 31, 2003		
Lee Amaitis				
/s/ Larry R. Carter	Director	March 31, 2003		
Larry R. Carter				
/s/ John H. Dalton	Director	March 31, 2003		
Hon. John H. Dalton				
/s/ Stephen M. Merkel	Director	March 31, 2003		
Stephen M. Merkel				
/s/ William J. Moran	Director	March 31, 2003		
William J. Moran				
/s/ Joseph C. Noviello	Director	March 31, 2003		
Joseph C. Noviello				
/s/ Albert M. Weis	Director	March 31, 2003		
Albert M. Weis				

- I, Howard W. Lutnick, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of eSpeed, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:
- a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- b. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- c. presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a. all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this annual report whether there were significant changes in internal controls or in the other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: March 31, 2003

/s/ Howard W. Lutnick Howard W. Lutnick Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President

- I, Jeffrey M. Chertoff, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of eSpeed, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and have:
- a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- b. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
- c. presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- a. all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
- b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have indicated in this annual report whether there were significant changes in internal controls or in the other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: March 31, 2003

# EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated as of December 9, 1999, by and among Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P., Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, CFFE, LLC, Cantor Fitzgerald L.L.C., CFPH, LLC, Cantor Fitzgerald & Co. and eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference by Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
2.2	Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated as of, December 9, 1999 by and among Cantor Fitzgerald International, eSpeed Securities International Limited and Cantor Fitzgerald International Holdings, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-87475)).
3.2	Second Amended and Restated By-Laws of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000).
3.3	Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series A Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
3.4	Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
3.5	Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series C Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001).
4	Specimen Class A Common Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-87475)).
10.1*	Long-Term Incentive Plan of eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-87475)).
10.2*	Amended and Restated eSpeed, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000).
10.3	Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2001, by and among Canter Fitzgerald, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, on behalf of itself and its direct and indirect, current and future, subsidiaries, other than eSpeed, Inc. and its direct and indirect, current and future, subsidiaries, and eSpeed, Inc., a Delaware corporation, on behalf of itself and its direct and indirect, current and future, subsidiaries. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2001).
10.4	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Joint Services Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2002.
10.5	Administrative Services Agreement, dated as of December 15, 1999, by and among Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P., Cantor Fitzgerald International, Cantor Fitzgerald Gilts, Cantor Fitzgerald Securities, Cantor Fitzgerald & Co., Cantor Fitzgerald Partners, eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed Securities, Inc., eSpeed Government Securities, Inc., eSpeed Securities International Limited and eSpeed Markets, Inc.

(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).

10.6	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 9, 1999, by and among eSpeed and the Investors named therein. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
10.7	Sublease Agreement, dated as of December 15, 1999, between Cantor Fitzgerald Securities and eSpeed, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
10.8	Warrants issued to Martin J. Wygod and a related trust. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
10.9	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of June 5, 2000 among eSpeed, Inc., Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company and Dynegy, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000).
10.10	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated April 26, 2000, between eSpeed, Inc. and Cantor Fitzgerald Securities (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000).
10.11	Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated June 2, 2000, among eSpeed, Inc., Cantor Fitzgerald Securities and Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000).
10.12	Warrant issued to Dynegy, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit $10.12$ to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June $30$ , $2000$ ).
10.13	Warrant issued to Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000).
10.14+	Subscription Agreement, dated April 26, 2000, among Dynegy, Inc., eSpeed, Inc. and Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000).
10.15+	Subscription Agreement, dated April 26, 2000, among The Williams Companies, Inc., eSpeed, Inc. and Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2000).
10.16	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of September 22, 2000 among eSpeed, Inc., EIP Holdings, LLC, Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company and Coral Energy Holding, LP, Koch Energy Trading, Inc. TXU Energy Trading Company and Dominion Energy Exchange, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000).
10.17	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2001, among eSpeed, Inc. and the Investors named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2001).
10.18	Warrant Agreement, dated as of April 4, 2001, among eSpeed, Inc. and the Freedom participants named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2001).
10.19	Warrant Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2002, between eSpeed, Inc. and UBS USA, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).

Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2002, by and between eSpeed, Inc. and UBS USA Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).

10.21	Services Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2002, between eSpeed and CO2e.com LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
10.22	Amendment to the Joint Services Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2002, by and among eSpeed, Inc., Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and certain of their respective affiliates. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
10.23	Intellectual Property Rights Further Assurances Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2002, between eSpeed, Inc. and CO2e.com LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
10.24	Warrant Agreement, dated as of September 13, 2001, between eSpeed, Inc. and Exchange Brokerage Systems Corp. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
21	List of subsidiaries of eSpeed, Inc.
23	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent auditors.
24	Powers of Attorney (included on signature page).
99	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Relating to a Periodic Report Containing Financial Statements.

# Exhibit 21

# Subsidiaries of eSpeed, Inc.

Name	Place of Incorporation or Organization
	- 1
eSpeed Securities, Inc.	Delaware
eSpeed Government Securities, Inc.	Delaware
eSpeed Markets, Inc.	Delaware
eSpeed International Limited	England and Wales
eSpeed (Australia) Pty Limited	New South Wales, Australia
eSpeed (Canada), Inc.	Nova Scotia
eSpeed (Japan) Limited	Japan
eSpeed (Hong Kong) Holdings I, Inc.	Delaware
eSpeed (Hong Kong) Holdings II, Inc.	Delaware
eSpeed (Hong Kong) Limited	Hong Kong
eSpeed, LLC	Delaware
TreasuryConnect LLC	Delaware

#### **EXHIBIT 23**

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' CONSENT

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-52154 of eSpeed, Inc. (the "Company") on Form S-3 (relating to the "eSpeed, Inc. 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan"), Registration Statement No. 333-34324 of the Company on Form S-8 (relating to the "eSpeed, Inc. 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan" and the "eSpeed, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan") and Registration Statement No. 333-49056 of the Company on Form S-8, including Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 thereto dated February 27, 2001 (relating to the "eSpeed, Inc. Deferral Plan for Employees of Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and its Affiliates), of our report dated March 26, 2003 appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of eSpeed, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2002.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

March 26, 2003 New York, New York

#### Exhibit 99

# CERTIFICATION BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER RELATING TO A PERIODIC REPORT CONTAINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Each of Howard W. Lutnick, Chief Executive Officer, and Jeffrey M. Chertoff, Chief Financial Officer, of eSpeed, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), hereby certifies, to the best of his knowledge, that:

(1) The Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 (the "Form 10-K") fully complies with the requirements of

Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(2) The information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

/s/ Howard W. Lutnick /s/ Jeffrey M. Chertoff

Howard W. Lutnick Jeffrey M. Chertoff

Date: March 31, 2003 Date: March 31, 2003